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#### Abstract

Cancer is the second most common cause of death worldwide, with mortality about to surpass that from cardiovascular diseases. Therapeutic peptides present several advantages over conventional cancer treatments such as ease of synthesis, higher target selectivity and lower toxicity. As a continuation of our previous recent reports on anticancer cyclic peptides, novel $\mathrm{N} \alpha-1,4-$ benzenedicarbonyl bridged cyclo-pentapeptides were newly synthesized and evaluated for cytotoxic activity using MTT growth inhibition assay. Interestingly, compound 9, namely Cyclo-[ $\mathrm{N} \alpha-1$, 4-benzenedicarbonyl-bis-(Gly-L-Phe)-L-Lys]-OMe showed higher inhibitory activity on breast (MCF-7) and liver (HepG-2) cell lines, with IC50 values 4.04 and $2.82 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ respectively, compared to the reference drugs Tamoxifen ( 8.31 and $10.9 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) and Doxorubicin ( 2.97 and $3.73 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ). Cytotoxic activity of compound 9 was further investigated on five other cell lines, namely colon (CaCo-2), prostate (PC-3), cervical (HeLa), larynx (Hep-2) and breast (T47D) cancer cells. Compound 9 was found to be more potent than doxorubicin in three cell lines, (T47D, HeLa and PC-3), and almost as potent in CaCo-2 cell line, consequently representing a very promising anticancer candidate. Assessment of its possible mechanism of action as multi-targeted kinase inhibitor will be investigated. Keywords: cancer, cyclic pentapeptides, $\mathrm{N} \alpha-1,4$-benzenedicarbonyl-bis-peptides, cytotoxicity


## 1. Introduction

Cancer represents one of the main causes of deaths worldwide. Globally, there were 9.5 million cancer-related deaths and 18.1 million new cases in 2018. It is anticipated that by 2040, there would be 29.5 million new instances of cancer annually, and 16.4 million cancer-related deaths [1]. The detection, treatment, and prevention of various forms of cancer have advanced significantly in recent years. Surgery, radiation, chemotherapy, and hormone therapy are among the cancer treatments currently in use [2-4]. However, the primary issues with these increasingly concerning procedures are their high cost and unfavorable side effects. For example, it has been demonstrated that doxorubicin, a common chemotherapeutic drug used to treat malignancies, can harm the kidney, heart, and brain through oxidative stress [5-7]. When treating breast cancer, other medications like taxanes and anthracyclines are less successful than they formerly were since the tumor has become resistant to them. Nowadays, the possibility of tumor recurrence and metastasis persists as a serious problem, even in cases when early cancer treatments are successful [8-10].

Therapeutic peptides are short sequences of amino acids that can be rationally synthesized with high specificity to bind a desired protein interaction, such as an
inhibitor of oncogenic protein interactions [11, 12]. Compared to proteins or antibodies, these peptides have several significant benefits, including extensive biological diversity, low drug-drug interactions, high target specificity and selectivity, and potency of action [13-15]. Peptides do not accumulate in tissues like the liver or kidney, which minimizes harmful side effects and is a major advantage of employing them in cancer treatment [16, 17]. Moreover, they are less immunogenic than recombinant proteins or antibodies and are readily synthesized and modified. Therapeutic peptides do, however, have several significant disadvantages, including short half-lives, limited cell permeability, poor oral bioavailability, and metabolic instability [18-21].

Among chemically synthesized therapeutic peptides, macrocyclic compounds composed of cyclic peptides have been developed to overcome the major limitations of peptides. Indeed, lower entropy levels are accompanied by conformational limitations imposed by molecular cyclization. As a result, cyclopeptides have better pharmacological qualities overall and provide more stability against the activity of proteolytic enzymes, which prolongs their bioavailability. According to earlier studies, it was possible to successfully synthesize macrocyclic peptide derivatives chemically and produce compounds with strong

[^0]antibacterial, anti-inflammatory, and anti-cancer effects [22, 23].

Herein, drawing from past research and our ongoing peptide synthesis endeavors, we have identified $\mathrm{N} \alpha$-1, 4-benzenedicarbonyl bridging cyclo-pentapeptides with the following structure: Cyclo- $[\mathrm{N} \alpha-1,4-$ benzenedicarbonyl-bis-(Glycine - Amino Acid)-LLys] -Y, (9-14), in which "Amino Acid" denotes "LPhenylalanine" or "Glycine," and "Y" denotes newly synthesized methyl ester, carboxylic, or hydrazide group. These compounds were assessed for their anticancer potential in comparison to commonly used anticancer medications.

## 2. Experimental

Melting points were uncorrected and determined in opened glass capillary tubes with an "Electro Thermal" Digital melting point apparatus, (model: IA9100). Elemental micro-analysis for carbon, hydrogen and nitrogen (Micro-analytical Unit, NRC) was found within the acceptable limits of the calculated values. Infrared spectra ( KBr ) were recorded on a Nexus 670 FTIR Nicolet, Fourier Transform infrared spectrometer.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectra and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR were run in ([ $\left.\mathrm{D}_{6}\right]$ DMSO) on JöEL 270 MHz or 500 MHz instruments. Mass spectra were run on a MAT Finnigan SSQ 7000 spectrometer, using the electron impact technique (EI).

Analytical thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on silica gel aluminum sheets, 60 F254 (E. Merck). The following solvent systems (by volume) were used as eluents for the development of the plates: S: chloroform/methanol/acetic acid (85/10/5 v/v); $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ : S/ petroleum ether (B.p. $40-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $(3 / 2)$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ : butanol/water/acetic acid/pyridine (120/48/12/40 v/v). Specific optical rotations were measured with a A. Krawss, Optronic, P8000 polarimeter, in a 1 dm length observation tube, at the indicated conditions, and ac-
cording to the equation: $[\alpha]=(100 \times \alpha) /(c \times l)$, where: $\alpha$ : observed rotation angle, $D$ : sodium line ( $\lambda=$ $589 \mathrm{~nm}), c:$ concentration $(\mathrm{g} / 100 \mathrm{ml}), l=$ path length in dm and $T=$ experimental temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.

### 2.1. Chemistry

2.1.1. Synthesis of $\mathbf{N}^{\alpha}-1$, 4-benzenedicarbonyl- bis[glycine ethyl ether], 3

## A. Acid chloride method

A dichloromethane (DCM) solution of Compound $2(3 \mathrm{gm}, 14.78 \mathrm{mmol})(50 \mathrm{ml})$ was dropwisely added to a cold $\left(-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and stirred DCM solution ( 50 ml ) of free glycine ethyl ester ( 4.13 gm , 2 equivalents 29.56 mmol , obtained by the addition of two equivalents amount of N -methylmorpholine ( $3.25 \mathrm{ml} ; 29.56$ mmol ) to the amino acid methyl ester hydrochloride to a stirred and cold ( -20 C ) DCM, 50ml).

The reaction mixture was stirred for additional 3 hours at the same temperature then for 24 hours at room temperature, washed with dist. water, 1 N sodium bicarbonate, 1 N potassium hydrogen sulphate and dist. water then for dried for ( 24 hours at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) over sodium sulphate anhydrous. The solvent was evaporated to dryness and the obtained residue was solidified by Pt.ether (B.P. $40-60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The obtained solid was filtered off and crystallized from methanol to give Compound 3.

## B. Mixed anhydride method

Ethyl chloroformate ( $2.9 \mathrm{ml}, 30.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a stirred and cold $\left(-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ a DCM solution $(50 \mathrm{ml})$ of terephthalic acid $(5 \mathrm{gm}, 30.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and N methylmorpholine ( $6.6 \mathrm{ml}, 60.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ).

The reaction mixture was stirred for additional 30 minutes, then a DCM solution ( 50 ml ) free Gly ethyl ester ( $8.4 \mathrm{gm} ; 60.2 \mathrm{mmol},-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) in dichloromethane $(50 \mathrm{ml})$ was added. Stirring was maintained for 3 hours at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ then for 24 hours at room temperature. The reaction mixture was then washed with dist. water, 1 N sodium bicarbonate, 1 N potassium hydrogen sulphate and water then dried over sodium sulphate anhydrous ( 24 hours at 00 C ).

The solvent was evaporated to dryness and the obtained oily residue was solidified by trituration with pt.ether (B.P. $40-60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), collected by filtration and crystallized from methanol to give compound $\mathbf{3}$ as identified by melting point and TLC in comparison with authentic sample prepared according to method A.

3: Yield: [A]: 93; [B]: 85; m.p. 113-115 ${ }^{0}$ C. Rf x 100 (solvent system) $65\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1}\right)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : $(\mathrm{KBr}): 3861$ and 3318 (NH stretching) 3091 ( CH aromatic) 2983 (CH aliphatic) 1752 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ester) 1644 and 1551 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ amide I and amide II). MS (EI, 70 eV ): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%)=336$ $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 3.84 \%\right), 337\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,0.8 \%\right), 338\left(\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+2,0.17 \%\right)\right.$, $339\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+3,0.03 \%\right), 291(5 \%), 263(17 \%), 234(100 \%)$, $160(14 \%), 104(31 \%), 76(14 \%), 65(0.39), 50(4.04 \%)$. Molecular formula (M.wt.), $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ (336.34). Calculated analysis; C 57.14, H 5.99, N 8.33. Found; C 57.00, H 6.00, N 8.30 .

### 1.1.2. Synthesis of $\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{- 1}$, 4-benzenedicarbonyl-bis-[glycine], 4

To a stirred and cold ethanolic solution $\left(-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20\right.$ ml ) of the corresponding ester $3(2 \mathrm{gm}, 5.94 \mathrm{mmol})$, sodium hydroxide ( $1 \mathrm{~N}, 35 \mathrm{ml}$ ) was dropwisely added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 3 hours at the same temperature then for 24 hours at room temperature. The solvent was distilled off under reduced pressure and the remaining aqueous solution was cooled and acidified with 1 N hydrochloric acid to $\mathrm{pH} \approx 3$. The obtained solid was filtered off, washed with water, dried and crystallized from ethanol/water to give the corresponding amino acid 4.

4: Yield: 78; m.p. $224-226^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Rf x100 (solvent system) $50\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1}\right) . \quad \mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right):(\mathrm{KBr}): 3310(\mathrm{NH}$
stretching) 3059 ( CH aromatic) 2937 (CH aliphatic) 1708 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ acid) 1642 and $1552(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ amide I and amide II). MS (EI, 70 eV ): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%)=280\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 0.6 \%\right)$, 277 ( $0.62 \%$ ), 236(1.93\%), 206 ( $6.81 \%$ ), 179(27.88\%), $160(3.15 \%), 149(100 \%), 121(27.65 \%), 104(31 \%)$, 103(9\%), 76(17.40\%), 65(47.82), 50(18.37\%). Моlecular formula (M.wt.), $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ (280.07). Calculated analysis; C: 46.16, H: 3.87, N: 8.97, Found; C 46.01, H 3.75, N 9.00.

### 1.1.3. Synthesis of $\mathbf{N}^{\alpha}-1,4$-benzenedicarbonyl-bis-[dipeptide ester]; ( 5 and 6)

Ethyl chloroformate ( $2.9 \mathrm{ml}, 30.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a stirred and cold $\left(-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of $1,4-$ benzenedicarbonyl-bis-[Glycine], 4, with the same sequence ( 15.05 mmol ) and N -methylmorpholine ( 3.3 $\mathrm{ml}, 30.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane $(50 \mathrm{ml})$. The reaction mixture was stirred for additional 30 minutes, then the two equivalents amount of free amino acid esters ( L-Phe, Gly) ( 30.1 mmol ) ( $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) in dichloromethane ( 50 ml ) was added.

Stirring was maintained for 3 hours at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ then for 24 hours at room temperature. The reaction mixture was then washed with dist. water, 1 N sodium bicarbonate, 1 N potassium hydrogen sulphate and water then dried over sodium sulphate anhydrous ( 24 hours at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The obtained solid was filtered off and crystallized from ethanol or methanol to give the esters (5 and 6).

5: Yield: 75; m.p. 108-111 0C. Rf x100 (solvent system) 78 (S1); $[\alpha]$ : - 12.04 (C, 0.02, MeOH). IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right):(\mathrm{KBr}): 3355(\mathrm{NH}$ stretching) $3029(\mathrm{CH}$ aromatic) 2949 (CH aliphatic) 1739 (C=O ester) 1720 and 1638 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ amide I and amide II). MS (EI, 70 eV ): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%)=422\left(\mathrm{M}^{+} .0 .07 \%\right), 423\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,0.16 \%\right), 424$ $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+2,1.01 \%\right), 425\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+3,0.38 \%\right), 426\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+4\right.$, $0.10 \%$ ), 409(1.01\%), 166 ( $80.71 \%$ ), 161(2.30\%), $149(100 \%)$, 121(39.08\%), 104(4.97\%), 103(4.46\%), $76(17.32 \%), \quad 65(53.37), \quad 51(25.72 \%), 50(37.37 \%)$. Molecular formula (M.wt.), $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ (602.6). Calculated analysis; $\mathrm{C}, 63.78 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.69 ; \mathrm{N}, 9.30 ; \mathrm{O}, 21.24$, Found; C 63.70, H 5.71, N 9.35.

6: Yield: 70; m.p. $108-110^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{Rf} \times 100$ (solvent system) $70\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1}\right)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : (KBr): 3375 ( NH stretching) $2940(\mathrm{CH}$ aromatic) 2830 (CH aliphatic) 1710 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ester), 1640 and $1460(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ amide I and amide II). MS $(\mathrm{EI}, 70 \mathrm{eV}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%)=450\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 13 \%\right), 260$ (19.73\%), 200 (11.55), 170 (15.43\%), 130 (22.19\%), 109 ( $18.60 \%$ ), 78(18.70\%), 67(100\%), 50(16.60\%). Molecular formula (M.wt.), $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ (450.4). Calculated analysis; C, 53.33 ; H, 5.82; N, 12.44, Found; C 53.19, H 5.75, N 12.38 .

### 1.1.4. Synthesis of $\mathbf{N}^{a}-1,4$-benzenedicarbonyl-bis-[dipeptide amino acid]; (7 and 8).

To a stirred and cold methanolic solution $\left(-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20\right.$ $\mathrm{ml})$ of the corresponding esters, ( $\mathbf{5}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ ) $(2 \mathrm{mmol})$, sodium hydroxide ( $1 \mathrm{~N}, 25 \mathrm{ml}$ ) was dropwisely added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 4 hours at the
same temperature then for 24 hours at room temperature. The solvent was distilled off under reduced pressure and the remaining aqueous solution was cooled and acidified with 1 N hydrochloric acid to $\mathrm{pH} \approx 3$. The obtained solid was filtered off and crystallized from ethanol to give the corresponding amino acid (7 and 8).

7: Yield: 70; white oily, Rf x100 (solvent system) $50\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1}\right) ;[\alpha]$ : -23.412 (C, $\left.0.02, \mathrm{MeOH}\right) . \mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : ( KBr ): 3377 ( NH stretching), 2974 (CH aromatic), 2863 (CH aliphatic), 1718 (C=O acid), 1546 and 1447 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ amide I and amide II). MS (EI, 70 eV ): m/z $(\%)=575\left(\mathrm{M}^{+} .2 .29 \%\right), 576\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,1.28 \%\right), 577\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$ $+2,3.30 \%), 578\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+3,1.20 \%\right), 579\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+4,0.71 \%\right)$, $580\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+5,1.15 \%\right), 551$ (3.4\%), 509 (3.82\%), 461 (2.04\%), 424 (1.69\%), 366 (2.50\%), 339 ( $9.33 \%$ ), 262 ( $15.78 \%$ ), 236 ( $12.91 \%$ ), 178 (4.19), 149 ( $11.96 \%$ ), 123 (23.97\%), 109 (36.27\%), 95 (61.57), 81 ( $70.51 \%$ ), 69 ( $66.75 \%$ ), 57 ( $89.25 \%$ ), 53 (6.43), 51 ( $8.06 \%$ ). Molecular formula (M.wt.), $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ (574.6). Calculated analysis; C: $62.71, \mathrm{H}: 5.26, \mathrm{~N}: 9.75$, Found; C 62.67, H 5.24, N 9.72.

8: Yield: 87; m.p. $115-117{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Rf x100 (solvent system) $60\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1}\right)$. IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): (KBr): $3066(\mathrm{NH}$ stretching), 2962 ( CH aromatic), 2669 ( CH aliphatic), $1690(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ acid), 1573, 1538 and $1512(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ amide I , amide II and amide III). $\quad$ MS (EI, 70 eV ): m/z (\%) = $423\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,43.28 \%\right), 241$ ( $0.84 \%$ ), 227 ( $42.86 \%$ ), 222 (46.64\%), 203 (49.58\%), 196 (47.90\%), 176 ( $47.48 \%$ ), 147 ( $100 \%$ ), 125 ( $14.71 \%$ ), 108 ( $52.10 \%$ ), 80 ( $92.44 \%$ ), 70 ( $22.69 \%$ ), 64 ( $40.76 \%$ ), 59 ( $21.43 \%$ ). Molecular formula (M.wt.), $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ (422.4). Calculated analysis; C: $51.18, \mathrm{H}: 5.25, \mathrm{~N}: 13.26$, Found; C 51.17, H 5.16, N 13.18 .

### 1.1.5. Synthesis of Cyclo-( $\mathbf{N}^{\alpha}$-1, 4-benzenedicar-bonyl)-bis-[dipeptide]-L-Lys-OMe (1, 4benzenedicarbonyl cyclic pentapeptide methyl esters); (9 and 10)

## A. Mixed anhydride method

Ethyl chloroformate ( $2.9 \mathrm{ml}, 30.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a stirred and cold $\left(-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of $1,4-$ benzenedicarbonyl-bis-[dipeptide amino acid] ( 7 and 8) $(15.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ and N -methylmorpholine ( 3.3 ml , 30.1 mmol ) in Dichloromethane ( 50 ml ). The reaction mixture was stirred for additional 30 minutes, then the one equivalent of free L-Lysine methyl ester ( 3.5 gm , $15.05 \mathrm{mmol})\left(-20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ in Dichloromethane ( 50 ml ) was added. Stirring was maintained for 3 hours at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ then for 24 hours at room temperature. The reaction mixture was then washed with dist. water, 1 N sodium bicarbonate, 1 N potassium hydrogen sulphate and water then dried over sodium sulphate anhydrous (24 hours at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The obtained solid was filtered off and crystallized from ethanol to give the corresponding cyclic pentapeptide methyl esters ( $\mathbf{9}$ and 10).

## B. $\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{N}^{\wedge}$ - Dicyclohexylcarbodiimide method

A cold tetrahydrofuran solution $\left(-5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{ml}\right)$ of free L-lysine methyl ester ( 1 mmol ) was added to a stirred dry tetrahydrofuran solution $\left(-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{ml}\right)$ of the corresponding 1,4-benzenedicarbonyl-bis-[dipeptide amino acids] ( 7 and 8) ( 1 mmol ). Dicyclohexylcarbodiimide ( $0.42 \mathrm{gm}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was then added, in portions, over 20 minutes at the same temperature to the reaction mixture. Stirring was maintained for 20 hours at room temperature. The reaction mixture was then diluted with acetonitrile ( 20 ml ) and the formed dicyclohexylurea was filtered off and washed with acetonitrile ( $2 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The filtrate was kept in refrigerator overnight and the newly formed dicyclohexylurea was then filtered off.

Tetrahydrofuran was evaporated to dryness and the obtained residue was dissolved in dichloromethane, washed with 1 N sodium bicarbonate, 1 N potassium hydrogen sulphate and water then dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate. The solvent was evaporated to dryness and the obtained oily residue was solidified by trituration with dry ether/n-hexane mixture. The obtained solid was collected by filtration and crystallized from ethanol/n-hexane. The cyclic pentapeptide methyl esters ( $\mathbf{9}$ and 10) were identified by melting point and TLC in comparison with authentic samples prepared according to method A .

9: Yield: 63: [A]; 55: [B]; m.p. 101-103 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Rf x100 (solvent system) $78\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1}\right) ;[\alpha]:-38.666(\mathrm{C}, 0.02$, $\mathrm{MeOH})$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : ( KBr ): 3310 (NH stretching), 3064 ( CH aromatic), 2933 ( CH aliphatic), 1732 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ester), 1697, 1655 and 1536 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ amide I, amide II and amide III). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right.$, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta: 7.98(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic H$), 7.95\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 6 \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ exchangeable) (sec. amide), $7.89(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, 2$ aromatic H)(L-Phe-ala), 4.95 (t, 2H, NHCHCH2Phe), 4.68 ( $\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathbf{C H N H}$ )(methino), 4.32 ( $\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ ) (methylene), 4.11 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ ), $3.21\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH} 2, \mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathrm{Phe}\right), 2.06(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHNH}$ ) (methylene), 1.86, 179 (m , $6 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{CH} 2, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathrm{CHNH}$ ). MS (EI, 70 eV ): m/z (\%) =698 (M+. 8\%), 624 (10.39\%), 547 (13.69), 429 ( $13.23 \%$ ). 365 ( $13.14 \%$ ), 351 (10.03\%), 308 ( $9 \%$ ), 424 ( $1.69 \%$ ), 278 ( $17.48 \%$ ), 272 (19.89\%), 244 ( $16.72 \%$ ), 192 ( $26.59 \%$ ), 177 (33.12), 156 ( $100 \%$ ), 144 ( $41.55 \%$ ), 132 ( $26.09 \%$ ), 116 (22.69), 86 ( $10.78 \%$ ), 70 ( $5.33 \%$ ), 57 ( $23.39 \%$ ), 51 (0.74\%). Molecular formula (M.wt.), $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ (698.8). Calculated analysis; C: 63.60, H: 6.06, N: 12.03, Found; C 63.60, H 6.08, N 12.00 .

10: Yield: 92: [A]; 65: [B]; m.p. oily ${ }^{0} \mathrm{C}$. Rf x100 (solvent system) $66\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1}\right) ;[\alpha]$ : -5.35 (C, 0.02, $\mathrm{MeOH})$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : ( KBr ): 3352 ( NH stretching), 2944 ( CH aromatic), 2832 ( CH aliphatic), 1654 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ester), 1452 and 1419 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ amide I and amide II). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$, DMSO- $_{6}$ ): $\delta: 8.31(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic H), 7.95-6.98 (s, $6 \mathrm{H}, 6 \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable) (sec. amide), $4.19 \quad(\mathrm{t}, \quad 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathbf{C H N H}$ ) (methino), 4.07, 3.81 ( s , $\left.8 \mathrm{H}, 4 \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right)($ methylene $), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$,
$\left.\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 3.59,3.57\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 3.21(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\mathbf{C H}}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHNH}$ )(methylene), 1.63, 1.57 ( $\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{CH} 2, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathrm{CHNH}$ ). ${ }^{13}$ C-NMR $\quad\left(\delta, \quad\right.$ ppm, $\quad$ DMSO- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right): \quad 15.1$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), \quad 22.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \underline{\mathbf{C H}}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right)$, $23.1 \quad\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right)$, 29 $\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathbf{-} \mathrm{C} H N H\right), 38.6,39.2\left(2 \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right)$, $40.2,40,39.9\left(3 \mathrm{NH}-\mathbf{C H}_{2}\right), 51.7\left(\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 52.3$ $\left(2 \mathrm{NCH}_{3} \mathbf{C H}_{2}\right), 126.9,126.4,126.3$ (4C, aromatic $\mathrm{C}_{2}$, $\mathrm{C}_{3}, \mathrm{C}_{5}, \mathrm{C}_{6}$ ), 129.8 (2C, aromatic $\mathrm{C}_{1}, \mathrm{C}_{4}$ ), 168.8 $\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHCO}\right), 169.3\left(2 \mathrm{NCH}_{3} \mathrm{COCH}_{2}\right) 173.6,173(2$ NHCO CH 2 ), $174.1\left(\mathbf{C O O C H}_{3}\right) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}, 70 \mathrm{eV}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $(\%)=548\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+2,27.92 \%\right), 525$ (28.93\%), 509 (28.93\%), 276 (26.14\%), 239 (26.65\%), 204 (2.03\%), 177 (29.44\%), 119 ( $26.14 \%$ ), 109 ( $27.92 \%$ ), 86 (30.96\%), 73 ( $12.69 \%$ ), 64 ( $100 \%$ ), 52 ( $6.09 \%$ ), 50 (1.27\%). Molecular formula (M.wt.), $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ (546.6), Calculated analysis; C: 54.94, H: 6.27, N: 15.38, Found; C 45.90 , H 9.22, N 15.35.

### 1.1.6. Synthesis of Cyclo-( $\mathbf{N}^{\alpha}$-1, 4-benzenedicar-bonyl)- bis-[dipeptide]-L-Lys-acid; (1, 4benzenedicarbonyl cyclic pentapeptides); (11 and 12)

To a stirred and cold methanolic solution $\left(-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20\right.$ ml ) of the corresponding cyclic pentapeptide methyl esters ( $\mathbf{9}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$ ) ( 1 mmol ), sodium hydroxide ( $1 \mathrm{~N}, 25$ $\mathrm{ml})$ was dropwisely added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 3 hours at the same temperature then for 24 hours at room temperature. The solvent was distilled off under reduced pressure and the remaining aqueous solution was cooled and acidified with 1 N hydrochloric acid to $\mathrm{pH} \approx 3$. The obtained solid was filtered off, washed with dist. water, dried and crystallized from ethanol/water to give the corresponding cyclic pentapeptides, ( $\mathbf{1 1}$ and 12).

11: Yield: 65; m.p. oily ${ }^{0} \mathrm{C}$. Rf x 100 (solvent system) $44\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1}\right) ;[\alpha]$ : -83.7 (C, 0.02, MeOH). IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$ ): ( KBr ): 3383 (NH stretching) 2924 (CH aromatic) 2858 ( CH aliphatic) 1724 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ acid) 1640, 1565 and 1451 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ amide I, amide II and amide III). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): $\delta: 12.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}$, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable), $8.61(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic H$), 8,7.96$ (s, 6H, 6NH, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable) (sec. amide), 7.89 , 7.87 ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2$ aromatic $\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}_{5}\right)$ ) (L-Phe-ala), $7.22,7.18\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2\right.$ aromatic $\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6}\right)$ )(L-Pheala), 7.15, 7.12 (m, 2H, aromatic H, C4)(L-Phe-ala), 4.60, 4.32 ( $\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Phe}$ ), 4.31-3.92 ( $\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathbf{C H N H}$ )(methino), 3.6-3.53 (s , $4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ )(methylene), 3.38-3.13 (dd, $\left.6 \mathrm{H}, \quad 3 \mathrm{CH} 2, \quad \mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathrm{Phe}\right), \quad 3.05(\mathrm{~m}, \quad 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{NH}_{\mathbf{C H}}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHNH}$ ) (methylene), 2.4-1.3 (m , $6 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{CH} 2, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHNH}$ ). MS (EI, $70 \mathrm{eV}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%)=684\left(\mathrm{M}^{+} .22 .68 \%\right)$, $655(39.50 \%)$, 636(35.51), 547(35.84\%).492(100\%), 457(76.63\%), 431(47.56\%), 367(60.68\%), 293(51.33\%), $276(52.32 \%), \quad 254(68.89 \%), \quad 233(58.41 \%)$, 204(59.73), 180(59.46\%), 170(66.41), 137(80.47\%), $125(68 \%), \quad 98(68 \%), \quad 78(66.07), \quad 69(80.24 \%)$,

67(40.27\%), 60(54.41\%), 51(23.54\%). Molecular formula (M.wt.), $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ (684.7), Calculated analysis; C: $63.15, \mathrm{H}: 5.89, \mathrm{~N}: 12.27$, Found; C $63.13, \mathrm{H}$ 5.77, N 12.22.

12: Yield: 90; m.p. $128-131{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Rf x100 (solvent system) $40\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1}\right) ;[\alpha]$ : -7 (C, 0.02, MeOH). IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$ ): ( KBr ): 3355 ( NH stretching), 2945 ( CH aromatic), 2832 ( CH aliphatic), 1651( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ acid), 1452 and 1418 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ amide I and amide II). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta$, ppm, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta: 12.57\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ exchangeable), $7.91,7.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic H$), 77.85,7.83(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H , aromatic H ), $7.37-7.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 6 \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ exchangeable)(sec. amide), $4.26 \quad(\mathrm{t}, \quad 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathbf{C H N H}$ ) (methino), 3.9 (s , 8 H , $4 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\left(2 \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}, 2 \mathrm{NCH} 3 \mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right)$ ) (methylene), $3.51,3.47\left(\mathrm{~s}, \quad 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 3.82(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHNH}$ ) (methylene), 2.49, 2.46 ( $\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{CH} 2, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathbf{C H N H}$ ). ${ }^{13}$ C-NMR ( $\delta, \quad$ ppm, $\quad$ DMSO- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right): \quad 15.1$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \underline{\mathbf{C H}}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right)$, $27.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \underline{\mathbf{C H}}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right)$, $28.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \underline{\mathrm{CH}}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), \quad 29.6 \quad \mathrm{NCH}_{3}, \quad 39.8$ ( $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathbf{C H N H}$ ), 43.5 ( $3 \mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 51.7 $\left(2 \mathrm{NCH}_{3} \underline{\mathbf{C H}}_{2}\right) 126.7,125.9$ (4C, aromatic $\mathrm{C}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{3}, \mathrm{C}_{5}$, $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ ), 129.02 (2C, aromatic $\mathrm{C}_{1}$, aromatic $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ ), 170.3 ( 6 C , $\left.4 \mathrm{NH} \mathbf{C}=\mathrm{O}, 2 \mathrm{NCH}_{3} \mathbf{C O}\right), 171.1(\underline{\mathbf{C}}=\mathrm{OOH}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}, 70$ $\mathrm{eV}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%)=531\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-1,39.33 \%\right), 461(41.57 \%)$, 171(3.75\%), 166(45.32\%), 143(39.33\%), 141(38.58\%), 123(44.57\%), 102(45.32\%), $97(32.96 \%), 87(33.33 \%), \quad 75(43.45 \%), \quad 64(100 \%)$, $57(15.36 \%), 52(41.57 \%)$. Molecular formula (M.wt.), $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{8}(532.5)$, Calculated analysis; C: 54.13, H: 6.06 , N: 15.78, Found; C 54.03, H 6.01, N 15.65.

### 1.1.7. Synthesis of Cyclo-( $\mathbf{N}^{a}$-1, 4-benzenedicar-bonyl)-bis-[dipeptide]-L-Lys-NHNH2; (1, 4-benzenedicarbonyl cyclic pentapeptide hydrazides); (13 and 14)

To a stirred methanolic solution ( 50 ml ) of the corresponding cyclic pentapeptide methyl esters ( $\mathbf{9}$ and 10) ( 1 mmol ), anhydrous hydrazine hydrate $(0.35 \mathrm{ml}$, $10 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 3 hours, after which the solvent was evaporated. The obtained residue was triturated with ether, filtered off and crystallized from methanol/ether to afford the corresponding cyclic hydrazides ( $\mathbf{1 3}$ and 14). 13: Yield: 53; m.p. $130-133{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Rf x100 (solvent system) $75(\mathrm{~S}) ;[\alpha]$ : -3.8 (C, $0.02, \mathrm{MeOH})$. IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): ( KBr ): 3296(NH stretching), 3065(CH aromatic), 22933(CH aliphatic), 1693(C=O Hyd.), 1648, 1538 and 1448( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ amide I, amide II and amide III). MS (EI, 70 eV$): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%)=698\left(\mathrm{M}^{+} .0 .97 \%\right), 622(4.40)$, $566(5.07 \%), 500(3.58 \%) .451(7.97 \%), 420(14.32 \%)$, $352(13.65 \%), \quad 346(11.75 \%), \quad 316(11.01 \%), \quad 300$ (11.22\%), 278(10.38\%), 225(23.99\%), 192(100\%), 173(10.67\%), 148(12.38), 110(6.26\%), 77(6.42\%), 62(4.95\%), 55(5.24), 51(1.10\%). Molecular formula (M.wt.), $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ (698.8), Calculated analysis; C: 61.88, H: 6.06, N: 16.04, Found; C 61.83, H 5.95, N 15.98.

14: Yield: 58; m.p. oily ${ }^{0} \mathrm{C}$. Rf x 100 (solvent system) $65(\mathrm{~S}) ;[\alpha]:-5.6(\mathrm{C}, 0.02, \mathrm{MeOH})$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : ( KBr ): 3384(NH stretching), 2943(CH aromatic), 2835(CH aliphatic), 1682(C=O Hyd.), 1453 and $1236\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}\right.$ amide I and amide II). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (500 $\mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ): $\delta: 8.62\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CONH} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$, $817-7.87(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic H$), 7.57-7.40(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 6 \mathrm{NH}$, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable)(sec. amide), 4.41 (t, 1 H , $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathbf{C H N H}$ ) (methino), 4.28 ( $\mathrm{s}, 8 \mathrm{H}$, $4 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\left(2 \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}, 2 \mathrm{NCH} 3 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right)$ ) (methylene), $4.09\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CONHNH}_{2}\right), 3.58,3.54\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 3.44,3.38\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ CHNH) (methylene), 1.94-1.10 (m , 6H, 3CH2, $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathrm{CHNH}$ ). ${ }^{13} \boldsymbol{C}$ - NMR ( $\delta$, ppm, DMSO-d $)_{6}$ : $22.8\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHNH}\right), 29.2$ $\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHNH}\right), 31\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHNH}\right), \quad 34.8, \quad 34.7 \quad\left(2 \mathrm{NCH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), \quad 38.8$, $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{\mathbf{C H}}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CHNH}\right), 47.5,47.4\left(2 \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}-\right.$ $\mathrm{CO}), 51.5,48.4\left(2 \mathrm{NCH}_{3} \mathbf{C H}_{2}\right), 60.4\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathbf{\underline { \mathbf { C H N H } }}$ ), 127.4, 127.3, 126.3 (aromatic 6C), $157.8,157.6\left(\mathrm{CONHCH}_{2}\right), 170\left(2 \mathrm{NCH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 172$ $\left(\underline{\mathbf{C O N H N H}_{2}}\right), 173.8\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathbf{C O N H}\right)$. MS (EI, 70 eV$)$ : $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%)=548\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,90.98 \%\right)$, 236(86.06\%), 222 ( $83.61 \%$ ), 199(95.08\%), 185(35.25\%), 184(86.07\%), $174(84.43 \%), \quad 148(86.07 \%), \quad 145(99.18 \%), 130$ ( $83.61 \%$ ), 124(92.62\%), 117(92.62\%), 92(99.18\%), 88(86.07\%), 76(100\%), 75(86.89\%), 70(25.41\%), 58 ( $9.02 \%$ ). Molecular formula (M.wt.), $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ (546.6), Calculated analysis; C: $52.74, \mathrm{H}: 6.27, \mathrm{~N}$ : 20.50, Found; C 62.70, H 6.26, N 20.42 .

### 2.2. In-vitro cytototoxic activity against some selected human cancer cell lines

The potential cytotoxicity of the cylcopentapeptides, $(9-14)$ was determined according to the colorimetric method, described by Skehan et al., for anticancer, "Drug Screening" [38].

In total, seven human cancer cell lines, namely, breast (MCF-7), liver (HEPG2), colon (CaCo-2), prostate (PC-3), cervical (HeLa), larynx (Hep-2) and breast (T47D) cancer cells were used. Two common reference anticancer drugs Doxorubicin and Tamoxifen were used as positive controls. A 96-well micro titer plate was handled using ELISA-reader SunriseTECAN. Sulforhodamine ${ }^{\circledR}$ (SRB) was used as the staining dye.

Cancer cells were placed in a 96 -well micro-titer plate ( $10^{4}$ cells/ well) for 24 hours before treatment with the candidates, to allow attachment of cells to the wall of the plate. Different concentrations of the compounds under test ( $0,10,25,50$ and $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) were added to the cell monolayer triplicates wells, (three wells for each dose). Monolayer cells were incubated with the compounds for 48 hours at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and in atmosphere of $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. After 48 hrs , cells were fixed, washed and stained with Sulfo-Rhodamine-B stain. After 48 hrs, cells were fixed, washed and stained with $10 \%$ trichloroacetic acid (to allow attachment of the cells to the wall of the plates). Cells were then washed
with water to remove the tri-chloroacetic acid, growth medium, low-molecular weight metabolites and serum proteins, and were finally air dried.

Cells were stained for 30 minutes with 0.4 \% (wt/vol, SRB, $1 \%$ acetic acid solution). At the end of the staining period, the dye was removed and cultures were quickly rinsed 4 times with $1 \%$ acetic acid solution, to remove the unbound dye. Bound dye was recovered by solubilization with Tris / EDTA (ethylene diamine tetra-acetic acid buffer). Color intensity was then, measured in an ELISA reader. For a specified candidate, the relation between surviving fraction and drug concentration was plotted to get the survival curve of each cancer cell line.
$\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ (the concentration of the compound needed to kill $50 \%$ of the initial cells) was determined for each compound. Compounds with no practical $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ (> 100 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) were considered as inactive. All results were expressed throughout as means +/- S.E.M.

## 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1. Chemistry

We have successfully synthesized, chemically characterized, and biologically evaluated many bisamino acid and peptide conjugates of N -isophthaloyl acid, N-phthaloyl acid, and 2,6-dipicolinic acid in our earlier research [24-37]. Here, a molecular structural comparison was carried out using 1,4-benzene dicarboxylic acid bridging pentapeptide analogues as an extrapolation of the realized anticancer outcomes.

In a synthetic process, the initial linear tetra peptide bis-esters, ( $\mathbf{5}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ ), were hydrolyzed to yield the corresponding free acids ( 7 and 8 ), and then they were cyclized with L-lysine methyl ester to yield the cyclopeptide esters ( $\mathbf{9}$ and 10), respectively. These cyclopeptide esters underwent hydrolysis or hydrazinolysis, yielding the cyclopeptide acids ( $\mathbf{1 1}$ and 12) or hydrazides ( $\mathbf{1 3}$ and 14).

Thus, Cyclo-[ $\mathrm{N}^{\alpha}$-1, 4-benzenedicarbonyl-bis-(Gly-L-Phe)-L-Lys]-OMe, 9, Cyclo-( $\mathrm{N}^{\alpha}-1, ~ 4$-ben-zenedicarbonyl-bis-(Gly-Gly)-L-Lys]-OMe, 10, Cy-clo-[ $\mathrm{N}^{\alpha}$-1, 4-benzenedicarbonyl-bis-(Gly-L-Phe)-L-Lys]-OH, 11, Cyclo-[ ${ }^{\alpha}$-1, 4-benzenedicarbonyl-bis-(Gly-Gly)-L-Lys]-OH, 12, Cyclo-[ $\mathrm{N}^{\alpha}$-1, 4-benzenedi-carbonyl-bis-(Gly-L-Phe)-L-Lys]-NHNH 2 , 13, Cy-clo-[ $\mathrm{N}^{\alpha}$-1, $\quad$ 4-benzenedicarbonyl-bis-(Gly-Gly)-L-Lys]- $\mathrm{NHNH}_{2} \mathbf{1 4}$, were rendered available, via conventional peptide synthesis, in solution.

Based on the acylation of glycine ester with 1, 4benzenedicarbonyl dichloride $\mathbf{2}$, which was created by converting 1, 4-benzenedicarboxylic acid $\mathbf{1}$ by its interaction with thionyl chloride, $\mathrm{N} \alpha-1$, 4-benzenedicar-bonyl-bis-(Glycine ethyl ester) $\mathbf{3}$ was obtained. Then the ester and acid chloride were combined at a low temperature while trimethylamine was present as an organic base. In the same way, $\mathrm{N} \alpha-1,4$-benzenedicarboxylic acid 1, and glycine ethyl ester were produced, with ethyl-chloroformate acting as a mixed anhydride
partner, to yield bis-Gly ethyl ester 3. N $\alpha-1,4$-ben-zenedicarbonyl-bis-(Glycine) 4 was obtained by hydrolyzing $\mathbf{3}$ with methanolic NaOH , Scheme 1.

In addition to the ester group, the presence of an aromatic ring, aliphatic hydrogens, and an amide linkage was confirmed by the IR spectrum of $\mathbf{3}$. The two classic distinctive infrared bands of the amide, amide I and II, located in the areas of 1660 and $1555 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, respectively, proved the amide linkage. The strong band in the areas $1766 \mathrm{~cm}-1$ (v C=O, ester) confirmed the presence of the ester group. Furthermore, the absorption band detected at $3485 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ is ascribed to $v$ NH , or hydrogen bound amide. Furthermore, the ( $v$ $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$, ester) was absent from the IR spectrum of 4 , and a band corresponding to ( $v \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$, acid) appeared at $1675 \mathrm{~cm}-1$, Scheme 1.


Scheme 1. Synthetic routes for $\mathrm{N}^{\alpha}$-1, 4-benzenedicar-bonyl-bis-(Glycine ethyl ester) $\mathbf{3}$ and the corresponding carboxylic acid 4 . Red color represents 1,4-ben-zenedicarbonyl-bis-Glycine.

Treatment of $\mathbf{4}$ with free amino acid esters namely, L-Phenylalanine methyl ester or Glycine ethyl ester, in the presence of ethyl chloroformate, afforded the corresponding $\mathrm{N}^{\alpha}-1$, 4-benzenedicarbonyl-bis-(dipep-tide)-esters, ( $\mathbf{5}$ and 6). Hydrolysis with ethanolic sodium hydroxide afforded the corresponding $\mathrm{N}^{\alpha}-1,4$ -benzenedicarbonyl-bis-(dipeptides), (7 and 8) (Scheme 2).

The presence of an aromatic ring, aliphatic hydrogens, and an amide linkage in addition to the ester groups was confirmed by the infrared spectra of compounds 5 and 6. Its three distinctive infrared bands ( 1749,1642 , and $1543 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, representing amide I, II, and III, respectively) verified the amide linkage. The ester group's band in the areas of $1752 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (v C=O, ester) confirms its presence, Scheme 2.
Furthermore, an absorption band at $3318 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ was detected, which was identified as hydrogen-bonded NH amide. Likewise, the infrared spectra of $\mathbf{7}$ and $\mathbf{8}$ revealed the presence of a band at $1749 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(v \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$, acid) in place of (v C=O, ester), Scheme 2.

Cyclization of the bis-dipeptides $\mathbf{7}$ and $\mathbf{8}$ with Llysine methyl ester, via different peptide coupling methods, afforded the corresponding cyclopentapeptide esters, ( $\mathbf{9}$ and 10), respectively, with acceptable yield and chemical purity (Scheme 3).

[ $\mathbf{( 5 , 7 ) : ~ R =} \mathbf{C H}_{2}$ Phe]; [(6, 8): $\mathbf{R}=\mathbf{H}$ ]
5: $\mathrm{N}^{\alpha}$-1, 4-benzenedicarbonyl-bis-(Gly-L-Phe)-OMe; 7: $\mathrm{N}^{\alpha}$--1, 4-benzenedicarbonyl-bis-(Gly-L-Phe)-OH
6: $\mathrm{N}^{\alpha}$-1, 4-benzenedicarbonyl-bis-(Gly-Gly)-OMe;
8: $\mathrm{N}^{\alpha}$-1, 4-benzenedicarbonyl-bis-(Gly-Gly)-OH
Scheme 2. Synthetic routes for compounds 5-8. Red color represents 1,4-benzenedicarbonyl-bis-Glycine.

Ultimately, the methyl groups of the cylcopentapeptides' L-Lys-OMe esters (9 and 10) were changed into hydrazide or carboxylic acid functionalities. Consequently, the corresponding acid analogues were obtained by hydrolyzing the cyclopentapeptide methyl esters ( 9 and 10 ) with 1 N methanolic NaOH ( $\mathbf{1 1}$ and 12). Equally, hydrazinolysis of cyclopentapeptide methyl esters, ( 9 and 10), respectively, with methanolic hydrazine hydrate, afforded the corresponding cyclopentapeptide hydrazides, ( $\mathbf{1 3}$ and 14), Scheme 3.

The infrared spectra of samples 11 and 12 revealed the existence of a band at $1706 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for ( $\mu \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$, acid) and the absence of ( $\mathrm{v} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$, ester). The amide and hydrazide groups' NH vibrations were visible in the IR spectra of compounds 13 and 14 , where they were centered at $3435 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, Scheme 3.

$\left[(9,11,13): \mathbf{R}=\right.$ CH2 $_{2}$ Phe $] ;[(10,12,14): \mathbf{R}=\mathbf{H}]$
9: Cyclo-( $\mathrm{N}^{\alpha}$-1, 4-benzenedicarbonyl-bis-[Gly-L-Phe]-L-Lys)-OMe
10: Cyclo-( $\mathrm{N}^{\alpha}$-1, 4-benzenedicarbonyl-bis-[Gly-GLy]-L-Lys)-OMe
11: Cyclo-( $\mathrm{N}^{\alpha}-1$, 4-benzenedicarbonyl-bis-[Gly-L-Phe]-L-Lys)-OH
12: Cyclo-( $\mathrm{N}^{\alpha}-1$, 4-benzenedicarbonyl-bis-[GlyGLy]-L-Lys)-OH
13: Cyclo-( $\mathrm{N}^{\alpha}$-1, 4-benzenedicarbonyl-bis-[Gly-L-Phe]-LLys) $-\mathrm{NHNH}_{2}$
14: Cyclo-( $\mathrm{N}^{\alpha}$-1, 4-benzenedicarbonyl-bis-[Gly-GLy]-LLys) $-\mathrm{NHNH}_{2}$
Scheme 3. Synthetic routes for compounds 9-14. Red color represents 1,4-benzenedicarbonyl-bis-Glycine.

### 3.2. Cytotoxic investigations

Determination of the potential cytotoxicity data ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ ) for the cyclopeptides $(\mathbf{9 - 1 4})$ was realized, by the Egyptian National Cancer Institute, Cairo, Egypt. The cytotoxic activity of the six compounds $\mathbf{9 - 1 4}$ was assessed using two human cancer cell lines: breast (MCF-7) and liver (HEPG2). Two reference drugs, Tamoxifen and Doxorubicin were, concomitantly assayed. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ (the concentration of the compound needed to kill $50 \%$ of the initial cells) was determined for each compound. The results are shown in table 1.

Table 1. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of the tested compounds 9-14 against the MCF-7 and HEPG-2 cell lines

|  | IC $_{50}(\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml})$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compound | MCF-7 | HEPG-2 |
| 9 | 4.04 | 2.82 |
| 10 | 16.4 | 11.7 |
| 11 | 20.3 | 20.5 |
| 12 | 6.02 | 10.1 |
| 13 | 19.1 | 17.2 |
| 14 | 10.9 | 15.5 |
| Tamoxifen | 8.31 | 10.9 |
| Doxorubicin | 2.97 | 3.73 |

All compounds showed concentration-dependent effects on both cell lines. Interestingly, compound 9 showed potent activity against both cancer cell lines, being almost twice more potent and 3.87 times more potent than Tamoxifen in MCF-7 and HEPG-2 cell lines respectively, while being almost $75 \%$ as potent as Doxorubicin and 1.3 times more potent than the same control in both MCF-7 and HEPG-2 cell lines respectively. On the other hand, compound $\mathbf{1 2}$ showed moderate activity against both cell lines, being more potent than Tamoxifen in MCF-7 cell line, as potent as Tamoxifen in HEPG-2 cell line, but less effective than Doxorubicin in both cell lines. The remaining compounds 10, 1113 and 14 displayed weak to moderate activity against both cell lines, with compounds $\mathbf{1 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 3}$ bẹing the least effective, suggesting than replacing the methyl group in compound 9 with either an acid group or a hydrazine hydrate group had a significant negative impact on biological activity.

Based on the promising results obtained with compound 9, additional five cell lines, namely colon (CaCo-2), prostate (PC-3), cervical (HeLa), larynx (Hep-2) and breast (T47D) cancer cells were used for further investigation of the antiproliferative activity of this cyclic pentapeptide. Table 2 summarizes all the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values for compound 9 in all seven cancer cell lines, using Doxorubicin as a reference positive control drug. For comparison purposes between compound 9 and doxorubicin, the $\%$ relative cytotoxicity was obtained from the formula:
\% Relative Cytotoxicity =
[100-( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ cyclopeptide candidate) $\times 100$ ] / [100( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ reference drug)].

Table 2. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of compound 9 compared to Doxorubicin reference drug against several cancer cell lines.
2. Marqus S., Pirogova E. and Piva T.J., Evaluation of the use of therapeutic peptides
Cancer cell line Compound 9 Doxorubifor cancer treafrevativBiGytedo\$icity24(21),

|  | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ndl}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| MCF-7 | 4.04 | $\mathbf{3 . 9 7}$ | D |
| HEPG-2 | 2.82 | 3.73 | N |
| CaCo-2 | 4.43 | 3.58 | $\ldots$ |
| PC-3 | 3.8 | 4.8 | p |
| Hela | 3.4 | 4.2 | m |
| Hep-2 | 8.4 | 3.73 | $i c$ |
| T47D | 3.38 | 47.8 | S |
|  |  |  | R |

Compound 9 was found to be more potent than doxorubicin in three cell lines, (almost 2.5 times inT47D, 1.2 times in HeLa and PC-3 cell lines), and almost as potent in CaCo-2 cell line, consequently representing a very promising anticancer candidate. Overall, the values of relative cytotoxicity show that compound 9 surpasses the potency of the reference Doxorubicin in four cell lines out of the seven tested, is almost as potent in a fifth one, and is only less effective in two cell lines but still with significant potency.

## 4. Conclusion

The goal of this work was to synthesize novel cyclic pentapeptides based on1,4-benzenedicarbonyl chloride. Compound 9, namely Cyclo-[ $\mathrm{N}^{\alpha}-1,4-$ benzenedicarbonyl-bis-(Gly-L-Phe)-L-Lys]-OMe, showed exceptionnally high potent anticancer activity in all the cell lines tested for cytotoxic activity.Assessment of its possible mechanism of action as multi-targeted kinase inhibitor will be investigated. We suggest, based on our previous work in macrocyclic peptide-based compounds, performing molecular docking studies within the active site of a kinase inhibitor in order to better understand the potential binding mode and possible interactions. Additionnally, profound biological investigations, including inhibitory evaluations against several kinase enzymes, seem worthy to be realized in the near future.
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