



## Reducing Chemical Fertilizers Partially by using Natural Alternative Sources of Organic Fertilizers and its Impact on “Hass” Avocado Trees

Eman A. A. Abd El-Moniem <sup>1</sup>, A. Y. I. Thabet <sup>2</sup>, Adel M. R. A. Abdelaziz <sup>3</sup>,  
M. H. M. Baiea <sup>1\*</sup> and O. A. Amin <sup>1</sup>



CrossMark

<sup>1</sup>Horticultural Crops Technology Department, National Research Centre, Dokki, Giza, Egypt.

<sup>2</sup>Pomology Department, National Research Centre, Dokki, Giza, Egypt.

<sup>3</sup>Central Lab of Organic Agriculture CLOA, Agricultural Research Center ARC, Giza, Egypt.

### Abstract

This research was conducted on avocado trees (*Persea americana*, Mill.) “Hass” cv. during 2020/2021 seasons in a private orchard located at El-Nubaria region, El-Beheira Governorate, Egypt. It was an attempt to substitute chemical fertilizers (CF) such as N, P, K and Mg with organic fertilizers such as compost (COM) and natural fertilizer sources (NFS) followed by a study of its impact on vegetative growth, yield, fruit quality, and nutritional status. Organic fertilizer was used alone or in combination with CF to fulfill the requirements of each tree. It contains an identical amount of compost (20 kg/tree) in combination with four levels of NFS to cover 100%, 75%, 50% and 25% of the tree's requirements. Considering that, the natural fertilizer sources (NFS) were granulated organic N fertilizer and natural raw rocky materials for P, K and Mg. The previous treatments were compared with 100% mineral recommended doses of N, P, K and Mg (control). Results indicated that mineral fertilization significantly improved values of all tested properties compared with all treatments in both seasons of the study with no significant differences between it and 50% organic fertilizer (20kg COM+NFS) + 50% CF treatment in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season with regard to the following traits (shoot length, shoot number/branch, fruit weight and pulp weight).

**Keywords:** Avocado, Hass, Growth, yield, Fruit quality and Nutritional status.

### 1. Introduction

Avocado (*Persea americana*, Mill) is a native American tropical fruit. It belongs to the family Lauraceae. It has developed into three horticultural races (West Indian, Guatemalan and Mexican [1], which are adaptable to a wide range of soil and climatic conditions [2]. Also, genus *Persea* constitutes of 150 species out of which 70 are grown in the warmer regions of north central and South America. Its other entire species are cultivated in east and south East Asia [3]. In addition, Due to its excellent nutritional value (high amount of high-density lipoprotein, folic acid, potassium, Vitamins A, B, C, D, and E), as well as its therapeutic characteristics, it has been traditionally cultivated for nutritional and medical uses [4, 5]. Avocado has earned international prominence and a substantial amount of trade. Despite its novelty in international trade, this unique fruit has been valued and used for at least 9000 years mostly around its Meso-American origins [6]. In 2020, world production of avocados

was 8 million tons, with Mexico alone accounting for 29.7% (2.4 million tons) of the total production [7]. Avocado was only grown in a few spots in El-Delta region, in the 50s and 60s of the previous centuries. Only one or two cultivars were grown in these areas but recently new areas as New Nubaria, Ismailia and El-Khatatba started to be grown with avocado cultivars i.e. Hass, Fuerte, Red and Bacon.

Hass is the world's most important avocado cultivar, with several characteristics that make it a favorite among growers, merchants, and consumers. In addition, the superior flavor and high demand firmly put Hass in its place as a luxury fruit. The fruit takes an oval shape, thick, pebbly skin that darkens when ripe [8, 9].

Fertilization is one of the most important practices for increasing vegetative growth, productivity and fruit quality of fruit trees. Chemical fertilizers (CF) are used to counteract low soil fertility, which makes orchard management more expensive and pollutes the environment. As a result, farmer producers should be

\*Corresponding author e-mail: mh.baiea@nrc.sci.eg; (Dr. Mohamed Hemdan Mohamed Baiea).

Receive Date: 25 April 2022, Revise Date: 17 May 2022, Accept Date: 22 May 2022, First Publish Date: 22 May 2022

DOI: 10.21608/EJCHEM.2022.135737.5978

©2022 National Information and Documentation Center (NIDOC)

tending to use natural alternative fertilizers instead of **CF**, which lead to improve production and access to safe fruits for local consumer and high exportation potential as well as reduce the costs [10, 11]. Hence, using organic fertilizer sources such as compost and natural alternative rocks (elements in a raw form) is considered as the perfect and safe solution to improve physical, chemical and biological properties of nearly all soil types, adjusting soil pH and increasing solubility production of the plants [12, 13]. The addition of organic fertilizer to the soil encouraged proliferation of soil microorganisms, increased microbial population and activity of microbial enzymes i.e. dehydrogenase, urease and nitrogenase [14, 15].

Based on the most important and recent literature data on organic fertilization for the fruit trees nutrition, the purpose of this research is studying the effect of replacing **CF** (N, P, K and Mg) by an alternative cheaper and ecologically friendly ones on vegetative growth, yield, fruit quality and nutritional status of "Hass" avocado trees.

## 2. Materials and methods

The research was carried out during two successive seasons (2020 and 2021) on 20-years old avocado trees (*Persea americana*, Mill.) Hass cv. grown on sandy soil at 6.5×6.5 meters apart (Approximately 100 trees/fed) under drip irrigation system in a private orchard located at New Nubaria, El-Beheira Governorate, Egypt. The physical and chemical properties of the experimental soil are presented in Table 1\*. The chosen trees were uniform in their vigor, size, shape and disease free. Trees were subjected to five treatments with three replicates per each and each replicate contains 3 trees (5 treatments × 3 replicates × 3 trees = 45 trees). Treatments were arranged in a randomized complete block design (**RCBD**).

Table 1 Physical and chemical analysis of the investigated soil

Characters	Value	Characters	Value
<b>Particle size distribution</b>		<b>Macro and micro nutrients</b>	
Clay %	5.00	N %	1.5
Silt %	2.00	P %	0.8
Sand %	90	K %	1.2
Texture	Sand	Ca (mg/L)	2.65
EC (mmhos cm <sup>-1</sup> )	1.50	Mg (mg/L)	2.40
pH	8.65	Fe (ppm)	1.00
Organic matter %	0.13	Zn (ppm)	1.20
Total carbonate %	2.00	Cu (ppm)	2.30

The chosen trees received the whole normal cultural practices. Chemical fertilizers doses (**CF**) were added through drip irrigation system during the two seasons of the study. The plants were received **CF** at the recommended doses of N, P, K and Mg (3.6Kg ammonium nitrate 33.5% N, 0.5Kg phosphoric acid 85% P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, 1.5Kg potassium sulphate 48% K<sub>2</sub>O and 2.8Kg Magnesium sulphate 16% MgSO<sub>4</sub>/tree/year).

On the other hand, organic fertilizers were applied to the soil in winter (the 2<sup>nd</sup> week of December) in both seasons of the study, either alone or in conjunction with **CF**, to fulfill the demand of each tree. Organic fertilizer was consisting of the same dose of **COM\*\*** (20 kg/tree/year), it was applied to organic treatments on both sides of the tree in combination with four levels of natural fertilizer sources (**NFS**) to cover 100, 75, 50, and 25% of the tree's requirements.

Natural fertilizer sources (**NFS**) of organic fertilizers contains granulated organic N fertilizer of 20% actual N<sup>\*\*\*</sup>, rock phosphate (granulated natural mineral rocky material P source of 18% actual P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub><sup>\*\*\*</sup>), feldspar (granulated natural mineral rocky material K source of 12% actual K<sub>2</sub>O<sup>\*\*\*</sup>) and dolomite (granulated natural mineral rocky material of Mg source of 12% actual Mg(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub><sup>\*\*\*</sup>). The treatments were described as follow:

- T<sub>1</sub>. Control: 100% recommended doses of N, P, K and Mg (**CF**)
- T<sub>2</sub>. 100% organic fertilizer (20kg **COM** + **NFS**)
- T<sub>3</sub>. 75% organic fertilizer (20kg **COM** + **NFS**) + 25% **CF**
- T<sub>4</sub>. 50% organic fertilizer (20kg **COM** + **NFS**) + 50% **CF**
- T<sub>5</sub>. 25% organic fertilizer (20kg **COM** + **NFS**) + 75% **CF**

\* An annual analysis of the soil is advisable to guarantee that the percentage of the elements is in the safe limits.

\*\* The chemical composition of the tested compost is shown in Table 2.

\*\*\* Prepared, purified and salad by AL AHRAM MINING COMPANY.

Table 2 Physical and chemical analysis of the compost

Analysis	Value	Analysis	Value
M <sup>3</sup> weight	792 kg	P %	0.3
Moisture %	31	K %	1
PH (1:10)	8.9	Ca %	1.8
EC (ds/m)	3.41	Mg %	0.9
Organic matter	35.6	Fe (ppm)	1012
C/N ratio	17.6	Mn (ppm)	116
Organic carbon %	26.4	Zn (ppm)	28
Total N %	1.8	Cu (ppm)	17.90

## Measurements

### Vegetative growth parameters

In the 1<sup>st</sup> week of September in both seasons the following parameters are measured:

- Shoot length (cm)
- Number of shoots per branch
- Number of leaves per shoot
- Canopy volume of trees (m<sup>3</sup>) was measured which tree shape was considered as one-half of a prolate spheroid (volume =  $\frac{4}{6} \times \pi \times \text{height} \times \text{radius}^2$ ,  $\pi = 22/7$ ) as described by Roose *et al.* [16].

### Leaves chemical analysis

Furthermore, in the 1<sup>st</sup> week of September in both seasons of the study, 20 six-month-old spring flush leaves from non-fruiting terminals shoots were collected uniformly around each tree [17] and total Chlorophyll (mg.g<sup>-1</sup>) was calorimetrically determined in leaves samples according to Saric *et al.* [18]. Then, leaf sample was washed by tap water then with distilled water and dried using oven at 70°C to a constant weight according to Chapman and Pratt [19] then grounded and subjected to the following nutritional status determinations:

- Nitrogen was measured by semi-micro Kjeldahl as percentage described by Plummer [20].
- Phosphorus was determined using a spectrophotometer as percentage by the method outlined by Jackson [21].
- Potassium and calcium were determined by a flame photometer Jenway PFP7 as percentage according to method of Jackson [22].
- Magnesium as percentage and iron, manganese, zinc and copper as ppm were determined using atomic absorption spectrophotometer Perkin Elmer 1100 [23].

### Yield, fruit physical parameters and fruit quality

At the 1<sup>st</sup> week of September, as soon as the harvest maturity indicators appeared on the fruits as described by Rodriguez *et al.* [24], twenty-seven fruits from each treatment (nine fruits per replicate) were collected and transferred to laboratory to measure and determine the following parameters:

#### Yield and fruit physical parameters

- Fruits number per tree, fruit weight (g), seed weight (g) and pulp weight (g) were determined.
- Yield per tree (Kg) = fruits number per tree × fruit weight

#### Fruit quality parameter

- Total soluble solids percentage (TSS Brix %) determined by Carl Zeiss hand refractometer

- Total acidity was determined based on linoleic acid, a predominant acid in avocados, as used by Maftoonazad and Ramaswamy [25].
- Vitamin C. was expressed as mg ascorbic acid per 100 ml juice according to AOAC [26].

### Statistical analysis

The data obtained in each season were analyzed by ANOVA according to Snedecor and Cochran [27]. Means were separated by Duncan [28] and multiple range test using a significance level of  $P < 0.05$ .

## 3. Results

### Vegetative growth parameters

It is evident from the results in Table 3 that highest effect of fertilization treatments on all of the considered vegetative growth parameters i.e. shoot length, number of shoots/branch and number of leaves/shoot were attributed to the T<sub>1</sub> control treatment (CF) in the 1<sup>st</sup> season of the study which gave 26.14cm, 6 and 16 followed by T<sub>4</sub> (50% organic fertilizer (20kg COM+NFS) + 50% CF) which gave 24.27cm, 5 and 13 shoot length, number of shoots/branch and number of leaves/shoot respectively. However, in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season no significant differences were observed between T<sub>1</sub> (CF) and T<sub>4</sub> (50% organic fertilizer (20kg COM+NFS) + 50% CF) regarding the shoot length and number of shoots/branch. In this respect, T<sub>1</sub> (CF) recorded 26.58cm and 6.66 while, T<sub>4</sub> (50% organic fertilizer (20kg COM+NFS) + 50% CF) gave 26.55cm and 6.33 for shoot length and number of shoots/branch respectively. On the other hand, T<sub>2</sub> (100% organic fertilizer (20kg COM+NFS)) gave the lowest values on all vegetative parameters in both seasons of the study. Concerning canopy volume parameter the results show that, no significant differences between all treatments and the control treatment in both seasons of the study.

### Leaves chemical analysis

#### Total Chlorophyll (mg.g<sup>-1</sup>)

Results presented in Table 4 show that, T<sub>1</sub> (CF) was superior in comparison with organic treatments which gave 1.93 and 1.95 mg.g<sup>-1</sup> in both seasons of the study, respectively. However, T<sub>2</sub> (100% organic fertilizer (20kg COM+NFS)) gave the lowest value of total chlorophyll in leaves (1.60 and 1.70 mg.g<sup>-1</sup>) in the 1<sup>st</sup> and the 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, respectively. The rest of treatments were in between without any significant differences among all of them.

### Leaves Macro and Micro Elements Content

Table 4 showed leaf macro and micro elements content of "Hass" avocado trees as affected by organic fertilization.

**Table 3 Effect of natural fertilizer sources on vegetative growth parameters of Hass avocado cultivar**

Parameters		Shoot length (cm)		Number of shoots /branch		Number of leaves /shoot		Canopy volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	
		2020	2021	2020	2021	2020	2021	2020	2021
T <sub>1</sub>	Control (100% NPK Chemical) CF	26.14 a	26.58 a	6.00 a	6.66 a	16.00 a	17.33 a	76.00 a	77.67 a
T <sub>2</sub>	100% organic fertilizer (20kg COM + NFS)	17.58 d	18.08 c	1.33 d	2.00 c	7.00 d	7.40 d	74.67 a	75.17 a
T <sub>3</sub>	75% organic fertilizer (20kg COM + NFS) + 25% CF	18.08 d	18.75 c	1.33 d	2.33 c	10.00 c	10.66 c	74.00 a	75.20 a
T <sub>4</sub>	50% organic fertilizer (20kg COM + NFS) + 50% CF	24.27 b	26.55 a	5.00 b	6.33 a	13.00 b	15.33 b	75.17 a	76.67 a
T <sub>5</sub>	25% organic fertilizer (20kg COM + NFS) + 75% CF	22.92 c	24.08 b	3.00 c	4.66 b	11.00 c	12.33 c	75.33 a	76.00 a

Mean separation within each column by Duncan Multiple Range (0.05). Means with similar letters are insignificantly different.

**Table 4 Effect of natural fertilizer sources on leaves content of chlorophyll and macro-nutrients of Hass avocado cultivar**

Parameters		Chlorophyll (mg.g <sup>-1</sup> )		N (%)		P (%)		K (%)		Ca (%)		Mg (%)	
		2020	2021	2020	2021	2020	2021	2020	2021	2020	2021	2020	2021
T <sub>1</sub>	Control (100% NPK Chemical) CF	1.93 a	1.95 a	1.97 a	1.99 a	0.19 a	0.19 a	1.73 a	1.75 a	2.41 a	2.46 a	0.61 a	0.62 a
T <sub>2</sub>	100% organic fertilizer (20kg COM + NFS)	1.60 c	1.70 c	1.72 c	1.81 c	0.09 c	0.11 d	1.50 c	1.57 c	1.65 d	2.00 d	0.44 d	0.50 b
T <sub>3</sub>	75% organic fertilizer (20kg COM + NFS) + 25% CF	1.65 b	1.79 b	1.74 c	1.86 b	0.11 bc	0.13 c	1.60 b	1.62 b	1.75 c	2.30 c	0.50 c	0.53 b
T <sub>4</sub>	50% organic fertilizer (20kg COM + NFS) + 50% CF	1.69 b	1.83 b	1.81 b	1.94 a	0.13 b	0.17 b	1.63 b	1.73 a	1.84 b	2.41 ab	0.55 b	0.61 a
T <sub>5</sub>	25% organic fertilizer (20kg COM + NFS) + 75% CF	1.68 b	1.83 b	1.79 b	1.89 b	0.12 b	0.16 b	1.62 b	1.72 a	1.82 b	2.35 bc	0.53 b	0.58 a

Mean separation within each column by Duncan Multiple Range (0.05). Means with similar letters are insignificantly different.

#### - Leaves macro elements content (%)

Leaf nitrogen content was significantly affected by different treatments in both seasons of the study. T<sub>1</sub> (CF) and T<sub>4</sub> (50% organic fertilizer (20kg COM+NFS) + 50% CF) recorded the highest nitrogen content in leaves in both seasons of the study (1.97 and 1.99% for T<sub>1</sub> and 1.81 and 1.94% for T<sub>4</sub>) without any significant differences between them in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season.

Concerning phosphorus content in leaves, results indicated that, T<sub>1</sub> (CF) had a significant effect on phosphorus percentage in leaves compared with all other treatments which gave 0.19% in both seasons of the study. Followed by T<sub>4</sub> (50% organic fertilizer (20kg COM+NFS) + 50% CF) and T<sub>5</sub> (25% organic

fertilizer (20kg COM+NFS) + 75% CF) in both seasons of the study.

When we transfer to potassium content in leaves, T<sub>1</sub> (CF) gave the highest K% content in the leaves (1.73 and 1.75%) in both seasons, respectively. No significant difference between T<sub>1</sub> (CF) and T<sub>4</sub> (50% organic fertilizer (20kg COM+NFS) + 50% CF) and T<sub>5</sub> (25% organic fertilizer (20kg COM+NFS) + 75% CF) in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season.

In addition, treated trees with T<sub>1</sub> (CF) recorded the best percentage of leaf calcium content (2.41 and 2.46%) in the 1<sup>st</sup> and the 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, but it had no significant differences with trees treated with T<sub>4</sub> (50% organic fertilizer (20kg COM+NFS) + 50% CF). Also, there were no significant differences between T<sub>4</sub> (50% organic fertilizer (20kg

COM+NFS) + 50% CF)) and T<sub>5</sub> (25% organic fertilizer (20kg COM+NFS) + 75% CF)) on the one hand and between T<sub>5</sub> (25% organic fertilizer (20kg COM+NFS) + 75% CF)) and T<sub>3</sub> (75% organic fertilizer (20kg COM+NFS) + 25% CF) on the other hand.

Magnesium percentage in leaves was significantly affected by all treatments, whereas T<sub>1</sub> (CF) gave the highest leaf content of Mg% (0.61 and 0.62%) in the 1<sup>st</sup> and the 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, respectively. An absence of significant differences was recorded between T<sub>1</sub> (CF) and both of T<sub>4</sub> (50% organic fertilizer (20kg COM+NFS) + 50% CF)) and T<sub>5</sub> (25% organic fertilizer (20kg COM+NFS) + 75% CF)) in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season.

In general, T<sub>2</sub> (100% organic fertilizer (20kg COM+NFS)) gave the lowest values of all macro nutrients content in leaves.

#### - Leaves micro elements content (ppm)

Table 5 shows that leaves content of Fe, Mn, Zn and Cu were significantly affected by different treatments in the two experimental seasons, and T<sub>1</sub> (CF) was superior in this respect. However, no significant differences were illustrated between T<sub>1</sub> (CF) and T<sub>4</sub> (50% organic fertilizer (20kg COM+NFS) + 50% CF)) concerning Fe, Mn and Cu in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season. T<sub>2</sub> (100% organic fertilizer (20kg COM+NFS)) gave the lowest values of leaf content of Fe, Mn, Zn and Cu in this point.

#### Yield and fruit physical parameters

Results in Table 6 clearly show that, applying organic fertilizers of N, P, K and Mg, couldn't get over the effect of T<sub>1</sub> (CF) in both seasons of the study concerning yield/tree and some fruit physical parameters i.e. number of fruits/tree and seed weight. This gave 130 and 128 for the number of fruits/tree, 45.4 and 44.0 Kg for yield/tree. Regarding fruit weight and pulp weight T<sub>4</sub> (50% organic fertilizer (20kg COM+NFS) + 50% CF)) gave the highest value of fruit weight (349.3 and 346.7 g) and pulp weight (317.0 and 316.3 g) in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons respectively, Followed by T<sub>1</sub> (CF). However, T<sub>2</sub> (100% organic fertilizer (20kg COM+NFS)) gave the lowest values of yield and fruit physical parameters in both seasons of the study. The rest of the treatments were in between.

#### Fruit quality parameters

It is obvious from the results in Table 7 the effect of mineral fertilizers and organic fertilizers of N, P, K and Mg on fruit TSS, acidity and vitamin C of Hass avocado in 2020 and 2021 seasons, which T<sub>1</sub> (CF) had a superior effect in this respect in comparison with natural fertilizer treatments. It gave 9.50 and 9.67% for TSS, 1.05 and 1.02% for acidity and 10.68 and 10.74 mg.100g<sup>-1</sup> for vitamin C in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup>

seasons, respectively. Followed by T<sub>4</sub> (50% organic fertilizer (20kg COM+NFS) + 50% CF)) which recorded 8.51 and 8.68% for TSS, 0.95 and 0.92% for acidity and 8.03 and 9.83 mg.100g<sup>-1</sup> for vitamin C in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, respectively. However, T<sub>2</sub> (100% organic fertilizer (20kg COM+NFS)) gave the lowest values of fruit quality parameters in both seasons of the study. The rest of the treatments occupied a middle rank between them.

#### 4. Discussion

Continuous use of chemical fertilization contributes to the degradation of soil characteristics, fertility, deposition of heavy metals in plant tissues, affecting the nutritional value and edibility of the fruit [29]. There is a general agreement that nutrition is one of the most effective factors affecting vegetative growth, yield, and fruit quality, [30], however, the high cost of mineral fertilization is a major problem affecting fruit tree growers. In addition, a new research studies have shown that chemical fertilization has a role to play in health issues and environmental degradation. Besides, agricultural land is impoverished and high doses of agrochemicals need to be introduced, which greatly pollute the environment in the long run [31].

Hence, organic fertilization has a positive effect in this respect, because it improves the physical, chemical and biological properties of all soil forms, changes soil pH, increases soil solubility and plant output. Furthermore, applying organic fertilizers not only increases the organic matter in the soil but also increases the available phosphorus and the exchangeable potassium, calcium and other micro-elements by affecting soil pH, promotes the propagation of soil microorganisms, raises the microbial community and the activity of microbial enzymes [32, 33]. Also, compost-based therapies can protect against the emergence of plant diseases and/or promote improved plant physiological status by improving the quantity and quality of crop production [34]. The obtained results show that, using organic fertilization in addition with mineral fertilization T<sub>4</sub> (50% organic fertilizer (20kg COM+NFS) + 50% CF)) has a positive effect on vegetative growth parameters, chlorophyll and nutritional status. These results are in a line with those obtained by Abd-Rabou [35] on avocado, Ennab *et al.* [36] and EL-Khwaga *et al.* [37] on Washington Navel orange, Barakat *et al.* [38] and Vazquez-Ovando and Andrino-Lopez [39] on Williams and Grande Naine banana, Peralta-Antonio *et al.* [40] on mango, Abou El-Khashab [41] on olive, El-Shenawy and Fayed [42] on *Crimson seedless* grapevines, Zhang *et al.* [43] and Milošević and Milošević [44] on apple, Mohamed *et al.* [45] and Eissa *et al.* [46] on Le-Conte pear trees, Fayed *et al.* [47] on peach and, Stino *et al.* [48] and Milošević *et al.* [49] on apricot.

**Table 5 Effect of natural fertilizer sources on leaves content of micro-nutrients of Hass avocado cultivar**

Parameters		Fe (ppm)		Mn (ppm)		Zn (ppm)		Cu (ppm)	
		2020	2021	2020	2021	2020	2021	2020	2021
T <sub>1</sub>	Control (100% NPK Chemical) CF	72.80 a	73.33 a	135.00 a	136.00 a	118.50 a	119.33 a	47.50 a	48.33 a
T <sub>2</sub>	100% organic fertilizer (20kg COM + NFS)	58.40 d	62.00 d	115.00 d	120.67 c	92.50 d	100.67 d	37.40 d	40.33 c
T <sub>3</sub>	75% organic fertilizer (20kg COM + NFS) + 25% CF	61.33 c	66.00 c	121.50 c	130.50 b	103.54 c	108.00 c	39.50 c	41.67 c
T <sub>4</sub>	50% organic fertilizer (20kg COM + NFS) + 50% CF	65.50 b	71.67 ab	127.00 b	135.00 a	108.00 b	114.33 b	41.50 b	47.00 ab
T <sub>5</sub>	25% organic fertilizer (20kg COM + NFS) + 75% CF	64.00 b	69.00 bc	125.00 b	134.50 ab	105.00 c	110.67 bc	40.80 bc	45.33 b

Mean separation within each column by Duncan Multiple Range (0.05). Means with similar letters are insignificantly different.

**Table 6 Effect of natural fertilizer sources on yield and fruit physical parameters of Hass avocado cultivar**

Parameters		Number of fruit /tree		Fruit weight (g)		Yield/tree (kg)		Seed weight (g)		Pulp weight (g)	
		2020	2021	2020	2021	2020	2021	2020	2021	2020	2021
T <sub>1</sub>	Control (100% NPK Chemical) CF	130 a	128 a	348.3 a	343.0 b	45.4 a	44.0 a	35.2 a	33.6 a	313.2 b	309.4 b
T <sub>2</sub>	100% organic fertilizer (20kg COM + NFS)	71 e	70 e	222.3 d	216.0 e	15.8 e	15.0 e	28.4 d	26.2 d	193.9 e	189.8 e
T <sub>3</sub>	75% organic fertilizer (20kg COM + NFS) + 25% CF	86 d	86 d	250.7 b	246.0 c	21.5 d	21.0 d	28.8 d	26.3 d	221.9 c	219.7 c
T <sub>4</sub>	50% organic fertilizer (20kg COM + NFS) + 50% CF	115 b	109 b	349.3 a	346.7 a	40.2 b	38.0 b	32.3 b	30.3 b	317.0 a	316.3 a
T <sub>5</sub>	25% organic fertilizer (20kg COM + NFS) + 75% CF	110 c	103 c	245.0 c	242.0 d	27.0 c	25.0 c	30.5 c	28.0 c	214.5 d	214.0 d

Mean separation within each column by Duncan Multiple Range (0.05). Means with similar letters are insignificantly different.

**Table 7 Effect of natural fertilizer sources on fruit quality parameters of Hass avocado cultivar**

Parameters		TSS (%)		Acidity (%)		Vitamin C (mg.100g <sup>-1</sup> )	
		2020	2021	2020	2021	2020	2021
T <sub>1</sub>	Control (100% NPK Chemical) CF	9.50 a	9.67 a	1.05 a	1.02 a	10.68 a	10.74 a
T <sub>2</sub>	100% organic fertilizer (20kg COM + NFS)	6.00 d	6.25 d	0.56 e	0.56 d	6.61 d	7.19 e
T <sub>3</sub>	75% organic fertilizer (20kg COM + NFS) + 25% CF	7.33 c	7.50 c	0.73 d	0.72 c	6.83 d	7.83 d
T <sub>4</sub>	50% organic fertilizer (20kg COM + NFS) + 50% CF	8.51 b	8.68 b	0.95 b	0.92 b	8.03 b	9.83 b
T <sub>5</sub>	25% organic fertilizer (20kg COM + NFS) + 75% CF	7.75 bc	7.83 c	0.81 c	0.76 c	7.20 c	8.48 c

Mean separation within each column by Duncan Multiple Range (0.05). Means with similar letters are insignificantly different.



The advancing effect of long-term use of optimum level of organic fertilizers T<sub>4</sub> (50% organic fertilizer (20kg COM+NFS) + 50% CF)) could give a logical explanation for its positive action on physiochemical parameters of the fruits. Because of the high availability of nutrients as a result of employing organic fertilizers, cell division and cell expansion, as well as natural hormones, may be stimulated, resulting in larger fruits [50]. These results coincide well with those obtained by Abd-Rabou [35] on avocado, EL-Khwaga *et al.* [37] and El-Gioushy *et al.* [51] on Washington Navel orange trees, Fikry *et al.* [52] on Murcott Tangerine trees, Osman *et al.* [53] and Salama *et al.* [54] on Bartamuda and Hayany date palms, Baiea *et al.* [55] on Grande Naine banana, Peralta-Antonio *et al.* [40] and El-Gioushy *et al.* [56] on mango trees, Abou El-Khashab [41] on olive, El-Gioushy [57] and Baiea *et al.* [58] on Manfalouty and Wonderful pomegranate trees, Osman and Abd El-Rhman [59] on fig trees, Zhao *et al.* [60], Mekawy and Abd El-Hafeez [61] and El-Salhy *et al.* [62] on grapevines, Milošević and Milošević [44] on apple, Fayed *et al.* [47] on peach and El-Naggar [63] and EL-Gioushy and Baiea [64] on Canino apricot trees.

## 5. Conclusions

From the above-mentioned results, it could be concluded that, although chemical fertilization was superior in the 1<sup>st</sup> season more than other treatments. However, in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season some of vegetative growth and fruit physical characteristics were starting to appear a great improving due to replacing chemical fertilization (100% mineral N, P, K and Mg form) partially through using a combination between organic fertilizers equivalent to (50% organic fertilizer (20kg COM+NFS)) and chemical fertilizers (50% CF) for long-term to get good results.

## 6. List of abbreviations

Chemical fertilizers: **CF**, Compost: **COM**, Natural fertilizer sources: **NFS**, Randomized Complete Block Design: **RCBD** and Total Soluble Solids: **TSS**.

## 7. Formatting of funding sources

National Research Centre, 33 El Buhouth St., Dokki, Giza, Egypt.

## 8. Acknowledgment

Authors are grateful to the National Research Centre, Giza, Egypt for its support and funding for the project "New applied approaches to promote productivity and quality of avocado fruits" during 2020/2022 that included this work.

## 9. References

- [1] Bergh B.O. and E., Lahav (1996). Avocados in fruit breeding, vol 1: Tree and Tropical Fruits (ed J Janick & JN Moore) Wiley and Sons, New York, USA, pp. 113-166.
- [2] Purseglove, J.W., (1968). *Persea americana* Mill In: Tropical Crops: Dicotyledons. 1 Longmans, London, pp. 192-198.
- [3] Ding, H.; Y.W., Chin; A.D., Kinghorn and S.M., D'Ambrosio (2007). Chemo preventive characteristics of avocado fruit. *Semin Cancer Biol.*, 17(5): 86-94.
- [4] Ochoa, A.S.; L.A.T.M.H., Maarten and M.B., Nicolai (2009). Modelling the transient effect of 1-MCP on 'Hass' avocado softening: A Mexican comparative study. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, 51: 62-72.
- [5] Saucedo-Carabez, J.R.; D., Teliz-Ortiz; S., Ochoa-Ascencio; D., Ochoa-Martinez; M.R., Vallejo-Perez and H., Beltran-Pena (2015). Effect of avocado sunblotch viroid (ASBVd) on the postharvest quality of avocado fruits from Mexico. *Journal of Agric. Sci.*, 7(9):85-92.
- [6] Smith, C.E., (1966). Archaeological evidence for selection in avocado. *Economic Botany* 20: 169-175.
- [7] FAO, FAOSTAT (2020) Statistical Database Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, <https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QCL/visualize>
- [8] Pisani, C.; M.A., Ritenour; E., Stover; A., Plotto; R., Alessandro; D.N., Kuhn and R.J., Schnell, (2017). Postharvest and sensory evaluation of selected 'Hass' × 'Bacon' and 'Bacon' × 'Hass' avocado hybrids grown in East-Central Florida. *HortScience* 52, 880–886.
- [9] Cervantes-Paz, B. and E.M., Yahia (2021). Avocado oil: Production and market demand, bioactive components, implications in health, and tendencies and potential uses. *Compr Rev Food Sci Food Saf.* 20, 4120-4158.
- [10] Hegazi, A.H.; N.R., Samra; E.A., Hassan; and A.M., Yasmin (2014). Effect of compost as organic fertilizer, rocks and some different biofertilizers on yield and quality of *Flame seedless* grapevines. *J. Plant Production, Mansoura Univ.*, 5 (10):1625 – 1636.
- [11] El-Salhy, A.M.; E.H., Salem; M.M., Abada and Attiat M., Mostafa (2021). Efficiency of organic and bio-fertilization on reducing the rates of mineral fertilizers in *Flame seedless* vineyards. *SVU-International Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 3 (3): 60-70.
- [12] Zhou, X.W.; Z.Y., Li; B., Lu; X.N., Chen and Y.W., Yi (2001). Study on the improvement of soil of the newly established orchard on the reclaimed purple soil. *J. Fruit Sci.*, 18(1): 15-19.

- [13] Griffiths, B.S.; B.C., Ball; T.J., Daniell; R., Neilson; R.E., Wheatley; G., Osler and M., Bohanec (2010). Integrating soil quality changes to arable agricultural systems following organic matter addition, or adoption of a ley-arable rotation. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 46, 43–53.
- [14] Youssef, A.H.; A.H., El-Fouly; M.S., Youssef and S.A., Mohamadien (2001). Effect of using organic and chemical fertilizers in fertigation system on yield and fruit quality of potato plants. *Resent Technologies in Agriculture. Proc. of the 2<sup>nd</sup> Congress*, 9(1): 79-94.
- [15] Abou-Hussein, S.D.; I., El-Oksha; T., El-Shorbagy and A.M., Gomaa (2002). Effect of cattle manure, bio fertilizers and reducing mineral fertilizer on nutrient content and yield of potato plant. *Egypt. J. Hort.*, 29(1): 99-115.
- [16] Roose, M.L.; D.A., Cole; D., Atki and R.S., Kupper (1989). Yield and tree size of four citrus cultivars on 21 rootstocks in California. *J. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci.*, 114(4), 678–684.
- [17] Jaime, E. Salvo and Carol J. Lovatt (2016). Nitrogen fertilization strategies for the ‘Hass’ avocado that increase total yield without reducing fruit size. *Hortechology*, 26(4): 426-435.
- [18] Saric, M.; R., Kastrori; R., Cuic; T., Cupina; and L., Geric, (1967). Chlorophyll determination univ. Unoven sodu park tikum is fiziologize Biljaka, Beogard, Hauncna, Anjiga, p. 215.
- [19] Chapman, H.D. and P.E., Pratt (1978). *Methods of Analysis for Soils, Plants and Waters*. Univ. of California, Div. Agric. Sci., vol. 4034.
- [20] Plummer, D.T., (1978). *An introduction to practical biochemistry*, 2nd ed. Mc Graw Hill Book Company, London, p. 144.
- [21] Jackson, M.H., (1973). *Soil Chemical Analysis*. Prentice Hall of India, New Delhi, Chap. 8, 183–204.
- [22] Jackson, M.L., (1958). *Soil chemical analysis*, Prentice-Hall, Inc. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey.
- [23] Cottanie, A.; M., Verloo; L., Kiekens; G., Velghe and R., Camerlynch (1982). *Chemical Analysis of Plant and Soils. Lab. Anal. Agroch.* State Univ., Ghent, Belgium, chap. 2, 14–32, chap. 3, 33–53.
- [24] Rodriguez, P.; J.C., Henao; G., Correa and A., Aristizabal (2018). Identification of Harvest Maturity Indicators for ‘Hass’ Avocado Adaptable to Field Conditions. *HorTechnology*. 28(6): 815-821.
- [25] Maftoonazad, N. and Ramaswamy, H.S., (2008). Effect of pectin-based coating on the kinetics of quality change associated with stored avocados. *J. Food Process. Preserv.* 32 (4), 621–643
- [26] AOAC – Association of Official Agriculture Chemists (1995). *Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC International*. Arlington, Virginia, USA, chap. (45)18–20, (37)10.
- [27] Snedecor, G.W. and Cochran, W.G., (1982). *Statistical*, 6<sup>th</sup> ed. The Iowa State Univ. Press, Amess, Iowa, USA, 365–372.
- [28] Duncan, D.B. (1955). Multiple ranges and multiple F testes. *Biometrics*, 11, 1–42.
- [29] Tamara, V.R.; G.K., Nadezhda and A.M., Natalya (2005). Influence of soil application of biological and mineral fertilizers on the growth, yield and fruit biochemical components of ‘charavnitsa’ apple and on some agrochemical soil characteristics. *Acta Scientiarum Polonorum, Hortorum Cultus*, 4: 59-67.
- [30] Kassem, H.A. and H.A., Marzouk (2002). Effect of organic and/or mineral nitrogen fertilization on the nutritional status, yield and fruit quality of *Flame seedless* grapevines grown in calcareous soils. *J. Advan. Agric. Res.*, 7(1): 118-126.
- [31] Kabeel, H.; G.S., Abd El-Latif and A.A., Khalil (2005). Effect of soil application of different mineral and biofertilizer treatments on growth, fruiting parameters, fruit properties and leaf nutrient content of "Canino" apricot trees. *J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ.*, 30(3): 1583-1594.
- [32] Youssef, A.H.; A.H., El-Fouly; M.S., Youssef and S.A., Mohamadien (2001). Effect of using organic and chemical fertilizers in fertigation system on yield and fruit quality of potato plants. *Resent Technologies in Agriculture. Proc. of the 2<sup>nd</sup> Congress*, 9(1): 79-94.
- [33] Abou Hussein, S.D.; L., EL-Oksha; T., EL-Shorbagy and A.M., Gomaa (2002). Effect of cattle manure, biofertilizers and reducing mineral fertilizer on nutrient content and yield of potato plant. *Egypt. J. Hort.*, 29(1): 99-115.
- [34] Loredana, L.; P., Catello; A., Donatella; C., Giuseppe; Z., Massimo and D., Marisa (2015). Compost and compost tea management of mini watermelon cultivations affects the chemical, physical and sensory assessment of the fruits. *Agricultural Sciences*, 6, 117-125.
- [35] Abd-Rabou, F.A., (2006). Effect of microbein, phosphorein and effective micro-organisms (EM) as biostimulants on growth of avocado and mango seedlings. *Egypt J, Appl. Sci.*, 21 (6B):673 -693.
- [36] Ennab, H.A.; M.A., El Shemy and M.H., Abd El Aziz (2019). Impact of organic and inorganic nitrogen fertilizers on Washington Navel orange trees. I. Vegetative growth, yield and soil properties. *Menoufia J. Plant Prod.*, Vol. 4: 395 - 413.
- [37] EL-Khwaga, A.A.; F.M., Abd El-Latif; M.H.M., Baiea and S.F., EL-Gioushy (2021). Minimizing 50% of chemical NPK fertilizers by compost and



- EM and their impact on growth, nutritional status, productivity and fruit quality of Washington Navel. *Annals of Agric. Sci., Moshtohor* Vol. 59(1), 45 – 56.
- [38] Barakat, M.R.; S., El-Kosary and M.H., Abd-ElNafea (2011). Enhancing Williams banana cropping by using some organic fertilization treatments. *Journal of Horticultural Science & Ornamental Plants* 3 (1): 29-37.
- [39] Vazquez-Ovando, J.A. and D.K., Andrino-Lopez (2012). Sensory and physico-chemical quality of banana fruits (Grande Naine) grown with biofertilizer. *African J. of Agric., Res.* vol. 7 (33): 4620-4626.
- [40] Peralta-Antonio, N.; A., Rebolledo-Martínez; A.E., Becerril-Román; D., Jaén-Contreras and A.L., del Angel-Pérez (2014). Response to organic fertilization in mango cultivars: Manila, Tommy Atkins and Ataulfo. *J. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.* 14, 688 - 700.
- [41] Abou El-Khashab, A.M., (2003). Growth and chemical constituents of some olives cultivars as affected by biofertilizers and different water regimes. *Egypt J. Agric. Res.*, 1 (2):243-265.
- [42] El-Shenawy, I.E. and T.A., Fayed (2005). Evaluation of the conventional to organic and bio-fertilizers on "*Crimson seedless*" grapevines in comparison with chemical fertilizers. A-Vegetative growth and nutritional status. *Egypt. J. Appl. Sci.*, 20 (1): 192-211.
- [43] Zhang, L.; J., Zhou; Y.G., Zhao; Y., Zhai; K., Wang; A.K., Alva and S., Paramasivam (2013). Optimal combination of chemical compound fertilizer and humic acid to improve soil and leaf properties, yield and quality of apple (*Malus domestica*). *Pakistan Journal of Botany*, 45, 1315-1320.
- [44] Milošević, T. and N., Milošević (2015). Apple fruit quality, yield and leaf macronutrients content as affected by fertilizer treatment. *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*. 15 (1), 76-83.
- [45] Mohamed, S.M.; T.A., Fayed; A.F., Ismail and N.A., Abdou (2010). Growth, nutrient status and yield of Le-Conte pear trees as influenced by some organic and biofertilizer rates compared with chemical fertilizer. *Bull. Fac. Agric. Cairo Univ.* 61: 17-32.
- [46] Eissa, Fawzia, M.; M.A., Faith and S.A., El-Shall (2007a). The role of humic acid and rootstock in enhancing salt tolerance of Le-Conte pear seedlings. *J Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ.*, 32 (5): 3651-3666.
- [47] Fayed, T.A.; A.M., Hussein; A.A., Rashedy and M.S., Elaksher (2019). Effect of different types of organic fertilizers on the growth and productivity of peach cv "*Florida prince*". *Middle East J. Agric. Res.*, 8(1): 347-355
- [48] Stino, R.G.; A.T., Mohsen; M.A., Maksoud; M.M., El-Migeed; A.M., Gomaa and A.Y., Ibrahim (2009). Bio-organic fertilization and its impact on apricot young trees in newly reclaimed soil. *American-Eurasian Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Science*, 6 (1): 62-69.
- [49] Milošević, T.; N., Milošević; I., Glišić; L., Bošković- Rakočević and J., Milivojević (2013). Fertilization effect on trees and fruits characteristics and leaf nutrient status of apricots which are grown at Cacak region (Serbia). *Sci. Hortic.* 164, 112–123.
- [50] Nijjar, G.S., (1985). Nutrition of fruit trees. Mrs. Usha. Raji Kumar, Kalyani, New Delhi, India, pp. 306-308.
- [51] El-Gioushy, S.F. and Eissa, A.M., (2019). Effectiveness of different NPK fertilization sources on growth, nutritional status, productivity and fruit quality of Washington navel orange trees. *J. of Hort. Sci. & Ornamental Plants* 11 (2): 134-143.
- [52] Fikry, A.M.; T.A.M., Abou Sayed-Ahmed; F.S., Mohsen and M.M., Ibrahim (2020). Effect of nitrogen fertilization through inorganic, organic and biofertilizers sources on vegetative growth, yield and nutritional status in Marcott tangerine trees. *Plant Archives Volume 20 No. 1*, pp. 1859-1868.
- [53] Osman, S.O.A.; F.M.A., Moustafa; H.A., Abd El-Galil and A.Y.M., Ahmed (2011). Effect of yeast and effective microorganisms (EM1) application on the yield and fruit characteristics of Bartamuda date palm under Aswan conditions. *Assiut J. of Agric. Sci.*, 42 (Special Issue) (The 5th Conference of Young Scientists Fac. of Agric. Assiut Univ. May 8, 2011) (332-349).
- [54] Salama, A.S.M.; O.M., El- Sayed and O.H.M., El Gammal (2014). Effect of effective microorganisms (EM) and potassium sulphate on productivity and fruit quality of "Hayany" date palm grown under salinity stress. *IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science*. 7 (6): Ver. I, PP 90-99.
- [55] Baiea, M.H.M. and S.F., EL-Gioushy (2015). Effect of some different sources of organic fertilizers in presence of bio-fertilizer on growth and yield of banana cv. Grande Naine plants. *Middle East Journal of Agriculture Research*. 4(4): 745-753.
- [56] El-Gioushy, S.F.; A., Abdelkhalek and A.M.R.A., Abdelaziz (2018). Partial replacement of mineral NPK by organic and bio-fertilizers of *Fagri Kalan* mango trees. *Journal of Horticultural Science & Ornamental Plants*, 10(3): 110-117.
- [57] El-Gioushy, S.F., (2016). Comparative study on the NPK fertilization sources of young

- Manfalouty pomegranate trees. J. Plant Production, Mansoura Univ., 7(10): 1037-1042.
- [58] Baiea, M.H.M.; Abdel Gawad-Nehad, M.A. and A., Abdelkhalek (2017). Influence of natural alternative NPK and biofertilizations on vegetative growth and nutritional status of young Wonderful pomegranate trees. Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition. 2(3):1-8.
- [59] Osman, S.M. and I.E., Abd El-Rhman (2010). Effect of organic and bio N-fertilization on growth, productivity of fig tree (*Ficus carica*, L.). Research J. of Agric. and Biological Sci., 6(3): 319-328.
- [60] Zhao, F.; Y., Jiang; X., He; H., Liu and K., Yu (2020). Increasing organic fertilizer and decreasing drip chemical fertilizer for two consecutive years Improved the fruit quality of 'Summer Black' grapes in arid areas. HortScience horts, 55(2): 196-203.
- [61] Mekawy, A.Y. and A.M., Abd El-Hafeez (2020). Reducing the amount of mineral phosphorus and potassium fertilizers by using its natural sources for *Red Globe* grapevines. Journal of Applied Horticulture, 22(2):110-116.
- [62] El-Salhy, A.M.; E.H., Salem; M.M., Abada and Attiat M. Mostafa (2021). Efficiency of organic and bio-fertilization on reducing the rates of mineral fertilizers in *Flame seedless* vineyards. SVU-International Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 3 (3): 60-70.
- [63] El-Naggar, Y.I., (2009). Physiological studies on fertilization of young apricot trees "Canino" cultivar. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric. Moshtohor, Benha University, Egypt.
- [64] EL-Gioushy, S.F. and M.H.M., Baiea (2015). Partial substitution of chemical fertilization of Canino apricot by bio and organic fertilization. Middle East Journal of Applied Sciences, 5(4): 823-832.