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A.M. Nasser¹, H. Abd El-Wahab¹, M. Abd El-Fattah¹, Abdelzaher E.A. Mostafa¹ and Ahmed G. Sakr²*

¹Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, Al-Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt
²Production Department, Egyptian Petroleum Research Institute (EPRI), Cairo, Egypt
³Civil Engineer Department, Faculty of Engineering at Mataria, Helwan University, Cairo, Egypt

INTRODUCTION

RAP is comprised of aggregates and asphalt binder from Hot Mix Asphalt (HMA) mixtures that have been removed and reclaimed from an existing pavement. The aggregates in the RAP are coated with aged (oxidized) asphalt binder [1, 2]. RAP has been used successfully in surface HMA mixtures since the 1970s at percentages generally around 20%. A concern associated with the use of higher RAP contents is that the resultant mixture might become too stiff and consequently might be prone to failures in the field [3,4]. The increased stiffness is due to the aged binder in the RAP. The major factor contributing to the increase in stiffness of asphalt concrete mixtures over time is the oxidation of the asphalt binder at the molecular level [5]. With increases in the price of asphalt cement and subsequent price fluctuations, the industry has further amplified its recycling efforts.

RECLAIMED Asphalt Pavement (RAP) is beneficial because it provides and decreases the cost of the construction, while increasing environmental sustainability. The main purpose of this study is to investigate the best practice of RAP in Egypt, in order to determine the effect of using 100% RAP instead of using virgin aggregates and asphalt. The study also investigates the effect of thermoplastic elastomers polymer as asphalt modifier. Also, improve the mechanical and physical characteristics and hence improving the quality of asphalt paving, increase asphalt-paving age and reduce the cost.

Nanoparticle acrylate copolymer has been prepared with different wt.% and was tested for Fourier Transforms Infrared (FTIR), Molecular Weight (Mwt), Thermo Gravimetric Analysis (TGA) and Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM). A 4wt.% of the prepared nanoemulsion copolymer was mixed with virgin asphalt as a polymer modifier, to improve and reuse of the RAP. The modified binder was tested. The tests conducted include penetration, kinematic viscosity, softening point and specific gravity. Application of Marshall mix design types; Hot Mix Asphalt (HMA), Warm Mix Asphalt (WMA) and Cold in place Recycled (CIR). Four different mix designs were used; control mix contains virgin asphalt by HMA, where the other three mix designs were Polymer Modified Asphalt (PMA) by HMA, WMA and CIR. The research results showed that, using 4 wt.% of the prepared nanoemulsion copolymer producing HMA and WMA with higher stability compared to the control mix and CIR.
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chemical additives of existing HMA pavements without heating to produce a restored pavement layer [10]. Rejuvenating additives can be used to counteract the stiffness of the RAP binder, therefore enabling the use of RAP in HMA. It has been documented that rejuvenating agents can be carried by polymers, copolymers and terpolymers because of its absorptive properties, to revitalize the properties of the RAP binder [11]. Nanoemulsion copolymers which have very small particles and used as an asphalt modifier are introduced into asphalt mixtures through HMA, WMA or CIR. Many properties of polymers such as process ability, electrical properties, chemical, thermal, mechanical and environmental stability, affect their suitability and reliability as protective organic coatings [12]. Compatibility between polymer and asphalt should be high enough to avoid separation phase. Styrene – butyl acrylate (St-BuA) is one of the copolymers which is used to improve the properties of asphalt pavements [13].

The present study is a part of a wider research on performances and durability of asphalt mixtures made with RAP [14]. The research is divided into three stages: in the first stage, the asphalt extracted from RAP and the solid materials evaluated. The second stage, preparation of St-BuA copolymer and test the physical characteristics of nanoemulsion polymer; Fourier transform infrared (FTIR), Molecular weight (Mwt), Thermo gravimetric analysis (TGA), Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) [15], then added to the virgin asphalt for improving the asphalt characteristics [16]. Application of Marshall Stability forms the last stage of the experimental. Asphalt applied as HMA, WMA & CIR Asphalt which conforms to Marshall properties are showing high resistance to stresses caused by high loads, high working temperatures and low temperatures due to weather conditions. HMA design using virgin asphalt as a control mix and hot mixes using the virgin asphalt [17]. The effects of polymer modified asphalt of RAP with prepared nanoemulsion physical properties have been widely investigated [18]. The objective of the research is to comprehensively characterize RAP mixtures in terms of performances of asphalt mixtures and asphalts by observing Marshall characteristics out of mix designs [19].

Problem statement

Despite recent advancements in the design of asphalt mixtures containing RAP, it is still in Egypt cautious in their regulations to avoid durability problems related to the recycling process. Modifications to the current specifications are needed to assure that satisfactory performance will result from the reuse of RAP mixes.

The objectives of the work
The main objective of this study is to investigate the best practice of RAP in Egypt. Also, improving the mechanical and physical characteristics and hence improving the quality of asphalt paving, increase asphalt-paving age and reducing the cost. The other objectives of this research are set as follows:

1. Determine the effect of using 100% RAP instead of using virgin aggregates and asphalt.
2. Investigate the effect of thermoplastic elastomers nanoemulsion copolymer as asphalt modified.
3. Investigate the optimum modified asphalt content to improve the asphalt mix properties.

Experimental

Materials
St-BuA monomers, sodium lauryl ether sulfate (SLS), potassium per sulfate (KPS), Sodium acetate, and acrylamide were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Company. Texapon P and nonyl phenol “NP30” were obtained from BASF. Cetyl alcohol was obtained from Dow Chemical Company. Ammonium hydroxide was produced by El-Nasr Pharmaceutical Chemical Company. The RAP used in this study, was obtained from a highway pavement in Cairo – Alexandria road, Egypt.

Methods and techniques
Preparation of styrene–butyl acrylate copolymer [20]
The polymerization was carried out in 500ml 3-necked flask fitted with a reflux condenser, thermometer and a mechanical stirrer. The temperatures of homogenization and polymerization were 25 & 80°C respectively, and nitrogen was purged during the polymerization step. Recipes for different ratios of St-BuA copolymer are presented in Table 1 and Scheme 1. During the process, the surfactant quantity was divided into two parts, namely, A & B with the ratio of 1:3 and the process included the following steps:

1. Part A containing styrene and butyl acrylate were emulsified in a little amount of deionized water, and homogenized for 15-20min at speed 3500 rpm in order to form pre-emulsion C.
2. 10% of C was seeded to the reactor, containing B; de-ionized water and pH regulator, during 15min with low speed mechanical agitator (80 rpm) and at 80°C. The allowed time for micelle formation was an additional 15 min.

3. Acrylic acid and acrylamide monomers were added to the remainder of part C (90%), under the homogenizer for 5-10 min.

4. Afterward, this acidic emulsion was added to the reactor through dropping funnel in 150 min.

5. In steps 2 & 4, a continuous dropping of the initiator solution was performed in the reactor.

6. After adding all materials, polymerization was allowed to continue for additional 2 hrsthen the reaction mixture was cooled to 50°C and subsequently neutralized with aqueous ammonium hydroxide to reach a pH value of 8.

TABLE 1. Recipe of styrene Bu-A nanoemulsion copolymer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components</th>
<th>Wt. %</th>
<th>Wt. gm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Styrene (ST)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>110.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butyl acrylate BuA</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>140.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium lauryl ether sulfate (SLS)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethoxylated Nonyl Phenol (NP₃₀)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium per sulfate (KPS)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium acetate (C₂H₃O₂Na)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acrylamide (AA)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dist. H₂O</td>
<td>42.05</td>
<td>210.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scheme 1. Chemical structure of the prepared styrene butyl acrylate nanoemulsion copolymer.

Characteristics of styrene-butylation copolymer

Fourier transform infrared (FTIR), Transmission electron microscopy (TEM), Thermo gravimetric analysis (TGA) and Molecular weight (Mwt) were used to characterize the prepared nanoemulsion terpolymer. These tests were carried out at the Egyptian National Research Center.

A. Fourier transform infrared (FTIR)

The copolymer composition of dried samples was proved by FTIR spectra using JASCO FTIR 6100 in the range of 4000 – 400 cm⁻¹ using KBr pellets. FTIR was also used to examine the functional group of the prepared samples.

B. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)

The morphology of the polymer particles was examined using transmission electron microscopy. In TEM the dry sample has to be transferred into ultra-high vacuum and is illuminated by a high-energy beam of electrons (for example 100 keV). In an ideal case, a lateral resolution of around 1 nm is achievable. To perform TEM analysis, the latex was diluted with distilled water, a drop of the
diluted latex was placed on a carbon – coated grid and dried in a dissector, then, 1 – 2 drops of a 0.8 wt. % aqueous solution of phosphotungstic acid (PTA) was used to stain the particles.

C. Thermo gravimetric analysis (TGA)
TGA analysis was performed using Shimadzu TGA – 50 thermo gravimetric analyzer, Columbia, EUA, in a nitrogen atmosphere at a heating rate of 10 °C/min in the range between room temperature and 600 °C.

D. Molecular weight (Mwt)
The sample 0.01 g was dissolved in 2 ml of THF solvent, then was filtrated by siring filter 0.45 micro, and the sample put in gel permeation chromatography (GPC) device. Using agilent 1100 series, Germany, Detector: Refractive Index. For THF solvent (polystyrene standard) Plgel particle size (5µm), 3 columns of pore type (100, 104 & 105 A0) on series 7.5*300 mm (Mw 1000, 400000).

Preparation of the modified asphalt
The calculated amount of virgin asphalt was heated to a temperature not more than 90°C. Surfactant NP9 was added 10 wt.% of the virgin asphalt, to improve the durability of the asphalt. The nanoemulsion copolymer was added slowly at 80°C – 90°C at 2, 4 & 6 wt.% of asphalt. St-BuA copolymer was mixed under high-speed mixer of 2000 rpm and stirring for 2hrs. The virgin and polymer modified asphalt samples (PMAs) were characterized by conventional asphalt tests as penetration test (ASTMD5 – 06), softening point test (ASTMD36 – 06), specific gravity (ASTMD70 – 09), kinematic viscosity test (ASTMD2170 – 10).

Characteristics of the solid materials
The solid materials were obtained after the extraction of asphalt from RAP and tested for sieve analysis (ASTMC136–14), resistance to abrasion using Los Angeles machine (ASTMC131–14) and bulk specific gravity (ASTMC128–15 &127–15 respectively). Tables 2–3 show the sieve analysis and physical properties of the fine and the coarse aggregates, the obtained result was found to comply with the standard requirements.

Table 2. The sieve analysis for RAP according to the Egyptian Standard Specification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sieve Size</th>
<th>Gradation before extraction % Passing</th>
<th>Gradation after extraction % Passing</th>
<th>Limits of the binder mix Egyptian Standard Specification (Dense – Graded 4D),2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>37.5 mm (1½&quot;)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.0 mm (1&quot;)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>80 – 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.1 mm (¾&quot;)</td>
<td>84.1</td>
<td>88.5</td>
<td>70 – 90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.5 mm (½&quot;)</td>
<td>76.4</td>
<td>80.3</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.5 mm (¼&quot;)</td>
<td>68.4</td>
<td>72.1</td>
<td>55 – 75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.75mm #4</td>
<td>54.3</td>
<td>59.7</td>
<td>45 – 62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.36mm #8</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>35 – 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6mm #30</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>19 – 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3 mm #50</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>13 – 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15mm #100</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>7 – 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.075mm #200</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0 – 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asphalt content %</td>
<td>3.88%</td>
<td>3.5 – 7.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. The physical properties of the aggregate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>AASHTO Test Method</th>
<th>Coarse Aggregate</th>
<th>Fine Aggregate</th>
<th>Mineral Filler</th>
<th>AASHTO Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles Abrasion (Loss wt. %)</td>
<td>T 96 – 83</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk specific gravity Gbs</td>
<td>T 85 – 85</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2.478</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>2.701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apparent specific gravity</td>
<td>T 85 – 85</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>2.615</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific gravity SSD</td>
<td>T 85 – 85</td>
<td>2.684</td>
<td>2.679</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water absorption (wt. %)</td>
<td>T 85 – 85</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stripping</td>
<td>T 85 – 85</td>
<td>&gt;95 %</td>
<td>&gt;95 %</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Marshall mix design

Marshall mix design method was originally developed by Bruce Marshall of the Mississippi Highway Department in 1939. The main idea of the Marshall Mix Design method involves the selection of the asphalt binder content with a suitable density which satisfies minimum stability and range of flow values. In this test, samples of aggregate and bitumen mixed and compacted in both sides no. of blows and it is classified according to the temperature used as HMA, WMA and CIR, for each compacted sample of the asphalt paving mix, the stability and flow are measured while the unit weight and air voids are calculated to define the optimum asphalt content. Marshall mix design references are ASTM D-6927 – 15 & AASHTO T-245 – 2012

Preparation of asphalt paving mix design samples

The asphalt paving mixes were prepared using Marshall test method (ASTM D-6927 – 15 & AASHTO T-245 – 2012)[21, 22] including three types of design; HMA, WMA and CIR. For each compacted sample of the asphalt paving mix, the stability and flow are measured while the unit weight and air voids are calculated to define the optimum asphalt content. In this step; hot mix asphalt using of virgin and modified asphalt samples were prepared using the Marshall test procedure. All the mixes were designed according to the Egyptian Specification limits for dense graded hot mix asphalt (Dense –Graded 4D) for binder course knowing that;

Mix (1): “control mix” it consists of virgin asphalt AC added to RAP by HMA.

Mix (2): it consists of PMA, using 4 wt.%St-BuAcopolymer added to RAP by HMA.

Mix (3): it consists of PMA, using 4 wt.%St-BuAcopolymer added to RAP by WMA.

Mix (4): it consists of PMA, using 4 wt.%St-BuAcopolymer added to RAP by CIR.

Result and Discussion

Characterization of nanoemulsion terpolymer

Fourier transform infrared (FTIR)

FTIR spectrum shown in Fig.1 and Table 4 illustrate the function groups :

![Fig. 1. FTIR spectra of the prepared Styrene – butyl acrylate copolymer.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wave number Cm⁻¹</th>
<th>Assign</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3030</td>
<td>C-H aromatic of Styrene ring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1732</td>
<td>C=O for acrylate ester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2900</td>
<td>C-H Aliphatic of butyl acrylate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The presence of functional groups bands together with absence of vinyl group may be good evidence on the copolymer formation.

Molecular weight (Mwt)

M.wt results for St-BuA nanoemulsion copolymer shown in Fig. 2 Illustrate that, the relative time (RT) is 1.69 – 4.43, where M.wt average is 806 and the mass over a charge number of ions is 50.00 – 804.57.
Thermo gravimetric analysis (TGA)
The TGA shown in Fig. 3 showed that, the decomposition temperature of the prepared nanoemulsion copolymer is between 370 – 420°C, the weight loss 15, 50 and 90 % at 298, 338 and 460°C, respectively. The recorded weight residual at 600°C was 5.4 %.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)
Figure 4 shows the TEM of the St-BuA copolymer. It is clear from the figure that the diameters of the observed particles of the polymer range between 1.0 μm and 100 nm. The implication here is that the progressive emulsion of the polymer improved the structure and robustness of the polymer. All particles are spherical and consist of a core from St-BuA. It is clear from the image that the particles are spherical in shape without any deformation with narrow distribution.
Modification of asphalt

Table 5 illustrates the physical characteristics of the virgin asphalt and the modified asphalt with 2, 4 and 6 wt.% St-BuA copolymer. The obtained results showed that, the modification of asphalt with St-BuA copolymer produces a binder more hardener than the virgin sample, as it has lower penetration and higher kinematic viscosity and softening point. This may be attributed to this type of polymer which produces a fine dispersion of the polymer in molten (solvating) phase with no disturbance of the asphalt structure, as it is a thermoplastic and a flexible polyolefin which does not contain any double bonds. Generally, the polymer creates a network to the asphalt molecule.

The obtained data also showed that, the penetration, softening point, kinematic viscosity of the modified asphalt improved by incorporation of different wt.% of the prepared emulsion copolymer, as the obtained results are within the specified criteria, where the specific gravity does not have specific requirements.

Evaluation of characteristics of prepared samples

In accordance with the Egyptian standard, the optimum asphalt content of the AC mixture was determined using the Marshall mix design methodology using different mix design types as below;

St-BuA copolymer prepared and mixed with virgin asphalt in three different percentages using terpolymer 2, 4 and 6 wt.% content, according to test results the best results obtained to apply using Marshall design was 4%. The application of Marshall design included three types which were prepared by hot mix asphalt, WMA and cold in place recycled. However, all mixtures met the Egyptian Specification for Road. Air void content, stability and other characteristics are illustrated in Table 6 and Fig. 5 – 12, and comparing to the control mix (1) the following results are detected,(a) the optimum asphalt content increased compared to control mix (0.217 % for HMA), and (0.35% for WMA) and decreased (0.033% for CIR); (b) the stability of the RAP without any modification was 325 Kg, where for control mix was 1050 Kg, on the other hand it increased from 1050 Kg to 1550 Kg for HMA, where it is increased to 1350 Kg for WMA and decreased to 800 Kg for CIR; (c) Marshall stiffness of the prepared mixes increased from 86.06 for control mix to 121.09 and 103.05 Kg/Inch for HMA and WMA respectively, this is due to the increase of stability and decrease in flow values where Marshall stiffness is not required for CIR; (d) The air voids decreased from 4.8 % to 4.5% for HMA and increased to 5.5 for WMA and 9.8 for CIR; (e) The voids in mineral aggregates increased from 15.25% to 16.65%, 19.9% for WMA and CIR respectively, and (f) voids filled with asphalt increased from 68.52% to 70.06% for HMA and decreased for WMA to 67.0%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical characteristics</th>
<th>Virgin AC</th>
<th>Copolymer wt.%</th>
<th>ESP*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Penetration (at 25°C, 100g, 5s) 0.1mm</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Softening point (ring &amp; ball) °C</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific gravity (at 25/25) °C using a pycnometer</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.044</td>
<td>1.092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kinematic viscosity (at 135°C) cSt</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>610</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Mix No.</th>
<th>Control mix (1)</th>
<th>HMA (2)</th>
<th>WMA (3)</th>
<th>CIR (4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Optimum Asphalt Content (% wt.)</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>5.503</td>
<td>5.72</td>
<td>5.853</td>
<td>5.536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stability of the mix (Kg.)</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>1550</td>
<td>1350</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit weight of the mix (Kg/m³)</td>
<td>1.836</td>
<td>2.308</td>
<td>2.300</td>
<td>2.270</td>
<td>2.180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow of the mix (0.01 inch)</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air voids in mix ( % )</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air voids in CIR mix ( % )</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>15.25</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>16.65</td>
<td>19.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air voids in solid materials ( % )</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>68.9</td>
<td>70.06</td>
<td>67.0</td>
<td>NR**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voids Filled with Asphalt ( % ) VFA</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>86.06</td>
<td>121.09</td>
<td>103.05</td>
<td>NR**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marshall stiffness Kg/in</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>86.06</td>
<td>121.09</td>
<td>103.05</td>
<td>NR**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 5. Optimum Asphalt Content for all mixes.

The optimum asphalt content was increased for mixes HMA and WMA from 5.503 to 5.72 and 5.853%, where decreased for CIR mix to 5.536%.

Fig. 6. Stability results for all mixes.

The stability increased for HMA and WMA mixes to be 1550 and 1350 Kg respectively, then decreased for CIR mix to 800 Kg compared to 1050 Kg for the control mix using virgin asphalt and 325 for RAP without any modification.

Fig. 7. Flow results for all mixes.

Flow results for all mixes ranged between 12.0 to 13.1 (0.01) inch.
Fig. 8. Marshall stiffness results for all mixes.
Marshall stiffness of the prepared mixes increased from 86.06 for control mix to 121.09, 103.05 for HMA & WMA mixes respectively.

Fig. 9. Unit weight results for all mixes.
Unit weight for all mixes ranged between 2.18 to 2.308 gm/cm³.

Fig. 10. Air voids results for all mixes.
The percent of air voids in the mix for HMA mix decreased from 4.8 to 4.5% and increased for WMA & CIR mixes from 4.8 to 5.5 and 9.8% respectively.
Conclusion

Asphalt modified by copolymer composition complies with the requirements of the Egyptian Standard Specification and has characteristics that are typical for using special polymer modifier: St-BuA copolymer. This study focuses on evaluating the effects of polymer to modify RAP in order to improve the quality of paving and reduce the cost of asphalt using three different mix designs. In this study, the effect of using RAP mixtures was evaluated using Marshall mix design by three different types HMA, WMA, and CIP. The research results show a change in stability, the milled asphalt mixture that included 3.88% asphalt, the optimum asphalt content was ranging between 1.65 – 1.97 %, which designate the polymer modified by 0.066 – 0.078%. All mix types of HMA, WMA and CIP have achieved the required specification for stability and all other requirements and this will lead to the production of an asphalt mix in a higher performance and longer service life accordingly.

The research achieved using nanoemulsion copolymer as RAP modified with required quality according to required specification.

The application of the Marshall design for control mix and the modified mixes showed that:

- The optimum asphalt content was slightly increased for mix no. (2), the content was increased from 5.503 to 5.720 % wt., where, it increased for mix no. (3) from 5.503 to 5.853% wt. Finally in mix no. (4), it observed the optimum asphalt content decreased from...
5.503 to 5.536% wt.

- The stability increased for mixes no. (2) and (3) and then decreased for mix no. (4), the value increased from 1050 Kg to 1550 and 1350 Kg. and decreased to 800 Kg.

- The percent of air voids in mix for mixes no. (2) decreased from 4.8 to 4.5 and for mixes no. (3) & (4) the air voids increased to 5.5 and 9.8.

- The percent air voids in solid materials increased for mix no. (2) from 15.25 to 15.6 and then increased for mixes No. (3) and (4) to 16.65 and 19.9 respectively.

- Marshall Stiffness of the prepared mixes increased from 86.06 for control mix to 121.09 and 103.05 for HMA and WMA respectively.

- From the above results it is obvious that the addition of St-BuA nanoeulsion copolymer up to content of 4%enhances the stability of the asphalt mix.

- 4% addition of St-BuA nanoeulsion copolymer produces HMA has good Marshall stability as well as it gives preferable general characteristics of the modified asphalt rather than WMA and CIP.

- Polymer modified asphalt amount ranged between 0.06% for CIR, 0.074% for HMA, and 0.078% for WMA.
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