A Kinetic Study of Removing Methylene Blue from Aqueous Solutions by Modified Electrospun Polyethylene Terephthalate Nanofibres
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Abstract
The present research study investigates the potential use of the novel polyethylene terephthalate (PET) nanofibers extracted from waste bottles. The electrospinning process creates nanofibers which are then impregnated with dibenzo-18-crown-6 (DB18C6) (crown ether) to obtain a modified PET nanofiber. The use of modified PET nanofibers to remove methylene blue (MB) from aqueous solutions at different contact times, temperatures and initial dye concentrations is the prime concern of the present study. The amount of MB adsorbed at equilibrium ($q_e$) is calculated at different temperatures (303, 313, and 323 K) and different concentrations (5, 10, 15 mg/g). The Kinetic and equilibrium studies of MB removal are carried out. The results indicate that the adsorption kinetics of MB can be described by the pseudo-second-order model. The activation energy value is below 40 kJ/mol and this gives an idea about the physisorption process.
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1 Introduction
In 1876, methylene blue MB was synthesized as an aniline-based dye in the textile industry. It was mainly used for dyeing paper, silk, leather and cosmetics. MB is basically used as a volumetric analysis indicator. In addition, it is of vital importance as a chemotherapy agent for human and animal medicine[1]. Recently, MB has been proved to serve as a potent reversible monoamine oxidase (MAO) inhibitor with a strong preference for inhibiting MAO-A. Since the inhibition constant is within the nanomolar range, even small doses of MB (less than 1 mg/kg) may inhibit MAO in a clinically significant way. This effect is intensified by the rapid absorption of MB in the nervous tissue, where the concentration of MB in the brain is 10 times greater than in the serum in rat models, which, therefore, can lead to severe side-effects[2].

Various conventional methods such as physical, chemical and biological processes have been used to remove dyes from aquatic media. These processes include photocatalytic MB dye degradation[3][4], electrochemical oxidation and ozonation processes[5]. Adsorption processes are desirable among the aforementioned methods due to their high performance, low cost, availability and easy design[6]. Attempts have been made to find alternative low-cost adsorbents [7] , such as adsorbents used from agricultural solids, including orange peels[8] , wheat straw[9], soybean meal hulls[10] or the transformation of agricultural waste into active carbon and its use as adsorbents thereof, such as in the case of jute fibers[11], rice husks[12], activation date holes[13], bamboo dust, coconut shells, peanut shells, straw[14] and corn husks[15].

The applications of single-use disposable on one hand uses nearly 50 per cent of plastics in such industries as packaging, agricultural films and disposable consumer items. On the other hand, the long-term infrastructure industries such as manufacturing pipes, cable coating and other heavy structural materials utilize 20-25% of plastics. The remainder goes directly to intermediate lifespan
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durable consumer applications like the manufacture of electronic devices, furniture, vehicles, etc. It is worth mentioning here that Post-consumer plastic waste across the European Union (EU) mounted up to approximately 24.6 million tons in 2007 [16].

Plastics have been being recycled since the 1970s. However, the quantities that are recycled vary geographically according to plastic type and application. In fact, Recycling packaging materials has seen rapid expansion over the last decades in a number of countries [17].

In recent years, nanofiber technology has been one of the most important research topics in the field of pollutant removal [18]. This is due to the fact that electrospun nanofiber membranes are excellent adsorbent materials because of their high surface area, porous structure and strong mechanical properties [19][20].

Electrospinning is a very promising, extremely simple, efficient and inexpensive technique used in the manufacturing of nanofibers using a wide variety of polymers [21]. This work introduces the concept of crown ether PET nanofibers for the selective extraction of MB from aqueous solutions. The modification of crown ether PET nanofibers enhances the operating window of electrospun nanofibers and introduces a specific selectivity, making them promising and more effective for adsorption and filtration applications.

In this paper, four kinetic adsorption models are used to describe the experimental data. The study of adsorption dynamics describes the solute uptake rate which evidently controls the residence time of adsorbate uptake at the solid-solution interface. The kinetics of MB dye adsorption on modified PET nanofibers are analyzed using pseudo-first-order, pseudo-second-order Elovich and intra-particle diffusion kinetic models [22]. Each model has its limitations and is derived according to certain conditions [23].

2 Experiment

2.1 Chemicals

Being one of the waste materials, the Polymer (PET) is obtained from a local water packaging company. It is used after being washed, having non-PET materials removed, and then is dried.

All primary chemicals used in this research are of analytical reagent grade. Trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) is obtained from (ROTH), dichloromethane (DCM) from (UNI-CHEM), Dibenzo-18-crown-6 (DB18C6) from (SIGMA-ALDRICH), Methylene blue (MB) from (SIGMA) and Acetone from (TEDIA).

2.2 Preparation of Solution

A PET polymer solution was prepared by mixing in a 3:1 ratio of dichloromethane and trifluoroacetic acid; three specific PET concentrations are used in this study [24]. Five percent-PET was used to produce the desired product. PET was grinded with (GRAND Household) and then sifted with (TESTSIEVE 250 mm). The solution was stirred to complete the dissolution of PET using a magnetic stirrer at room temperature for four hours to supplement the PET solubility [4]. In 100 ml of deionized water, a stock solution of MB (1000 mg/L) is obtained by dissolving 0.1 g of MB, and the solution is used for further experimental solution preparation. With 1 M HCL or 0.6 M NaOH, the pH values are adjusted. Throughout this preparation, deionized water is used in adsorption experiments.

2.3 Preparation and Modification of PET Nanofibers with DB18C6

The polymer solution was converted into nanofibers by the electrospinning device Micro-nanotools, as shown in a previous study's optimization [24].

Then a 0.011 g PET nanofiber mat was impregnated with 0.02g of DB18C6 dissolved in 6 mL of acetone with continuous stirring at ambient temperature for two hours. After two hours, the mat was washed with deionized water several times to remove any free ions and then dried at room temperature for one hour.

2.4 Batch Adsorption Studies of MB

In a batch process, MB adsorption was achieved by varying contact time, adsorbate concentration, and temperature.

A weighed sample of dried modified PET nanofibers was mixed with a 10 ml MB solution and the pH was adjusted. The mixture was shaken in the water bath of a thermostat for 100 minutes at 150 rpm. The mixture was allowed to settle and then centrifuged. The MB concentration in the supernatant was determined with a spectrophotometer type (JANEWAY 7315 Spectrophotometer) from the contrast calibration curve.
2.4.1 Effect of Contact Time and Temperature on Adsorption

The effect of temperature on the adsorption of MB onto modified PET nanofibers was performed by mixing 10 ml of MB solution 10 mg/L at pH 6 and 0.02 g of modified PET nanofibers at temperatures (303, 313 and 323 K) and shaken with 150 rpm for (5, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90, 100, 120, 140 minutes).

2.4.2 Effect of Contact Time and MB Concentration on Adsorption

The effect of the initial concentration on the adsorption of MB to modified PET nanofibers was achieved by mixing 10 ml of varied initial MB concentrations (5, 10, 15 mg/L) at pH 6 and 0.02 g of modified PET nanofibers at 313 K and shaking at 150 rpm for 5, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90, 100, 120 and 140 minutes.

2.5 Adsorption Kinetic Investigation

The equations in (Table 1) are used to calculate $q_e$ (mg/g) that related to the amount of MB adsorbed onto the modified PET nanofibers and the MB removal percent ($R\%$).

Table 1. Kinetic and Equilibrium Equations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kinetic models</th>
<th>Kinetic equation</th>
<th>$C_o$, $C_e$ = Initial and equilibrium concentration (mg/L) of MB in the solution.</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$q_e$ = adsorbed MB (mg/g) at equilibrium</td>
<td>$q_e = \frac{C_o - C_e}{M}$</td>
<td>$V$ = Volume of experimental solution (L), $M$ = Weight of the modified PET used (g).</td>
<td>[18],[25],[26],[27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adsorption Efficiency ($R%$)</td>
<td>$R%_0 = \frac{C_o - C_e}{C_o} \times 100$</td>
<td></td>
<td>[27],[28]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudo first-order</td>
<td>$\frac{\log(q_e - q_t)}{q_e} = \frac{\log(q_e - \left( \frac{k_1}{2.303} \right) t)}{q_e}$</td>
<td>$q_e$ = Amount of MB adsorbed (mg/g) at any time, $t$ (min)</td>
<td>[29],[30],[28],[31],[32]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$q_e = \frac{C_o - C_e}{M}$</td>
<td>$k_1$ = The first order equilibrium rate constant.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$t = \text{Time}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudo-second-order</td>
<td>$\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{k_2 q_e} + \frac{1}{q_e}(t)$</td>
<td>$k_2$ = The second order equilibrium rate constant.</td>
<td>[27],[28],[29],[30],[31],[32]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$h$ = Initial adsorption rate in the pseudo-second-order kinetic.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elovich kinetic model</td>
<td>$q_t = \frac{1}{\beta} \ln(\frac{\alpha \beta}{\alpha \beta} + \frac{1}{\beta} \ln(t))$</td>
<td>$\alpha$ = Initial adsorption rate (mg/g).</td>
<td>[29],[30],[27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\beta$ = Desorption constant (g.mg$^{-1}$).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intra.Particle diffusion</td>
<td>$q_e = K_{diff}t^2 + B_L$</td>
<td>$K_{diff} = (\text{mg/g.min})$ The intraparticle diffusion rate constant.</td>
<td>[18],[33],[30],[28],[31],[34],[32]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$B_L = \text{The thickness of the boundary layer,}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activation energy ($Ea$)</td>
<td>$k = k_o \exp (-Ea/RT)$</td>
<td>$k_0$ = Arrhenius constant (temp independent factor) (g/mg.min), $Ea$ = Activation energy (kJ/mol), $R$ = Gas constant (8.314 J/mol K), $T$ = Solution temperature Kelvin (K).</td>
<td>[18],[28],[32]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig 1. SEM Micrographs of PET nanofiber A; before B; after modification

The adsorption capability nature is clearly demonstrated by the adsorption kinetics over time. It is a prerequisite to identify the type of adsorption mechanism in a particular system. The four kinetics
models used to describe the behavior of adsorption kinetics of MB on modified PET nanofibers include the pseudo-first-order, pseudo-second-order, Elovich models and intraparticle diffusion. Table (1) shows the final kinetic equations used in this study.

3 Results and Discussion

SEM characterized PET nanofiber's morphologies before and after modification as shown in figure 1. One of the most critical parameters for the adsorption capability determination of the nanofibers is the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller surface area (BET). The highly specialized surface area, fast diffusion rates through hydrophilic mesopores, and the electrostatic attractions between the surface nanofibers and dyes all lead to a rapid and efficient purification. Figure 2 shows the nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms showing the hysteresis loops, indicating the presence of mesopores. Based on BET analysis, the surface area of PET nanofibers is (22.282m²/g) and the total pore volume is (0.01846cm³/g) as shown in the results below.

The Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) analysis has been used for the examination of functional groups on the surface of adsorbent[35].

The bands of the modified PET nanofiber adsorbent as shown in figure (3A) are located at 1241 cm⁻¹ that indicates the stretching frequencies vas (Ph-O-C) in crown ether, (waging of aromatic hydrocarbon) at 720 cm⁻¹[36], at 1634 cm⁻¹ (C=C stretch), 1596, 1496, and 1456 (C=C aromatic ring stretch) with respect to aromatic ring absorbance[37]. The disappearance of these peaks in presented in figure (3B) may be attributed to the adsorption of methylene on modified PET nanofibers by weak electrostatic, face-to-face, π-π interactions between the aromatic moieties. The stabilizing energy of π-π interactions also includes induced dipole and dispersion contributions[38][39].

3.1 The Effect of Contact Time and Temperature on Adsorption

Figure (4) illustrates a plot of the adsorbed amount of MB $q_e$ (mg/g) vs. contact time at varying temperatures (303, 313 and 323 K). It is observed that the amount of MB uptake, $q_e$ (mg/g) which has increased rapidly during the first 10 minutes at all degrees of temperature represents the film diffusion. After 10 minutes, the amount of MB adsorbed increased gradually at a slower rate, at 303 and 313 K, represents the pore diffusion, and finally attained equilibrium at 100 min. At 323 K, however, there was a sharp increase in the amount of MB uptake, $q_e$ (mg/g), until it reached 100 minutes. The equilibrium was reached after 100 minutes. These three steps are in accordance with those reported in the research literature and they clearly illustrate the adsorption process mechanism[40]. Further, the amount of MB adsorbed increased with an increase in temperature. These observations show that the temperature does not affect equilibrium time.
3.2 The Effect of Contact Time and MB Concentration on Adsorption

The initial MB concentration can be seen as a significant impetus to resolve any MB molecules resistance to mass transfer between the aqueous and solid phases. Therefore, a higher initial MB concentration increases the adsorption capacity \( q_e \). When the initial 5.0 mg/L dye concentration goes up to 15 mg/L, the 2.590 mg/g equilibrium sorption capacity mounts up to 4.506 mg/g.

MB adsorption on modified PET nanofibers as a function of contact time at different initial concentrations is shown in figure 5. The results show that the adsorption rate of all concentrations was rapid in the first ten minutes and gradually steadily with increasing contact time until equilibrium was reached. The adsorption rate was also observed during the first ten minutes. It increased significantly with increasing MB concentration, the reason being that higher concentration leads to enhanced momentum along with pores, which leads to increased absorption capacity[41].

3.3 Adsorption Kinetic Study

Four kinetic models are used to estimate the sorption mechanism and possible rate-controlling steps, which are essential in selecting optimum operating conditions for the full batch process.

At different MB concentrations and temperatures, the adsorption capacity of MB onto modified PET nanofibers is monitored as a function of time until constant MB amount of adsorbed is reached. The process implies that the equilibrium is reached. Equilibrium time is 100 minutes in all degrees of concentration and temperature under study.

The equilibrium adsorption capacity of MB onto modified PET nanofibers \( (q_e) \) increases proportionally with the increase in the initial MB concentrations and temperatures. The equilibrium adsorption capacity values obtained under all experimental conditions are presented in Tables 2–3.

3.3.1 The Effect of Temperature on Adsorption Kinetics

Figure 6 demonstrates the effect of temperature on pseudo-first-order adsorption kinetics of MB on modified PET nanofibers.

In spite of the high values of the correlation coefficients \( R^2 \) scored with the experimental data (>0.958), the calculated values of the equilibrium sorption capacity \( q_e \), do not actually agree with the experimental data as demonstrated by (Table 2). This in turn indicates the applicability shortcoming of the pseudo-first order model in the prediction of the MB adsorption kinetics on modified PET nanofiber.

Figure (7) illustrates the effect of temperature on pseudo-second-order sorption kinetics of MB onto modified PET nanofibers. As shown in Table (2), the values of \( K_2 \) decrease with the increasing temperature.

The calculated \( R^2 \) values are found to be higher than (0.995) for all of the temperature degrees. The computed values of the equilibrium sorption capacity \( q_e \), go in agreement with the experimental data, \( q_e \), at 303 and 313 K. Accordingly, this indicates that the removal of MB onto modified PET nanofibers follows the pseudo-second-order equation at these temperatures. However, at 323 K, deviation will occur. The pseudo-second-order can be concluded that the process is due to chemical adsorption (chemisorption) where MB dye attaches to the modified PET nanofiber, through the formation of a chemical bond and searches for the active sites that can maximize
their coordination number with the surface [40]. The
Elovich equation is a rate equation based on the
adsorption capacity commonly expressed in Table (1).
Figure (8) depicts a plot of versus ln(t). The Elovich
constants $\alpha$ and $\beta$ were obtained from the intercept and
slope, respectively, and reported in Table 3.

If MB adsorption by modified PET nanofibers fits
the Elovich model, a plot of $q_t$ versus ln($t$) should yield
a linear relationship with the high values of the
correlation coefficients, $R^2$, (>0.958).

The initial adsorption rate $\alpha$ increased from 5.375
to 8.953 mg/g min$^{-1}$ with an increase in the
temperature from 303 to 313 K, and it decreased at 323
K to 2.031 mg (g min$^{-1}$). A similar pattern is
mentioned above for the initial adsorption rate, $h$,
obtained from the pseudo-second-order model. The
desorption constant, $\beta$, decreased from 3.874 to 1.191
g.mg$^{-1}$ with an increase in temperature (Table 3).

Figure (9) shows the temperature effect on the MB
intraparticle diffusion kinetics. The values of $K_{diff}$
proportionally increase as temperature goes high. The
value of $R^2$ from the plot given in figure 8 is 0.9952 at
313 K.

3.3.2 The Effect of Initial MB Concentration on
Adsorption Kinetics

Figure (10) provides a demonstration of the pseudo
first-order sorption kinetics for the adsorption of MB
onto modified PET nanofibers at a divergent range of
the initial dye concentrations and also of the 313 K.
The rate of the constant values obtained from the
pseudo-first-order model declines with the gradual
increase in the initial concentrations of the dye.

The calculated $q_e$, $k_1$, and the corresponding linear
regression correlation coefficient $R^2$ values are shown
in Table 2. It was observed that the correlation
coefficient $R^2$ is relatively low for most adsorption
data, and the calculated $q_e$ at 15 mg/L does not agree
with experimental values. This shows no applicability
of the pseudo-first-order model in predicting the
kinetics of the MB adsorption onto modified PET
nanofibers.

Figure (11) shows the pseudo-second-order
adsorption kinetics of MB onto modified PET
nanofibers for different initial dye concentrations at
313 K and 150 rpm. For all initial dye concentrations,
a straight line was obtained with correlation
coefficient $R^2$ values higher than $R^2$ values for pseudo-
first-order kinetics.
As shown in Table 2, the values of the initial sorption rate, \( h \), and the values of the rate constant obtained from pseudo-second-order kinetics, \( k_2 \), increased with the increase in the initial concentrations of the dye.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial concentration (mg/L)</th>
<th>( q_{e (calc.)} )</th>
<th>( R^2 )</th>
<th>( k_1 )</th>
<th>( q_{e (calc.)} )</th>
<th>( R^2 )</th>
<th>( h )</th>
<th>( k_2 )</th>
<th>( q_{e (calc.)} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 mg/L</td>
<td>2.491</td>
<td>0.8981</td>
<td>0.0327</td>
<td>2.276</td>
<td>0.9703</td>
<td>0.211</td>
<td>0.0815</td>
<td>2.590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 mg/L</td>
<td>3.429</td>
<td>0.9717</td>
<td>0.0274</td>
<td>3.346</td>
<td>0.9635</td>
<td>0.223</td>
<td>0.0618</td>
<td>3.611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 mg/L</td>
<td>4.682</td>
<td>0.8699</td>
<td>0.0216</td>
<td>3.755</td>
<td>0.9667</td>
<td>0.408</td>
<td>0.0906</td>
<td>4.506</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This rise in the initial sorption rate suggests that in high concentrations, the solute and sorbet molecules can be encountered more with each other. In addition, the value of \( q_e (calc.) \) is in good agreement with \( q_e (exp.) \), which indicates that the removal of MB onto modified PET nanofibers follows the pseudo-second-order equation.

Figure 12 depicts the plot of \( q_t \) versus \( \ln(t) \) and the Elovich constants \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) were obtained from the intercept and slope, respectively, and are reported in Table 5. The correlation coefficient \( R^2 \) is comparable to the correlation coefficients obtained for the pseudo-second-order model for 5 and 10 mg/L. This reflects the applicability of this model to the experimental data obtained for the adsorption of MB on modified PET nanofibres.

The obtained values that indicate the rates of the intra-particle diffusion can be estimated from the plots slopes of \( q_t \) against \( t^{1/2} \) (figure13). The intraparticle diffusion rate constant \( K_{dif} \) increased with an increase in initial concentration, as shown in Table 3. The obtained \( R^2 \) values are 0.9613, 0.9879 and 0.9724 for the initial concentrations of the dye of 5.0, 10 and 15 mg/L, respectively.

The linearity of the plots (figure 9 and figure13) showed that intra-particle diffusion played a major role in the uptake of the MB by modified PET nanofibres.

This also confirms that the adsorption of the MB on modified PET nanofibres was a multi-step process into the interior.

If the steps are separate from each other, the plot usually shows two or more intersecting lines depending on the exact mechanism, the first one representing surface adsorption and the second one representing intraparticle diffusion.

The absence of such features in the plots indicates that the steps were indistinguishable from one another and that the intra-particle diffusion was a prominent process right from the beginning of the MB/modified PET nanofiber interaction.

However, this does not give a sufficient indication about which of the two steps was the rate-limiting step.
Table 3: Elovich kinetic, Intraparticle diffusion kinetic parameters of adsorption of MB on modified PET nanofiber at different temperatures and different initial MB concentrations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Elovich Kinetic</th>
<th>Intraparticle Diffusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>303 K</td>
<td>0.9893</td>
<td>5.357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>313 K</td>
<td>0.9568</td>
<td>8.955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>323 K</td>
<td>0.9830</td>
<td>2.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 mg/L</td>
<td>0.9638</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 mg/L</td>
<td>0.983</td>
<td>2.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 mg/L</td>
<td>0.8982</td>
<td>1.139</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is also essential for the $q_t$ versus $t^{1/2}$ plots to navigate the origin if the diffusion of the intra-particle is the only rate-limiting step.

Since this was also not the case in both figure 9 and figure 13, it may be concluded that surface adsorption and intra-particle diffusion were concurrently operating during the MB/modified PET nanofiber interaction.

In addition, the intercept $B_L$ reveals a fact that in boundary layer thickness, the more the value of the intercept gets high, the greater effect the boundary layer has [31]. In other words, as the intercept $B_L$ increases in results, the abundance of the solute adsorbed on the boundary layer grows higher. Table 3 includes the values of $B_L$ which are obtained from the intercept of the linear plots of $q_t$ vs $t^{1/2}$ under all the conditions under study.

As a result, it is clear that the values of the correlation coefficients obtained for the linear plots from the pseudo-second-order equation are greater than those obtained for the first order and intra-particle diffusion equations under all conditions studied, as shown in Tables 2–3 indicates that the intra-particle diffusion rate is one of the rate-determining steps.

Moreover, the equilibrium sorption capacity values ($q_e$) considerably agree with the experimental data, ($q_e$). This agreement strongly results in an activated sorption between the functional groups and the dye on the modified PET nanofibers, involving valency forces through sharing or exchanging electrons between MB and the modified PET nanofibers.

Also, the results show that the adsorption rate of all concentrations and temperatures is rapid in the first ten minutes and this is one of the advantages of the modified PET nanofibers compared with the previous studies, as shown in table 4.

3.4 Activation Energy

The activation energy of the adsorption for MB onto a modified PET nanofiber system can be estimated by the Arrhenius equation providing the relationship between rate constant and temperature, as shown in Table 1.

![Fig 14. A plot of lnK2 versus 1/T for the adsorption of MB on modified PET nanofiber.](image)

In this research study, the activation energy of the adsorption process has been calculated using the values of the rate constant from the pseudo-second-order kinetic equation and the appropriate solution temperatures[47]. An Arrhenius plot drawn of ln $K_2$ versus $1/T$ is shown in figure 14. The value of $E_a$ from the slope of the plot is $-48.762$ kJ/mol. In the comparable analysis, it has been observed that the activation energy is $-8.0$ kJ/mol for the biosorption of cadmium (III) ion onto dried C. Vulgaris, $-15.65$ kJ/mol for adsorption of Cr(III) ions on vineyard pruning waste (VPW) [48] and $-13.9$ kJ/mol for the biosorption of nickel(II) ion onto deactivated protonated yeast[49].

The magnitude of the activation energy provides an understanding of the adsorption process which is mainly physical or chemical. This implies that the adsorption has a potential barrier comparable to physiosorption as the activation energy values are below 40 kJ/mol.
Table 4: Summary of adsorption kinetics compared with other related studies from the literature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adsorbent</th>
<th>Absorbent</th>
<th>Equilibrium time</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PET bottle wastes</td>
<td>MB</td>
<td>80 min.</td>
<td>[42]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PET bottle wastes</td>
<td>MB</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>[43]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PET bottle wastes</td>
<td>MB</td>
<td>30 min.</td>
<td>[44]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PET bottle wastes</td>
<td>MB</td>
<td>45-60 min.</td>
<td>[45]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PET bottle wastes</td>
<td>MB</td>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>[46]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PET bottle wastes</td>
<td>MB</td>
<td>10 min</td>
<td>Current Study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 Conclusions
The present research study shows that the modified PET nanofibers constructed by waste bottles can be used as an adsorbent for the removal of MB from the aqueous solutions after chemical modification. It was found that the amount of dye adsorbed varies with the adsorbent contact time, temperature and initial dye concentration. The results show that the adsorption rate for all concentrations is rapid during the first ten minutes and gradually progress with the increase in the contact time until reaching the equilibrium. This is one of the advantages of the modified PET nanofibers compared with previous studies. The adsorption rate is found to correspond with a good correlation with pseudo-second-order kinetics. The magnitude of the activation energy gives an idea that the adsorption has a potential barrier comparable to physisorption since the activation energy value is below 40 kJ/mol.
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