Electrospinning of Polyvinylidene Fluoride Membranes: Effect of Membrane Composition and Fabrication Conditions
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Abstract

Membrane fabrication by the electrospinning can provide tenable structure membranes with interconnected pores, large surface area, and high porosity. This study focuses on studying the effect of different membrane compositions (i.e., polymer concentration and inorganic additives) and electrospinning conditions (i.e., internal needle diameter, tip to collector distance, and feed flow rate) on the characteristics of polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) flat sheet membrane. A homogeneous dope solution was prepared by dissolving the PVDF in a mixture of dimethylacetamide (DMAc) and acetone. The electrospinning conditions producing uniform homogeneous beadless fibers are as follows: 16 wt% polymer concentration, 0.004 wt% LiCl concentration, 0.51 mm internal needle diameter, 15 cm tip to collector distance, feed flow rate of 1 ml/hr. Further analysis was conducted by using Fourier Transform Infrared Spectrometer (FTIR) and X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) to assess the impact of electrospinning on fibers elemental composition. Additionally, static water contact angle, porosity, fiber diameter, and pore size measurements reveal the formation of submicron hydrophobic fibers with random alignment.
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Introduction

Membranes are selective barriers or interphases between two bulk phases that have been widely adopted over the last 30 years for various applications such as wastewater treatment, bioreactors, gas separation, etc. [1-3]. Membranes can be fabricated from organic or inorganic materials such as polymers (i.e., cellulose acetate, polyethylene, etc.) [4], metals (i.e., stainless steel, tungsten, etc.) [5], and ceramics (i.e., a mixture of metals such as aluminum or titanium and nonmetals such as oxides, nitrides, or carbides) [5]. Each category has its characteristics and advantages that match with certain purposes and/or applications. In terms of membrane fabrication by organic materials, numerous studies in the open literature have demonstrated that the polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) is a privileged choice owing to its remarkable physicochemical properties and its capabilities to fulfill predefined manufacturing requirements [4]. Several methods can be utilized for membranes’ fabrication such as electrospinning, phase inversion, dip coating, etc [6-8]. Among these methods, the electrospinning has proven its high capabilities in fabricating highly porous fibrous membranes with large surface area and consistent size distribution [9]. Electrospinning is a simple technique used to fabricate submicron fibrous (i.e., fiber diameters range between micrometers and nanometers) membranes by subjecting a polymer solution to an intense electric field at a certain voltage value [10]. This critical voltage is defined as the value at which the repulsive
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electric force overcomes the solution surface tension so the solution can eject from the tip to the collector. During flowing to the collector, solidification occurs to the solution jet, due to the evaporation of the solvent, and fibers are deposited on a flat plate collector [10]. The resulting fibers are named “electrospun or e-spun fibers” and they are characterized by outstanding properties such as high porosity (may reach more than 80%) with a wide range of pore size distribution, diameters range between micrometers and nanometers with a consistent size distribution, exceptional pore interconnectivity, and high surface-to-volume ratio [11]. Therefore, different polymers (i.e., synthetic and natural polymers) have been electrospun and utilized in different applications such as filtration, conducting devices, wound dressing, water/wastewater treatment, and scaffolds for tissue engineering [10].

While the concepts of electrospinning may seem simple on the surface, many parameters can affect the size, morphology, alignment, and quality of the fibers produced, each with a varying impact on the final product [12]. These parameters are classified as solution properties, process parameters, and environmental conditions as listed in Error! Reference source not found. This study is set out to delineate the membrane compositions and the electrospinning system (NANON-01A, MECC CO., LTD. JAPAN) processing conditions to fabricate a beads-free PVDF flat sheet membrane with uniform fiber distribution and homogeneity [13].

Table 1. Different parameters affecting the electrospinning process [9]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solution properties</th>
<th>Process parameters</th>
<th>Environmental conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concentration</td>
<td>Electrostatic potential</td>
<td>Temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viscosity</td>
<td>Electric field strength</td>
<td>Humidity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface tension</td>
<td>Electrostatic field shape</td>
<td>Local atmospheric flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conductivity</td>
<td>Working distance</td>
<td>Atmospheric composition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dielectric constant</td>
<td>Feed rate</td>
<td>Pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solvent volatility</td>
<td>Orifice diameter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Materials and methods

Materials

Raw PVDF pellets (ρ = 1.78 g/cm³, average Mw ~ 275,000 g/mol), supplied from Sigma Aldrich, USA, N, N-dimethylacetamide (DMAc) (ACS, >99% and density of 0.937 g/ml) and acetone (HPLC grade, > 99.9%) were purchased from DOP ORGANIK KIMYA (Turkey). Lithium chloride (LiCl) as an inorganic additive was purchased from Merck, Singapore.

Membrane fabrication
Preparation of electrospinning dope solution

Dope solutions for electrospinning were prepared by dissolving the given amount of PVDF in a solvent mixture of 20 vol% DMAc and 80 vol% acetone as shown in Error! Reference source not found.. Lithium chloride (LiCl) was added to some dope solutions to investigate the effect of inorganic additives on fibers’ homogeneity. All dope solutions were subjected to continuous stirring for 12 hours at 500 rpm and 80°C to get a homogenous solution suitable for the electrospinning process. Then, ultrasonic degassing (LABSONIC, model: LBS2 4.5 LT, FALC INSTRUMENTS TREVIGLIO, ITALY) was executed for 1 hour at room temperature (25 ± 2°C) as final step before the electrospinning.

Table 2. Amount of PVDF and additive in the membrane samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dope code</th>
<th>PVDF (wt%)</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Additive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E1</td>
<td>14 %</td>
<td>86 %</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2</td>
<td>14 %</td>
<td>86 %</td>
<td>LiCl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E3</td>
<td>16 %</td>
<td>84 %</td>
<td>LiCl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Electrospinning process

Electrospinning was performed in the nanofiber electrospinning system (NANON-01A, MECC CO., LTD. JAPAN, shown in Error! Reference source not found.). The setup consists of a horizontal syringe, capillary pump, flat plate collector, and high-power supply voltage. Throughout the experiments, some parameters were kept constant as the spinneret speed 100 mm/s, cleaning frequency 1 hr., cleaning interval 1 s, and electric voltage 20 kV. All dope solutions were electrospun for 5 hours, then the
prepared membranes were put in an oven at 60°C for 24 hours to remove the residuals of the solvents.

Figure 1. Electrospinning equipment and mechanism

Membrane characterization

The PVDF electrospun membrane samples were cut into 2 cm x 2 cm flat sheet, sputtered by gold, and examined by Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) (JEOL JSM-6010LV) to examine the morphology of the PVDF fabricated membrane and to explore the membrane composition and electrospinning conditions adequate to produce beadles fibrous membrane. More insights of the characteristics of these beadles PVDF membranes were gained by using the Fourier Transform Infrared Spectrometer (FTIR) (Bruker Vertex 70) and X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) (Shimadzu XRD-6100) techniques and the static water contact angle (Theta Lite, Biolin Scientific, Sweden), porosity, fiber diameter, and pore size measurements. The FTIR and the XRD were used to determine the phases existing after the electrospinning process while the remaining measurements were used to evaluate membrane hydrophobicity and fibers’ random alignment.

Variables studied

In the current study, the effects of different electrospinning parameters (i.e., needle internal diameter, tip to collector distance (TCD), and pumping flow rate) as well varying membrane composition (i.e., inorganic additives and polymer concentration), on PVDF fibrous membranes’ fabrication were investigated. The examined variables are listed in Error! Reference source not found..<ref>

Table 3. Investigated electrospinning conditions and membrane compositions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample code</th>
<th>Flow rate (mL/hr)</th>
<th>Internal needle diameter (mm)</th>
<th>Electric voltage (kV)</th>
<th>Tip to collector distance (cm)</th>
<th>Dope code (Error! Reference source not found.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electrospinning processing conditions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needle internal diameters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>E1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tip to collector distances</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>E1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow rates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>E1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane composition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inorganic additive concentrations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>E1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polymer concentrations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>E2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results and discussion

Electrospinning processing conditions

As listed in Error! Reference source not found., three different internal needle diameters (samples coded M1, M2, and M3) were considered namely: 0.26 mm, 0.51 mm, 0.84 mm, respectively. By
referring to Figure 2, a medium needle diameter (0.51 mm) produces a membrane with a small number of beads compared with the other values. Large needle diameter produces thick fibers that with prolonged exposure to the atmosphere could lead to clogging of the needle. On the other hand, very small needle diameters produce small diameter electrospun fibers with high surface tension forces. Therefore, greater columbic forces are required for stretching and extruding a droplet at the needle tip. Since the voltage supplied is kept constant throughout the experiments, the polymer solution is ejected as a droplet rather than fibers in case of very small diameter and a large number of beads are formed within the membrane structure [15]. Therefore, a needle with an internal diameter of 0.51 mm is more preferable than other values examined and hence used in subsequent experiments (see Error! Reference source not found.).

Figure 2. SEM images for (a) M1, internal needle diameter 0.26 mm; (b) M2, internal needle diameter 0.51 mm; and (c) M3, internal needle diameter 0.84 mm. Effect of tip to collector distance

The effect of the tip to collector distance (TCD) on fiber production was investigated at different values namely: 11, 13, and 15 cm for M4, M2, and M5, respectively. As shown in Figure 3, the optimum value for TCD is 15 cm. At TCD less than 15 cm, SEM results show formation of beads as shown in Figure 3. Beads formation is attributed to the short distance and subsequently the increased electric field between the tip and the collector that accelerates fibers jet travel towards the collector. This acceleration causes insufficiency of the allowable time for stretching fibers and solvent evaporation. Hence, fibers merge and form junctions on the collector. Therefore, 15 cm was selected as the optimum value for the subsequent electrospinning experiments [11].
Figure 3. SEM images for (a) M4, TCD 11 cm; (b) M2, TCD 13 cm; and (c) M5, TCD 15 cm

Effect of pumping flow rate

The feed rate from the syringe pump was varied for the samples coded from M5 to M8. As shown in Figure 4, M6, at a feed flow rate of 1 ml/hr, has minimum beads in comparison to the other samples. Indeed, higher feed rates result in pumping large volumes of the polymer solution within insufficient time through the tip. Similarly to the low TCD values, time insufficiency causes incomplete solvent evaporation and thus fibers are allowed to fuse and causes formation of beads in the membrane structure [15, 16].
Figure 4. SEM images for: (a) M5, flow rate 0.5 ml/hr; (b) M6, flow rate 1 ml/hr; (c) M7, flow rate 1.5 ml/hr; and (d) M8, flow rate 2.5 ml/hr

Membrane composition
The composition of the dope solution significantly affects the membrane structure and the electrospinning process.

Effect of inorganic additives
The effect of LiCl was studied on two samples (M7 and M9) in which M9 contains 0.004 wt% of LiCl. As shown in Figure 5, M9 sample is characterized by more uniform electrospun fibers with fewer beads. This may be attributed to the presence of LiCl which improve the electrostatic interaction between DMAC/Acetone mixture and PVDF polymer leading to the enhancement of polymer solution conductivity. Increasing polymer conductivity generates a high charge density at the tip due to large self-repulsion existing between charged tip surface and negatively charged chloride ions. Moreover, large elongation forces are created due to the high mobility of these chloride ions lead to fast ejection of thin tense fibers. Accordingly, it is concluded that the LiCl improved the electrospin fibers structure [14].

Figure 5. SEM images for (a) M7, without LiCl and (b) M9, with 0.004 wt% LiCl

Effect of polymer concentration
Polymer concentration significantly affects the dope solution viscosity. Increasing viscosity has contrary effects. Although high viscosity leads to the production of improved-quality fibers, exceeding certain limits would cause difficulty in pumping the dope solution through the needle. In this study, the effect of two different PVDF concentrations (i.e., 14 wt% and 16 wt% PVDF in M9 and M10, respectively) was investigated. As shown in Figure 6, M10 has shown few beads within the membrane structure due to the greater chain entanglements induced by polymer that maintain the continuity of the jet during the electrospinning [15].

Figure 6. SEM images for (a) M9, polymer
concentration 14 wt% and (b) M10, polymer concentration 16 wt%.

Based on the previous narrative and within the present range of investigated parameters, the optimum conditions for the electrospinning system (NANON-01A, MECC CO., LTD. JAPAN) are listed in Error! Reference source not found. Table 4. Optimum conditions for fabrication PVDF membrane

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electric voltage</th>
<th>20 kV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spinneret speed</td>
<td>100 mm/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleaning frequency</td>
<td>1 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleaning interval</td>
<td>1 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polymer concentration</td>
<td>16 wt%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pumping flow rate</td>
<td>1 ml/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tip to collector distance</td>
<td>15 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal needle diameter</td>
<td>0.51 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiCl concentration</td>
<td>0.004 wt%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SEM characterization of optimized electrospinning process**

Figure 7 shows a SEM characterization of the electrospun membrane fabricated at the conditions, mentioned in Error! Reference source not found.. It is revealed that adopting these conditions yields free beads homogenous fibers throughout the membrane structure.

Figure 7. SEM image at the optimum electrospinning conditions

**Extensive characterization of the free beads fabricated membrane**

Further characterization of the free beads PVDF membrane fabricated at the conditions mentioned in Error! Reference source not found. were conducted and discussed in the subsequent sections.

**X-Ray Diffraction (XRD)**

It is generally known that PVDF exhibit one of the following three crystalline structures, depending on the preparation conditions: Form I (β-type crystal with planar zigzag conformation, orthorhombic), Form II (α-type crystal with TGT₆, monoclinic), and Form III (Y-type crystal with TTTGTGT₆, Monoclinic) [17]. Error! Reference source not found., shows the XRD patterns for raw PVDF and electrospun PVDF membrane. For the raw PVDF, three considerable peaks are observed at 17.58°, 18.40°, and 20.25°. The diffraction peaks at 17.58° and 18.40° reveal the presence of Form II (α-type) which are assigned to the lattice planes (1 0 0) and (0 2 0), respectively, while the peak (20.25°) shows the presence of Form III (Y-type) for plane (1 0 1). The shorter apexes and wider range peaks observed for the electrospun PVDF membrane reflect a decrease in percentage crystallinity due to electrospinning. Analogous to the XRD patterns for raw PVDF, diffraction peaks, that were detected at 17.8° and 19.58°, reveal the existence of Form II (α-type) and Form III (Y-type), respectively. [17-26]. This is consistent with the findings of [17] in which the dope solution, consists of PVDF and DMAC/Acetone mixture. It is worth to mention that both studies formed the polymer solution at temperatures higher that 70°C below which the β phase is formed [27].

**Fourier Transform Infrared Spectrometer (FTIR)**

The FTIR analysis shows the active bonds and phases in the membrane structure. As shown in Error! Reference source not found., peaks at 3022 and 2978 cm⁻¹ reveals the CH₂ asymmetric and
symmetric stretching vibration, respectively. The peaks at 1401 and 838 cm\(^{-1}\) show CH\(_2\) deformation and rocking vibrations, respectively, while that at 1070 cm\(^{-1}\) is assigned to C–F wagging vibration. Moreover, characteristic bands at 1174, 877, and 761 cm\(^{-1}\) belongs to CF\(_2\) stretching, deformation, and bending vibrations, respectively. The PVDF membrane is also characterized by the presence of α-phase at 610 cm\(^{-1}\) and existence of Y-phase at 1275 and 482 cm\(^{-1}\) which confirms the XRD findings mentioned above [28, 29].

Using the gravimetric method in which membrane samples (3 cm × 3 cm) were fully wetted with ethanol for 30 mins and then equation (1) was used to calculate the percent membrane porosity \(Ak\) [30].

\[
Ak = \frac{\left(\frac{w_1 - w_2}{\rho_{ethanol}}\right)}{\left(\frac{w_1 - w_2}{\rho_{ethanol}} + \frac{w_2}{\rho_{PVDF}}\right)} \times 100
\]

Where \(w_1\) and \(w_2\) are the weights of the wet and dry membrane (grams), respectively. \(\rho_{ethanol}\) and \(\rho_{PVDF}\) are the specific gravities of the ethanol (0.789 g/cm\(^3\)) and PVDF polymer (1.78 g/cm\(^3\)) [30]. It is worth mentioning that, this test was repeated three times to ensure the reproducibility of the results and by substituting in equation (1), it was found that it is equal to 90 ± 2.1 %.

**Fiber diameter and pore diameter**

The average fiber diameter and pore size distribution was quantified by processing the obtained SEM images by an image processing software (ImageJ) [31]. Obtained results reveal the existence of approximately 200 pores within the membrane structure with an average pore diameter and fiber diameter of 0.501 ± 0.04 µm and 0.363 ± 0.06 µm, respectively. These values are in a good agreement with a previous related study for the authors [32].

**Applications of studied PVDF membrane**

The fabricated PVDF membrane at the optimum conditions is further used in wastewater treatment and water desalination to examine its performance in our lab. Firstly, it is combined with a photocatalytic reactor for methylene blue degradation [6]. Secondly, graphene oxide is added to the membrane for water desalination by direct contact membrane distillation [33].

**Remarks and conclusion**

Fibrous PVDF membranes were fabricated by electropinning technique (NANON-01A, MECC CO., LTD. JAPAN) at different electropinning processing conditions (i.e., syringe needle internal diameters, feed flow rates, tip to collector distances) and membrane compositions (i.e., inorganic additives, and polymer concentrations). The key findings are summarized as follows:

- Temperature at which dope solution is formed influences the existing phases.
- Inorganic additives (i.e., LiCl) have a positive effect on membrane structure.

The conditions determined to produce free beads homogenous fibers throughout the membrane structure are as follows: electric voltage 20 kV, spinneret speed 100 mm/s, cleaning frequency 1 hr, cleaning interval 1 s, polymer concentration 16 wt%, pumping flow rate 1 ml/hr, tip to collector.
distance 15 cm, internal needle diameter 0.51 mm, and LiCl concentration 0.004 wt%.
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Nomenclature

Symbols

- $A$: Membrane area ($m^2$)
- $Ak$: Percentage porosity ($\%$)
- $Mw$: Molecular weight ($g/mol$)
- $w_1$: Weight of wet membrane (grams)
- $w_2$: Weight of dry membrane (grams)

Greek letters

- $\beta$: Form I of PVDF crystal structure
- $\alpha$: Form II of PVDF crystal structure
- $\gamma$: Form III of PVDF crystal structure
- $\rho_{\text{ethanol}}$: Ethanol density ($g/cm^3$)
- $\rho_{\text{PVDF}}$: PVDF density ($g/cm^3$)

Abbreviations

- DMAc: N-Dimethyl Acetamide
- FTIR: Fourier Transform Infrared Spectrometer
- LiCl: Lithium Chloride
- PVDF: Poly-Vinylidene Fluoride
- TCD: Tip to Collector Distance
- TiO$_2$: Titanium Dioxide
- XRD: X-Ray Diffraction

Literature cited


