

Egyptian Journal of Chemistry

http://ejchem.journals.ekb.eg/



Antibacterial Activity of Polysaccharide *Bacillus Subtilis* and *Leuconostoc Mesenteroides* under Submerged Fermentation

CrossMark

Engy Elia Baheeg^{1*}, Mona Mansour Oraby¹, Khadiga A. Abou-Taleb^{1*}, Shimaa Abdel Raouf Amin¹*

¹Agricutural Microbiology Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 11241, Cairo, Egypt

Abstract

This study aimed to synthesize exopolysaccharides from bacterial isolates from the Egyptian ecology and apply them to combat foodborne bacteria. In the investigation, 66 bacterial isolates were isolated from various sources (soil, cane juice, and spoilage cucumber). Among the 66 bacterial isolates tested, 40 exhibited the capacity to produce exopolysaccharides (29 isolates produced levan, and 11 isolates produced dextran), giving the slimy colonies and mucoid viscosity appearance in the broth medium. The 40 polymer bacterial isolates evaluated for antibacterial efficacy against foodborne pathogenic bacteria strains (Escherichia coli O157H7, Salmonella typhimirium As3, Staphylococcus aureus As4, Bacillus cereus DSMZ345, Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATOC27853 and Listeria monocytogenes As1). Six polymers-producing isolates out of 40 demonstrated the highest significant antibacterial activity, with minimum inhibitory concentration values ranging from 1000 to 12.5 mg/mL. The chosen polymers exhibited bacteriostatic properties. The most efficient isolates were phenotypically identified as Leuconostoc mesenteroides for the dextran-producing isolates with codes J1, J2, SCs1, SCs3, and SCg2, and Bacillus subtilis for the levan-producing isolate with code B. Among the six isolates, L. mesenteroides isolate code SCg2 produced the most dextran polymer with a dry weight of 6.36 g/L, productivity of 0.088 g/L/h, and a polymer yield coefficient relative to biomass of 1.55 g/g/L.Further nuclear magnetic resonance research demonstrated that the dextran polymer was composed of glucose with α -(1 \rightarrow 6) links, with a 1H NMR spectrum ranging from δ 3.44 to 3.92 ppm. Dextran polymer produced from L. mesenteroides isolate code SCg2 was therefore selected for consumption during food preservation as a film coating to increase the product's shelf life.

Keywords: Antibacterial activity; Bacterial isolation; Dextran; Levan; Phenotypic identification; Submerged fermentation

1. Introduction

A class of naturally occurring polysaccharides A class of naturally occurring polysaccharides called microorganism-derived polysaccharides can be found in nature during any metabolic process. Elevated molecular weight polymers called microbial polysaccharides share a significant portion of the cellular carbohydrates within and outside of the microbial cells. A diverse range of bacteria produces an assortment of polysaccharides, and the majority are water-soluble gums with distinctive physical features [1]. Due to the variety of their chemical structures and special qualities, microbial polysaccharides are widely used in the food, pharmaceutical, biomedical, and bioremediation industries. High viscosity in aqueous solutions makes

polysaccharides ideal for gelling agents, thickening, and stabilizing [2-3].

Exopolysaccharides (EPS) can be divided based on repeating units' composition into two groups: (i) homopolysaccharides (HoPS) and (ii)heteropolysaccharides (HePS). The former (HoPs) present only one type of monosaccharide (D-glucose or D fructose), and the main ones are α -glucans, β glucans, or fructans. They can be further classified based on the glycosyl type, linkage variety, and the position of carbon involved in the bond. HePS contains three to eight repeating units of two or more monosaccharides (e.g., rhamnose, fructose, galactose, or glucose) [4]. Despite being assembled from comparable building blocks (the carbohydrate ring structure of pyranose and furanose), the vast variability in their structural and functional features

^{*}Corresponding authors e-mail: <u>Engyelia@agr.asu.edu.eg</u>.(E. E. Baheeg);<u>Khadija_aboutaleb@agr.asu.edu.eg</u> (Kh. A.Abou-Taleb)

EJCHEM use only: Received date 26 November 2023; revised date 23 December 2023; accepted date 11 January 2024 DOI: 10.21608/EJCHEM.2024.251250.8913

^{©2024} National Information and Documentation Center (NIDOC)

accounts for their broad spectrum of utility. Rodshaped molecules such as schizophyllan and scleroglucan from the fungi *Schizophyllan commune* and *Sclerotium rolfsii*, respectively, linear random coil-type structures such as pullulan from the fungus *Aureobasidium pullulans*, and intermediate forms such as alginates from the bacteria *Azotobacter vinelandii* can all be found. It is possible to have neutral polysaccharides like dextran from the bacteria *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* or extremely electronegative or "polyanionic" compounds like xanthan released by *Xanthomonas campestris*. **[5]**.

EPS-producing bacteria are classified into several phylogenetic groups that involve Gramnegative bacteria from the following classes: the Betaproteobacteria class, containing the genera Alcaligenes Achromobacter; and the Gammaproteobacteria class, which includes the genera Azotobacter, Pseudomonas, Enterobacter, Alteromonas, Pseudoalteromonas, Xanthomonas, Halomonas, Erwinia, Vibrio, and Klebsiella genera. Additionally, they contain Gram-positive bacteria from the following classes: Actinomycetia, which consists of the Bifidobacterium and Rhodococcus Bacilli, which contains genera; Bacillus, Paenibacillus, Lactobacillus, Leuconostoc, and Streptococcus genera; and Clostridia, which includes Sarcina sp. [6,7].

Microbial infections bring on many foodborne ailments after consuming tainted food. Various preservation techniques have been created to guarantee the microbiological food safety, dietary benefits, and sensory qualities of food. However, as consumers become more worried about their health, the need for natural antimicrobial agents is rising. Furthermore, the development of multidrug-resistant microbes due to the overuse of antibiotics has shifted researchers' and the food industry's attention to natural antimicrobials. We discuss naturally occurring antimicrobial substances derived from bacteria, viruses, algae, plants, animals, and mushrooms [8]. Mammalian cell surfaces are richly with glycoconjugates or coated glycocalyx. Pathogens typically use glycoconjugates in microbial infections to detect and bind to host cells [9]. The alterations in glycans throughout time and space reflect methods infections employ on the host surface to avoid detection [10]. Antibiotic resistance and chronic infections threaten public health and food safety. Hence, the ability of LAB-derived EPS to prevent biofilm formation may be utilized to reduce the incidence of infectious diseases caused by pathogenic bacteria that produce biofilms. It may also offer a viable substitute for conventional antibiotics in light of the growing evidence demonstrating pathogen resistance to these drugs [4, 11].

As antibacterial agents and biomaterials, polysaccharides and their derivatives have been used in numerous experiments and applications. Different polysaccharides have been investigated for antimicrobial applications, including dextran, hyaluronic acid, chitosan, cellulose, and other plant/animal-derived polysaccharides and their derivatives [12]. Polysaccharides are a class of natural polymers worth investigating to develop new antibacterial uses. Creating various cytokines (such as interleukin, interferon, etc.), stimulating macrophages and lymphocytes, and stimulating antibody formation to improve organismal immunity are crucial physiological roles that polysaccharides play [13]. Additionally, polysaccharides have some antiviral, anti-inflammatory, and antibacterial properties, mostly via preventing viral and bacterial proliferation [14, 15]. Moreover, polysaccharides, macromolecules of more than 10 glycoside-bonded monosaccharides, are naturally abundant, secure, and residue-free. They are necessary for life. The considerable bioactivities of polysaccharides, such as their hypoglycemic, hypolipidemic, anticancer, antioxidant, immunity-boosting, antibacterial, and other bioactivities, have also drawn much interest [16]. Pathogenic bacteria were impacted by the antibacterial mechanisms of polysaccharides, which influenced the creation of biofilms, nucleic acids, mycoproteins, intracellular metabolic pathways, cell walls, and cell membranes [17]. Due to their accessibility, biocompatibility, and ease of modification, polysaccharides-one of the main biopolymers- have been studied for their potential use in antimicrobial applications.

According to a study by Souza *et al.* [18], there has been a 17% increase in the use and application of these natural materials in recent years (2017–2021). This growth translates into a potential global market worth up to \$10 billion USD, and it is anticipated to reach more than 22 billion USD by the end of this decade (2030). In order to extract new polysaccharides, there is interest in experimenting with alternative sources (such as residues) and polysaccharide extraction methods that yield higher yields or purer extractions.

The present study is designed to take advantage of certain natural materials of microbial origin, such as polysaccharides, as antimicrobial activity toward foodborne pathogens.

2. Materials and methods 2.1. Samples collection

Three samples (soil, spoilage cucumber, and sugarcane juice) were gathered from several locations of Shoubra El Khema and Al harm from Qalyubia and Giza Governorates, Egypt. At a depth of 10 cm, soil samples were taken from the cultivated lands' rhizosphere. Samples were placed in sterile plastic bags, transported to the lab in an ice tank, and stored there for additional research.

2.2. Pathogenic bacterial strains used

Six pathogenic bacterial strains were obtained the Microbiological Resource from Centre (MIRCEN), Cairo, Egypt. These bacteria included Escherichia coli O157H7, Salmonella typhimirium As3, Staphylococcus aureus As4, Bacillus cereus DSMZ345, Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATOC27853and Listeria monocytogenes As1. The antibacterial activity of each of these strains was examined. The tested strains were maintained on a nutrient agar medium slant [19]. It was composed as follows (g/L): beef extract, 3; peptone, 5; agar, 20; distilled water up to 1000 ml and adjusted pH to 7 at 37°C, while the inoculum preparation process employed the same medium without agar.

2.3. Isolation and screening of polymers producing bacterial isolates

One gram of each sample was added to 9 ml of sterilized water, mixed thoroughly, and serial dilutions $(10^{-1}$ to $10^{-6})$ were done, and then 1 ml from each dilution was taken and cultured on the agar plate. The medium used for the isolation of levanproducing isolates was sucrose mineral salt agar [20], which was composited (g/L) of sucrose, 20; yeast extract, 3; MgSO₄, 0.2; K₂HPO₄, 5; distilled water up to 1000 ml and adjusted pH to 7 at 37°C. The medium used for the isolation of dextran-producing isolates was glucose mineral salt agar, which was the same medium as sucrose mineral salt agar as mentioned above, in which sucrose was replaced by glucose and McCleskey agar medium [21], it was composited (g/L) of sucrose, 100; peptone, 10; yeast extract, 5; CaCO₃, 7; distilled water up to 1000 ml and adjusted pH to 7 at 37°C.

The agar medium plates were incubated at 30°C for 24-48 h. The colonies given viscous slimy growth were picked and purified several times to obtain pure culture-produced polymers and then maintained on nutrient and McCleskey agar media slants and stored at 4°C for the next studies.

2.4. Maintenance of cultures

Stock culture slants were maintained at 4°C on a preservation medium after an incubation period at 30°C ± 2 for 24-48 h.

2.5. Inoculum preparation and fermentation process

To prepare a standard inoculum, the tested isolates were cultured in nutrient and McCleskey

broth individually at 30°C for 24 h (in which a mean viable count of 2.1 - 2.7 $\times 10^7$ colony forming unit (CFU)/ml culture broth was obtained). This served as the production medium's inoculum. To carry out the fermentation process, 100 ml of sterile production medium for levan manufacture was placed inside each of the 250 ml plugged Erlenmeyer flasks [22]; it was composition (g/L) of sucrose 20; yeast extract 5; CaCl₂, 0.05; (NH₄)₂SO₄, 0.6; K₂HPO₄, 5.2; KH₂PO₄, 3.18; MgSO₄, 0.3 and adjusted pH to 7. For dextran production on dextran broth medium [23], it was composed (g/L) of sucrose, 150; bacto-peptone, 5; yeast extract, 5; K₂HPO₄, 15; MnCl₂.H₂O, 0.01; NaCl, 0.01; CaCl₂, 0.05 and adjusted pH to 7. The productive mediums were inoculated with 1% standard inoculum and incubated at 30°C on a rotary shaker (150 rpm) for 48-72 h. The fermentation medium was centrifuged at 8496 xg for 20 min. The pellets were used to assay the biomass (cell dry weight) by being washed with distilled water about three times and dried in an oven (Heraeus T5050N) at 80°C until constant weight. The supernatant (cell-free extract) was used to determine the polymer as described below.

2.6. Polymers precipitation

The supernatant (cell-free extract) was used for levan or dextran precipitation using absolute chilled ethanol (99.9%) **[24]**. An equal amount of absolute chilled ethanol (99.9%) was added to 10 ml cell-free extract (supernatant) and incubated in the refrigerator at 4°C for 30 min. The precipitated dextran or levan were centrifuged at 8496 xg for 20 min, and the supernatants were discarded. Following a buffer phosphate wash, the precipitated pellets were extracted again by centrifugation at 8496 xg for 10 min. The pellets obtained were dried in an oven at 60 - 70°C until constant weight. The dry weight of polymers was measured.

2.7. Identification of the most efficient polymer (dextran) using ¹H nuclear magnetic resonance (¹H-NMR) assay

¹H-NMR analysis was carried out to characterize the polymer's structure further. Thirty milligram purified EPS was dissolved and H/D exchange was conducted three times with 99.96% deuterated water D₂O (Sigma Aldrich). ¹H-NMR spectra were carried out on Bruker 400 MHz (Bruker Corp., Billerica, MA, USA) spectrophotometer, Faculty of Pharmacy, Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt.Tetramethylsilane (TMS) was used as an internal standard and chemical shifts were recorded in ppmon δ scale and coupling constants (J) were given in Hz.¹³C-NMR spectra were carried out on Bruker 100 MHz spectrophotometer, Faculty of Pharmacy, Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt.

2.8. Application of the selected polymers as antibacterial activity

2.8.1. Evaluation of polymers' antibacterial activity

This experiment used dextran and levan polymers to study their effect as antimicrobial agents. The antibacterial efficacy of the above polymers toward pathogenic bacterial strains was evaluated by the agar well diffusion method as recommended by Choksi et al. [25] on a nutrient agar medium. The tested pathogenic bacterial strains were grown separately in a broth medium and incubated at 30°C for 24 h. One milliliter of each bacterial suspension was placed into sterile Petri plates, then 10 ml of melted nutrient agar medium was poured and left to solidify. Each Petri plate was divided into two equal quarters using a marker pen, and wells of 7 mm in diameter were made in each quadrat of the plate using a sterile cork borer. The wells were loaded with an acceptable quantity of polymer agents (100 µl). The plates could stand until polymer agents were completely absorbed and then incubated at 30°C for 24 h. After 24 hours of incubation, the growth inhibition was obvious with the unaided eye, and the diameter of the inhibition zone (IZD) was measured using a ruler. The growth inhibition zone around the wells was measured to gauge the antibacterial activity.

2.8.2. Determination of minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) using well agar diffusion

The MIC assay was carried out in accordance with the guidelines established by the Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute [26]. Two-fold serial dilutions (1, 1/2, 1/4, 1/8, and 1/16) of the tested suspension fermented of bacterial isolate polymers were conducted by beginning to transfer 1 ml from stock to the first tube containing 1 ml of distilled water, then transferring 1 ml to corresponding tubing, respectively. These dilutions were put into inoculation plate wells, as mentioned above. The naked eye examined the tested agent at its lowest concentration (highest dilution) preventing the appearance of growth, and was described as MIC.

2.8.3. Determination of minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) The MIC assay-tested plates that showed no growth were subcultured into nutrient agar and incubated for 24 h at 30°C in order to calculate the minimal bactericidal concentration (MBC). The lowest concentration on the nutrient medium that showed no growth was identified as the MBC value [27].

2.9. Phenotypic identification of the most efficient bacterial isolates

The most efficient bacterial isolates producing polymers were completely identified up to species based on their cultural, morphological, and physiological features based on the key of Bergey's Manual[28] for identifying dextran isolates and for identifying levan isolate [29, 30].

2.10. Polymer parameter calculations

The polymer parameter was calculated as follows equations:

Polymer productivity (g/L/h) [31].

= Polymer dry weight (g/L)/ Fermentation time (h)

Eq. 1

Polymer yield coefficient relative to biomass (Y _{p/x}) (g/g/L)[32].

=	Polymer dry weight (g/L)
/ Biomass dry weight (g/L)	Eq. 2
Polymer Yield (%) [33].	
=	(Polymer dry weight (g/L))

	(1 01)1101	ur j		(8, -)
Original sugar (g/L)) x 10	00		E	2q. 3

2.11. Statistical analysis

1

The collected data were subjected to statistical analysis using IBM® SPSS® Statistics [34].

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Isolation and screening of polymers producing bacterial isolates

The results presented in **Table 1** showed that sixtysix bacterial isolates were obtained from different sources on both glucose and sucrose mineral salt agar media at 30°C for 48 hours of incubation periods.

Forty-three bacterial isolates with a percentage distribution of 65.15% were isolated from different plant rhizosphere soils of lettuce, basil, tomato, and cabbage with 19, 9, 10, and 5 isolates, respectively. Six bacterial isolates were obtained from sugarcane juice, and 17 bacterial isolates were taken from spoilage cucumber, with percentage distribution of 9.09% and 25.76%, respectively.

Furthermore, Abou-Talebet al. [35] isolated 37 bacterial isolates from rhizosphere soils of different plants, including *Abelmoschus esculentus* (4 isolates with 10.8 %), *Pisum sativum* (5 isolates with 13.5 %), *Zea mays* (9 isolates with 24.3%) and *Vicea faba* (19 isolates with 51.4%). Moreover, Rawal et al. [36] obtained 11 mucoid colonies from various soil samples and spoilage fruits. In addition, Abdalrahim et al. [37] gathered 55 bacterial isolates from fermented fruits. Moselhy et al.[38] exhibited that 165 bacterial isolates in all, divided into 40 (24.2%), 103 (62.4%), and 22 (13.3%) isolates, were obtained from the soil rhizosphere.

As a preliminary study for selecting the polymer producers (levan and dextran) on production medium,

40 isolates out of 66 bacterial isolates showed growth. They demonstrated positive results on plate

agar, which gave the slimy colonies and the broth medium mucoid viscosity appearance (Fig. 1 A, B).

				No. of is	solates		No. of total isolates	%
Source of isolates		Medi	um 1	Med	lium 2			
			No.	%	No.	%		
Soil	•	Lettuce	6	31.58	13	68.42	19	
		Basil	3	33.33	6	66.67	9	
		Tomato	3	30	7	70	10	
	•	Cabbage	2	40	3	60	5	
	Tota	1	14	32.56	29	67.44	43	65.15
Cane ju	iice		6	100	-	-	6	9.09
Spoilage	e Cucum	ber	12	70.6	5	29.4	17	25.76
Total is	olates		32		34		66	

Table 1: Survey of bacterial isolates on different agar media

Medium 1, Glucose mineral salt agar medium; Medium 2, Sucrose mineral salt agar medium; No., Number



Figure 1: (A) Bacterial isolates producing levan on (I) plate agar and (II) broth medium. (B) bacterial isolates producing dextran on (I) plate agar and (II) broth medium

Results in **Table 2** exhibited that 29 isolates (67.44 % of total isolates) out of 40 bacterial isolates produced levan polymer isolated from plant rhizosphere soils of lettuce, basil, tomato, and cabbage had 17, 5, 5, and 2 isolates producing a polymer with a percentage of the distribution of 58.62, 17.24, 17.24, and 6.90 %, respectively. As well as 11 isolates (47.80 % of total isolates) among 40 bacterial isolates that produced dextran polymer were isolated from sugarcane juice (4 isolates with 66.70 %) and spoilage cucumber (7 isolates with 41.20 %). Twenty-six isolates (with a percentage distribution of 39.40) among 66 bacterial isolates showed no sign of polymer production.

Specifically, Abou-Taleb *et al.*[**35**] stated that all 37 bacterial isolates revealed signs of growth on the agar plate with sucrose. The colonies had a

mucoid, slimy look that indicated the formation of the polysaccharide. Hassan et al. [39] found that Bacillus subtilis SH1 produced polymers. Moreover, two B14 and Y8 bacteria were isolated from a compost sample, and their ability to create polysaccharides on a fermentation medium was examined [40]. More than 100 bacteria species have been shown to produce levan [41]. The most studied levan producers among Gram-positive bacteria are the species of the class Bacilli including Bacillus subtilis. Bacillus licheniformis, Paenibacillus polymyxa, Lactobacillus reuteri. Leuconostoc citreum and others [42, 43].

Both isolates produced mucous, smooth, and irregularly edged colonies when cultivated on a solid sucrose medium. In addition, Ramya *et al.*[44] found that *Bacillus* sp. isolated from rhizosphere soil

produced unclear but highly viscous polysaccharides. As well as Davidović *et al.*[**45**] reported that *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* T3 obtained dextran. Some of the most commonly used EPSs are xanthan from the genus *Xanthomonas*, and dextran from the *Leuconostoc*, *Streptococcus*, and *Lactobacillus* genera **[46].**

Table 2: Number and percentage distribution of bacterial isolates producing polysaccharides on production media at 30°C for 48-72 h using shake flasks at 150 rpm

		No. of i	No. of isolates and % of the distribution				
Polymers	Source of isolates	Produc	ing polymer	Non-pro	oducing	total isolates	
produced			polymer				
		No.	%	No.	%		
Levan	S • Lettuce	17	58.62	2	14.30	19	
polymer	oil • Basil	5	17.24	4	28.60	9	
	 Tomato 	5	17.24	5	35.70	10	
	 Cabbage 	2	6.90	3	21.40	5	
	Total	29	67.44	14	32.56	43	
Dextran	Cane juice	4	66.70	2	33.30	6	
polymer	Spoilage Cucumber	7	41.20	10	58.80	17	
	Total	11	47.80	12	52.20	23	
	No. of total isolates	40	60.60	26	39.40	66	

No., Number.

3.2. Application of the selected polymers as antibacterial activity

3.2.1. Evaluation of polymers' antibacterial activity

Antibacterial activity against *Escherichia* coli O157H7,*Salmonella typhimirium* As3 and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Atoc27853(as Gram^{-ve}), and *Staphylococcus aureus* As4, *Bacillus cereus* Dsmz345, and *Listeria monocytogenes* As1 (as Gram^{+ve}) are shown in **Fig. 2 and Table 3.** The results showed that six polymer-producing isolates out of 40 bacterial isolates had antibacterial activity, inhibiting the growth of the tested pathogenic bacterial strains and causing a halo-zone (no growth) around the bacterial growth on agar plates, which was observed with necked eyes and expressed with a + sign (**Fig. 2 and Table 3**). Whereas the isolates didn't have antibacterial activity, their growth on agar plates was marked with a - sign (**Table 3**).

Data in **Fig. 2 and Table 3** indicated that the polymer produced by isolates SCg2, SCs1, SCs3 inhibited all six tested pathogenic Gram-positive and -negative bacterial strains. In contrast, polymers J1 and J2 inhibited only 4 tested pathogenic strains of *L. monocytogenes* As1, *E. coli* O157H7, *S. typhimirium* As3, and *P. aeruginosa* Atoc27853. Meanwhile, polymer B inhibited only two tested Gram-negative pathogenic strains of *E. coli* O157H7 and *P. aeruginosa* Atoc27853. These positively charged polymer molecules may have been adsorbed on the negatively charged microbial cell surface, diffused through the cell wall, and interacted with the cytoplasmic membrane, causing irreversible damage to the integrity of the cell membrane and, ultimately cell death. This may explain why these polymers are more effective against Gram-negative pathogenic bacteria. This method of action is thought to be less susceptible to acquiring resistance [47, 48], inhibited Gram-negative pathogenic bacteria by interacting with the cell membrane protein, such as *Escherichia coli* [49], and the extracellular polysaccharides could stop the growth of *E. coli* ATCC35218 by lowering the cell membrane's hydrophobicity % [50].

The results emerged from the work recorded the antibacterial activity of the EPS from B. subtilis SH1 (2.8, 4, and 4.6 AU/ml) was registered against Pseudomonas aeruginosa at 50, 100, and 200 mg/ml, respectively [39].EPS from Lactobacillus reuteri SHA101 and Lactobacillus vaginalis SHA110 have been demonstrated to exhibit inhibitory zones against Salmonella typhimurium CMCC, Staph.s petrasii subsp. pragensis KY 196,531 and E. coli ATCC 25,922 of (14, 15 mm), (12.2, 9.5) and (13.5 and 10.6), respectively[4, 51]. Ağçeli et al. [52] reported that at 1000 µg/mL levan, the highest zone of inhibition was observed against E. coli produced from Pseudomonas mandelii about 16 mm. With inhibitory zone diameters that fall between 10 and 20 mm, 12 and 16 mm, and 10 and 16 mm, respectively, probiotic cultures of lactic acid bacteria as an antibacterial agent demonstrated more significant antibacterial action against E. coli O15H7, S. typhimirium As3, and S. shigae As2 [53].



Figure 2: Antibacterial activity of polymers produced by the selected bacterial isolates against pathogenic bacterial strains on agar plates

Polymer-	Antibacterial activity against								
producing		Gr ^{+ve} bacteri	a		Gr ^{-ve} bacter	ia			
isolate codes	Staphylococc	Bacillus	Listeria	Escheri	Salmonell	Pseudomonas			
	us aureus As4	cereus	monocytogenes	chia coli	a typhimirium	aeruginosa			
		Dsmz345	As1	O157H7	As3	Atoc27853			
Lg1	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Lg2		-	-	-	-	-			
Lg3		-	-	-	-	-			
Lg4	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Lg5	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Lg6	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Ls1	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Ls2		-	-	-	-	-			
Ls3	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Ls4		-	-	-	-	-			
Ls5		-	-	-	-	-			
Ls6		-	-	-	-	-			
Ls7		-	-	-	-	-			
Ls8		-	-	-	-	-			
Ls9		-	-	-	-	-			
Ls10		-	-	-	-	-			
Ls11		-	-	-	-	-			
Bg3		-	-	-	-	-			
Bs1		-	-	-	-	-			
Bs3		-	-	-	-	-			
Bs4		-	-	-	-	-			
Bs6	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Tg3	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Tg4	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Tg6		-	-	-	-	-			
Ts1		-	-	-	-	-			
Ts3		-	-	-	-	-			
Cbg	-	-	-	-	-	-			
J3		-	-	-	-	-			
J 4	-	-	-	-	-	-			
В	-	-	-	+	-	+			
J1	-	-	+	+	+	+			
J2	-	-	+	+	+	+			
SCg1	-	-	-	-	-	-			
SCg2	+	+	+	+	+	+			
SCg3	-	-	-	-	-	-			
SCs1	+	+	+	+	+	+			
SCs2	-	-	-	-	-	-			
SCs3	+	+	+	+	+	+			
SCs7	-	-	-	-	-	-			

Table 3: Detection of polysaccharides produced by the different isolates as antibacterial activity against Gram^{+ve} and Gram^{-ve} bacteria

(-), growth; (+), no growth. Lg and Ls, polymer isolated from Lettuce soil; Bs and B, polymer isolated from Basil soil; Bg, Tg and Cbg, polymer isolated from Tomato soil; Ts, polymer isolated from Cabbage soil; J, polymer isolated from Cane juice; SCg and SCs, polymer isolated from Spoilage cucumber

The six selected polymer-producing bacterial isolates that had antibacterial activity were measured by the inhibition zone diameter on agar plates and the data illustrated in **Fig. 3**. Results revealed that the strain E. *coli* O157H7 had more significant (p<0.05) sensitivity to the polymer produced from isolates SCg2, SCs3, and J1 with not significant (p<0.05) mean diameter zone inhibition of 15.7, 14.3, and 13.0 mm, respectively. Polymers J2 and SCs1 inhibited this strain with 11.3 and 9.0 mm of means diameter zone inhibition. Meanwhile, this strain appeared less sensitive to polymer B, which means diameter zone inhibition reached 3.0 mm.

Moreover, the strain *P. aeruginosa* Atoc27853 had a highly significant sensitivity to polymer SCg2 (27.0 mm of means diameter zone inhibition),

Egypt. J. Chem. 67, No. 7 (2024)

followed by SCs3, and J1 with no significant (p<0.05) means diameter zone inhibition of 21.3 and 20.0 mm, respectively. The lowest mean diameter zone inhibition was recorded by polymer B (4.0 mm).

Whereas, strains *L. monocytogenes* As1 and *S. typhimirium* As3 were more sensitive to the tested polymers in the following order SCg2 > SCs3 > J1 > SCs1 > J2 with means diameter zone inhibition of 17 mm > 14.3 mm > 12.7 mm > 10.3 mm > 10.0 mm and SCg2 > SCs1 > SCs3 > J2 > J1 with means diameter zone inhibition of 16.0 mm > 12.0 mm > 10.0 mm > 9.3 mm > 9.0 mm, respectively. At the same time, both strains were resistant to polymer B.

The Gram-positive bacterial strains *B. cereus* Dsmz345 and *Staph. aureus* As4 were susceptible to polymers SCg2 (19.0 and 13.0 mm of means

diameter zone inhibition), SCs3 (8.0 and 10.0 mm of means diameter zone inhibition), and SCs1 (8.0 and 8.7 mm of means diameter zone inhibition) while they were resistant to polymers J1, J2, and B.

Besides that, it could be summarized data that the polymer produced by the SCg2 isolate was given the highest significant inhibition against all the tested pathogenic bacteria.



Figure 3: Diameter zone inhibition (mm) of polymers produced by the selected isolates against pathogenic bacterial strains. IZD, Inhibition zone diameter.All tested polymers were dextran except B polymer was levan. B, polymer isolated from Basil soil; J, polymer isolated from Cane juice; SCg and SCs, polymer isolated from Spoilage cucumber ^{a,b} values in the above column with the same letter do not differ significantly according to Duncan's at the 5% level. Bar indicated to

 \pm values in the above column with the same letter do not differ significantly according to Duncan's at the 5% level. Bar indicated to \pm standard division

3.2.2. Determination of minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) using well agar diffusion and minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC)

After 24 hours of incubation, the MIC values of the tested polymers produced from the selected isolates were used against six tested pathogenic bacterial strains, as shown in **Table 4**. The results indicated that the tested polymers were diluted in a two-fold dilution ranging up to 1/16 of the polymers with final concentrations of 400, 200, 100, 50, and 25 mg/mL for polymers J1 and J2 isolates, 300, 150, 75, 37.5, and 18.75 mg/mL for polymers SCs1 and SCs3, and 200, 100, 50, 25, and 12.5 mg/mL for polymers SCg2. The results of the MIC values demonstrated that the polymer produced by SCg2 isolate exhibited activity at dilution ranging from 1 to 1/8 (from 200 to 25 mg/mL concentrations) against the six tested pathogenic bacteria, except in Staph. aureus As4exhibited activity at dilution ranging from 1 to 1/4 (from 200 to 50 mg/mL concentrations). The polymers produced by J1 and J2 isolates showed activity at dilution 1 and 1/2 (400 and 200 mg/mL concentrations) against L. monocytogenes As1, E. coli O157H7, S.typhimirium As3, and P. aeruginosa Atoc27853. The polymers produced by SCs1 and Scs3 exhibited activity at dilution ranging from 1 to 1/4 (from 300 to 75 mg/mL concentrations) against the tested pathogenic microorganisms, except in Staph.s aureusexhibited activity at dilution 1 and 1/2 (300 and 150 mg/mL concentrations). The polymer produced

Egypt. J. Chem. 67, No. 7 (2024)

by B isolate exhibited activity at dilution 1 and $\frac{1}{2}$ (1000 and 500 mg/mL concentrations) against *E. coli* O157H7 and *P. aeruginosa* Atoc27853, as seen in Table 4.

The results of the MBC assay demonstrated that polymers produced by J1, J2, SCs1, SCs3, SCg2, and B isolates had a bacteriostatic effect on all the tested pathogenic microorganisms (data not shown).

According to [54], the tested extracts had an effective MIC of 0.32 mg/mL against the strains of *Staph. aureus* St3, *E. coli* Ec3, and *S. typhi* Sa1. On the other hand, the *P. aeruginosa* Ps9 strain had intermediate susceptibility to grape extract with a MIC of 0.16 mg/mL and low susceptibility to

mulberry extracts with a MIC of 0.08 mg/mL. The exopolysaccharides (EPS) of *Lacticaseibacillus paracasei* AS20(1) showed inhibitory effects on the growth of *L. monocytogenes* (MIC of 0.935 mg/ml, MBC of 0.935 mg/ml), *Yersinia enterocolitica* (MIC of 12.5 mg/ml, MBC of 50 mg /ml) and *B. cereus* (MIC of 6.25 mg /ml, MBC of 12.5 mg/ml) [**4**, **55**]. Moreover, the minimum inhibitory concentrations of bacteriocin against *L. monocytogenes* PTCC 1302 (p<0.05), *S. aureus* PTCC 1113(p<0.05), *L. inovani* PTCC1303 (p<0.05), and *S. enterica* PTCC 1709 (p<0.05) were 6.25, 25, 6.25, and 12.5 µg/L, respectively [**56**].

Table (4): Determination of the minimum inhibitory concentration of the tested polysaccharides polymers against pathogenic bacterial strains

Bacterial	Bacterial Pathogenic bacterial strains							
polymer	Polymer	Polymer	Staph.	В.	L.	E. coli	S. typhimirium	Р.
codes	concentrations	dilutions	aureus	cereus	monocytogenes	O157H7	As3	aeruginosa
	(mg/mL)		As4	Dsmz345	As1			Atoc27853
	400	1	-	-	+	+	+	+
	200	1/2	-	-	+	+	+	+
J 1	100	1/4	-	-	-	-	-	-
	50	1/8	-	-	-	-	-	-
	25	1/16	-	-	-	-	-	-
	400	1	-	-	+	+	+	+
	200	1/2	-	-	+	+	+	+
J2	100	1⁄4	-	-	-	-	-	-
	50	1/8	-	-	-	-	-	-
	25	1/16	-	-	-	-	-	-
	300	1	+	+	+	+	+	+
	150	1/2	+	+	+	+	+	+
SCs1	75	1/4	-	+	+	+	+	+
	37.5	1/8	-	-	-	-	-	-
	18.75	1/16	-	-	-	-	-	-
	300	1	+	+	+	+	+	+
	150	1/2	+	+	+	+	+	+
SCs3	75	1/4	-	+	+	+	+	+
	37.5	1/8	-	-	-	-	-	-
	18.75	1/16	-	-	-	-	-	-
	200	1	+	+	+	+	+	+
	100	1/2	+	+	+	+	+	+
	50	1/4	+	+	+	+	+	+
SCg2	25	1/8	-	+	+	+	+	+
	12.5	1/16	-	-	-	-	-	-
	1000	1	-	-	-	+	-	+
р	500	1/2	-	-	-	+	-	+
В								
	250	1/4	-	-		-		-

(-), growth; (+), no growth. B, polymer isolated from Basil soil; J, polymer isolated from Cane juice; SCg and SCs, polymer isolated from Spoilage cucumber. *Staphylococcus; B., Bacillus; E., Escherichia; S., Salmonella; P., Pseudomonas.*

3.3. Phenotypic identification of the most efficient polymers producing isolates

The most efficient polymers-producing isolates were completely phenotypically identified (**Fig. 4**) up to species according to phenotypic (cultural, morphology, and physicochemical) characteristics according to the key of Bergey's Manual[28] for identifying dextran isolates and for identifying levan isolate [29, 30]. Results in Fig. 4 (AI, II) indicated that the J1, J2, SCs1, SCs3, and SCg2 isolates producing dextran polymers were classified as *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* which investigated to be organized in pairs or chains, smooth, shiny, greyish white, positive for Gram stain, negative for catalase, nonmotile, and non-spore-forming. Growth happens between 5 and 30 °C, with 20 and 30 °C being the optimum range for growth. Growth occurs at pH 6.5 but not at pH 4.8 or when 10% (v/v) ethanol is present.

Moreover, the EPS-producing strain isolated from soybean paste was identified as *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* SN-8 [57]. As well as the classification of strain number 3 (LM03) biochemically as *L.mesenteroides var. mesenteroides* to demonstrate that the polymer produced was dextran [58].

The B isolate producing levan polymer was classified as *Bacillus subtilis*(Fig. 4 BI, II), which

showed as motile, aerobic, Gram-positive rods that produce cylindrical spores and are centrally located in unswollen sporangia. Cells typically exist alone or in pairs; chains are quite uncommon. Colonies have a moderately rounded to irregular shape, dull surfaces that might wrinkle, and margins that range from undulate to fimbriate; they also become opaque. The hue is white and could eventually turn creamy (Fig. 4). 28-30 °C is the optimum growth temperature, with a minimum of 5-20 °C and a maximum of 45-55 °C. Though no limits have been identified, growth occurs between pH values of 5.5 and 8.5 with up to 7% NaCl present. Oxidase variable, catalase positive. Starch and casein are hydrolyzed. Specifically, B. subtilis Natto CCT7712 was demonstrated to be an efficient levan producer [59]. Phenomy identification was used in order to recognize the high-efficiency levan-producing isolate V8 as B. lentus V8 [35].



I. Cultural characteristics.; II. Morphological features under light microscope (1000 X).

3.4. Polymer production using submerged fermentation

Under submerged fermentation, the dextran and levan polymers produced by the selected isolates (*Leuconostoc mesenteroides* codes J1,J2, SCs1, SCs3, SCg2, and *Bacillus subtilis* code B) that had antibacterial activity Results in **Fig. 5** showed the cell dry weight, polymer dry weight, polymer yield (%), Y $_{p/x}$ (g/g/L), and productivity (g/L/h) for *L. mesenteroides* codes J1, J2, SCs1, SCs3, SCg2, and *B. subtilis* code B isolates during propagation on productive medium supplemented with 150 g/L sucrose for dextran production and with 20 g/L sucrose for levan production at 30°C for 48-72 h.

Results showed that the cell dry weight on medium enhanced by 150 g/L sucrose after 48 h for *L. mesenteroides* codes J1 andJ2 being 3.56 and 3.63 g/L, and after 72 h for *L. mesenteroides* codes SCs1, SCs3, and SCg2 being 3.06, 4.05, and 4.11 g/L, respectively. While the cell dry weight on medium enhanced by 20 g/L sucrose after 48 h for *B. subtilis* code B isolate being 2.05 g/L.

The polymer production for *L. mesenteroides* codes J1, J2, SCs1, SCs3, and SCg2, and *B. subtilis* code B isolates being 3.78, 3.89, 4.11, 5.60, 6.36, and 2.77 g/L of polymer dry weight, respectively.

The polymer yield (%) on medium supplemented with 150 g/L sucrose after 48 h for J1

and J2 isolates was 2.52% and 2.59%, and after 72 h for L. mesenteroides codes SCs1,SCs3, and SCg2 being 2.74%, 3.73%, and 4.24%, respectively. Meanwhile, the polymer yield (%) on medium supplemented with 20 g/L sucrose after 48 h for B. subtilis code B isolate was 13.85%. The amount of polymer productivity was attained after 48 h of fermentation for L. mesenteroides codes J1 and J2, and B. subtilis code B isolates being 0.079, 0.081, and 0.058 g/L/h, respectively. While the amount of polymer productivity was achieved after 72 h of fermentation for L. mesenteroides codes SCs1, SCs3, and SCg2 being 0.057, 0.078. and 0.088 g/L/h, respectively. The polymer yield coefficient relative to biomass (Y $_{p/x}$) for *L.mesenteroides* isolate codes J1, J2, SCs1, SCs3 and SCg2, and B. subtilis code B being 1.06, 1.07, 1.34, 1.38, 1.55, and 1.35 g/g/L, respectively. The exopolysaccharide production by *Bacillus subtilis is in a* medium with sucrose (2.98 g EPS/L) **[24]**. Also, levan production from production media (40% sucrose) by local isolation of *B. subtilis* subsp. *subtilis* w36 was 4.9 g / 100 ml **[60]**. The lactic acid bacterial genus Leuconostoc B and C bacterial isolates could produce EPSs (14 g/L for isolate B and 32 g/L for isolate C) after 24 h **[61]**. The strain *L. mesenteroides var. mesenteroides* produced the highest concentration of dextran (26.87 g/L) in 76 h of incubation **[58]**.

Furthermore, *L. mesenteroides* code SCg2 was the most efficient polymer-producing isolate, producing the greatest dextran polymer with the highest productivity and yield. As a result, the dextran *L. mesenteroides* code SCg2 polymer was chosen for the next study.



Figure 5: Biomass and polymers (dextran and levan) dry weight from the selected bacterial isolates using shake flasks (150 rpm) at 30°C for 48-72 h and kinetics parameters calculated. (A) Bacterial-producing dextran and (B) Bacterial-producing levan.
Y(p/x)= polymer yield coefficient relative to biomass; polymer yield (%)= initial sugar for dextran production was 150 g/L, and for the levan show was 20 g/L. B, polymer isolated from Basil soil; J, polymer isolated from Cane juice; SCg and SCs, polymer isolated from Spoilage cucumber.

^{a, b} Values in the above column with the same letter do not differ significantly from each other according to Duncan's at 5% level. Bar indicated to ± standard division.

3.5. Identification of the most efficient polymer (dextran) using ¹H-NMR

To learn more about the structural details of the dextran polymer produced by L. mesenteroides, NMR investigation was carried out. Two sections may be identified in the EPS 1H NMR spectrum: the ring proton region (δ 3.1–4.5 ppm) and the anomeric region (δ 4.5–5.5 ppm). [62], The dextran polymer's 1H nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectrum (Fig. 6 and Table 5) revealed chemical shifts that were consistent with the glycosyl residues serving as the biopolymer's repeating unit. The dextran polymer's H-2 to H-5 signals were located at δ 3.51, δ 3.64, δ 3.44, and δ 3.85, whereas the H-6 signals were located at δ 3.92. The lone anomeric proton

signal detected at 4.90–4.91 ppm corresponded to H-1 of the α -(1–6)-linked D-glucosyl residues comprising the main chain.

A characteristic signal of an α -(1 \rightarrow 6) glycosidic chain was identified in the 1H NMR spectrum of the EPS, where a doublet was found in the anomeric region (H-1) at δ 4.98 ppm. Due to the C2-C6 connected protons, there was a ring proton area at δ 3.53-3.96 ppm. Double-doublet (dd) signals were detected at δ 3.57 and 3.75 ppm for H-2 and H-3, triplet (t) signals for (H-4) glucose carbonyl hydrogens were observed at 3.53 ppm, and double dubletos (dl) were observed at δ 3.92 and 3.96 ppm for H-5 and H-6. **[63].**



Figure 6: ¹H NMR spectrum (δ 3.44–3.92 ppm) of polymer produced *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* isolate

Table (5): Chemical shifts of proton in glucose skeleton of the produced bacterial dextran poly	ymer
--	------

Proton atom ¹ H NMR	Chemical shift (ppm) of dextran polymer				
	assignment result cited	The produced bacterial			
	from Wang et al. [64]	polymer			
H ₁	4.98	4.90- 4.91			
\mathbf{H}_2	3.52	3.51			
H_3	3.63	3.64			
H_4	3.45	3.44			
H_5	3.84	3.85			
\mathbf{H}_{6}	3.92	4.92			

1H N	NMR, 11	I nuclear	magnetic	resonance;	ppm,	part	per	million
------	---------	-----------	----------	------------	------	------	-----	---------

4. Conclusion

Among the 66 bacterial isolates used in this study, *L. mesenteroides* code SCg2 produced dextran polymer, which had antibacterial efficiency against Gram-positive and negative pathogenic bacteria with MIC values ranging from 200 to 25 mg/mL. This bacteria's polymer dry weight, yield, and productivity were 6.36 g/L, 4.24%, and 0.088 g/L/h, respectively.The polymer produced by the L. mesenteroides code SCg2 isolate was identified by NMR analysis as a dextran with a linear backbone made up of consecutive D-glucopyranose units joined by α -(1 \rightarrow 6) linkages. As a result, this polymer will be used in food manufacturing as antibacterial substances, food additives, and food storage.

5. References

- Ganesan, A.R.; Shanmugam, M. and Bhat, R. (2018). Producing novel edible films from semi refined carrageenan (SRC) and ulvan polysaccharides for potential food applications. Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 112: 1164-1170.
- [2] Mollakhalili Mevbodi, N., & Mohammadifar, M. A. (2015). Microbial exopolysaccharides: a review of their function and application in food sciences. *Journal of food quality and hazards control*, 2(4), 112-117.
- [3] Netrusov, A. I., Liyaskina, E. V., Kurgaeva, I. V., Liyaskina, A. U., Yang, G., & Revin, V. V. (2023). Exopolysaccharides Producing Bacteria: A Review. *Microorganisms*, 11(6), 1541.
- [4] Prete, R., Alam, M. K., Perpetuini, G., Perla, C., Pittia, P., & Corsetti, A. (2021). Lactic acid bacteria exopolysaccharides producers: a sustainable tool for functional foods. *Foods*, 10(7), 1653.
 [5] Shanmugam, M. and Abirami, R.G. (2019).
- [5] Shanmugam, M. and Abirami, R.G. (2019). Microbial polysaccharides-chemistry and applications. J. Biol. Active Prod. Nat., 9: 73-78.
- [6] Wünsche, J., & Schmid, J. (2023). Acetobacteraceae as exopolysaccharide producers: Current state of knowledge and further perspectives. *Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology*, 11, 1166618.
- [7] Díaz-Cornejo, S., Otero, M. C., Banerjee, A., & Gordillo-Fuenzalida, F. (2023). Biological properties of exopolysaccharides produced by Bacillus spp. *Microbiological Research*, 268, 127276.
- [8] Ouinto, E. J., Caro, I., Villalobos-Delgado, L. H., Mateo, J., De-Mateo-Silleras, B., & Redondo-Del-Río, M. P. (2019). Food safety through natural antimicrobials. *Antibiotics*, 8(4), 208.
- [9] Imbertv, A., & Varrot, A. (2008). Microbial recognition of human cell surface glvcoconiugates. *Current opinion in structural biology*, 18(5), 567-576.
- [10] Bishop, J. R., & Gagneux, P. (2007). Evolution of carbohydrate antigens—microbial forces shaping host glycomes?. *Glycobiology*, *17*(5), 23R-34R.
- [11] Shi, R., Dan, B., & Lü, L. (2023). Bioactive effects advances of natural polysaccharides. *Journal* of Future Foods, 3(3), 234-239.

[12] Xia, G. X., Wu, Y. M., Bi, Y. F., Chen, K., Zhang, W. W., Liu, S. O., Zhang, W. J., Liu, R. H. (2021). Antimicrobial properties and application of polysaccharides and their derivatives. *Chinese Journal of Polymer Science*, 39, 133-146.

- [13] Yu, Y., Shen, M., Song, O., & Xie, J. (2018). Biological activities and pharmaceutical applications of polysaccharide from natural resources: A review. *Carbohydrate polymers*, 183, 91-101.
- [14] Chaisuwan, W., Jantanasakulwong, K., Wangtueai, S., Phimolsiripol, Y., Chaiyaso, T., Techapun, C., Phongthai, S., You, S., Regenstein, J.M. and Seesurivachan, P. (2020). Microbial exopolysaccharides for immune enhancement: Fermentation, modifications and bioactivities. *Food Bioscience*, 35, 100564.
- [15] Vinothkanna, A., Sathiyanarayanan, G., Rai, A.K., Mathivanan, K., Saravanan, K., Sudharsan, K., Kalimuthu, P., Ma, Y. and Sekar, S. (2022). Exopolysaccharide produced by probiotic Bacillus albus DM-15 isolated from avurvedic fermented dasamoolarishta: Characterization, antioxidant, and anticancer activities. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 13, 832109
- [16] Noufal, Z. M., Sivaperumal, P., & Elumalai, P. (2022). Extraction, characterization, and anticancer potential of extracellular polymeric substances from marine actinobacteria of Streptomyces species. *Journal of advanced pharmaceutical technology & research*, 13(Suppl 1), S125.
- [17] Wang, Z., Sun, Q., Zhang, H., Wang, J., Fu, O., Oiao, H., & Wang, O. (2021). Insight into antibacterial mechanism of polysaccharides: a review. *Lwt*, 150, 111929.
- [18] Souza, M. A. D., Vilas-Boas, I. T., Leite-da-Silva, J. M., Abrahão, P. D. N., Teixeira-Costa, B. E., & Veiga-Junior, V. F. (2022). Polvsaccharides in agro-industrial biomass residues. *Polysaccharides*, 3(1), 95-120.
- [19] Difco TM and BBLTM Manual (2009). " Manual o Microbiological Culture Media". Sparks, Maryland, Dickinson and Company, 2nd ed., pp. 398-402.
- [20] Abdel-Fattah, A. F., Mahmoud, D. A., & Esawy, M. A. (2005). Production of levansucrase from Bacillus subtilis NRC 33a and enzymic synthesis of levan and fructooligosaccharides. *Current microbiology*, 51, 402-407.
- [21] McCleskey, C. S., Faville, L. W.; and Barnett, R. O. (1947). Characteristics of Leuconostoc mesenteroides from cane juice. Journal of bacteriology, 54(6), 697.
- [22] Abou-Dobara, M. I., El-Fallal, A. A., Toson, E., Abbas, A., & El-Feky, F. (2014). Optimization of exopolysaccharides production by Bacillus subtilis. *Scientific Journal for Damietta Faculty of Science*, 3(1), 11-21.
- [23] De Man, J. C., Rogosa, D., and Sharpe, M. E. (1960). A medium for the cultivation of Lactobacilli. Journal of Applied Microbiology, 23(1), 130-135.
- [24] Razack, S. A., Velayutham, V., &

482

Thangavelu, V. (2013). Medium optimization for the production of exopolysaccharide by Bacillus subtilis using synthetic sources and agro wastes. *Turkish Journal of Biology*, *37*(3), 280-288.

- [25] Choksi, J., Vora, J., & Shrivastava, N. (2020). Bioactive pigments from isolated bacteria and its antibacterial, antioxidant and sun protective application useful for cosmetic products. *Indian Journal of Microbiology*, 60, 379-382.
- [26] CLSI (Clinical and laboratory standard Institute) (2015). Antimicrobial susceptibility challenges. Versions of M100 and M60, Wayne, PA 19087, USA. 240 p.
- [27] Rabe, T., Mullholland, D., & Van Staden, J. (2002). Isolation and identification of antibacterial compounds from Vernonia colorata leaves. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, 80(1), 91-94.
- [28] Holt, J. G., & Lippincott, W. (1994). Wilkins. Bergey's Manual of Determinant Bacteriology, 9, 541.
- [29] PHA, S. (1986). Endospore-forming grampositive rods and cocci. *Bergey's manual of systematic bacteriology*, 2, 1131.
- [30] R.A. Slepecky, H. E. Hemphill, The Genus Bacillus-Nonmedical. In: A. Balows, H.G. Trüper, M. Dworkin (ed) M., W. Harder, K-H Schleifer, The Prokaryotes: A Handbook on the Biology of Bacteria: Ecophysiology, vol. II, (1992) p.1769.
 [31] Lee, S.Y. (1996). Plastic bacteria. Progress
- [31] Lee, S.Y. (1996). Plastic bacteria. Progress and prospects for polyhydroxyalkanoate production in bacteria. Trends Biotechnol., 14: 431-438.
- [32] Grothe, E., M. Moo-Young and Y. Chisti (1999). Fermentation optimization for the production of poiy(β-hydroxybutyric acid) microbial thermoplastic. Enzyme Microb. Technol., 25: 132-141.
- [33] Ramadan, E.M.; M. El-Sawy; R.F. Gamal and H.M. Abdelhady (1985). Growth parameters of yeast grown on agricultural residues using shake flasks as a batch culture. Annals Agric. Sci., Fac. Agri., Ain Shams Univ., Cairo, Egypt, 30: 25-45.
- [34] IBM® SPSS® Statistics (2017) Version 20.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois.
- [35] Abou-Taleb, K. A., Abdel-Monem, M. O., Yassin, M. H., & Draz, A. A. (2014). Nutritional factors affecting levan production by Bacillus sp. V8 strain isolated from rhizosphere bean (Vicea faba) plant. J. Agric. Tech, 10(4), 899-914.
- [36] Rawal, P. M., Chauhan, P. B., Prajapati, H., & Gahlout, M. (2016). Evaluation of cultivation condition for enhanced production of exopolysaccharide by bacterial isolate P 11 under submerged culture condition. *Int. J. Adv. Res. Biol. Sci*, 3(5), 183-190.
- [37] Abdalrahim, S., Zohri, A. N. A., Khider, M., Kamal El-Dean, A. M., Abulreesh, H. H., Ahmad, I., & Elbanna, K. (2019). Phenotypic and Genotypic Characterization of Exopolysaccharide Producing Bacteria Isolated from Fermented Fruits, Vegetables and Dairv Products. *Journal of Pure & Applied Microbiology*, 13(3).
- [38] Moselhy, M. A., Lotfy, F. S., Makboul, H. E., & Ali, A. S. (2020). Antimicrobial and antioxidant characteristics of exopolysaccharides produced by Agrobacterium tumefaciens Cs5 and T1. *Egyptian*

Journal of Chemistry, 63(11), 4423-4438.

- [39] Hassan, S. W., & Ibrahim, H. A. (2017). Production, Characterization and Valuable Applications of Exopolysaccharides from Marine SH1. Polish Journal of Microbiology, 66(4), 449-462.
- [40] Abou-Taleb, K. A., & Galal, G. F. (2018). A comparative study between one-factor-at-a-time and minimum runs resolution-IV methods for enhancing the production of polysaccharide by Stenotrophomonas daejeonensis and Pseudomonas geniculate. *Annals of Agricultural Sciences*, 63(2), 173-180.
- [41] González-Garcinuño, Á., Tabernero, A., Domínguez, Á., Galán, M. A., & Martin del Valle, E. M. (2018). Levan and levansucrases: Polymer, enzyme, micro-organisms and biomedical applications. *Biocatalysis* and *Biotransformation*, 36(3), 233-244.
- [42] de Lemos, E. A., Procópio, L., da Mota, F. F., Jurelevicius, D., Rosado, A. S., & Seldin, L. (2023). Molecular characterization of Paenibacillus antarcticus IPAC21, a bioemulsifier producer isolated from Antarctic soil. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 14, 1142582.
- [43] Yang, S., Jin, D., Li, H., Jiang, L., Cui, J., Huang, W., Rang, J., Li, Y. and Xia, L. (2023). Screening of new Paenibacillus polymyxa S3 and its disease resistance of grass carp (Ctenopharyngodon idellus). *Journal of Fish Diseases*, 46(1), 17-29.
- [44] Ramya, P., Sangeetha, D., Anooj, E. S., & Gangadhar, L. (2020). Studies on the production and optimization of levan from Bacillus sp. Ann. *Trop. Med. Public Health*, 23, 1188-1197.
- [45] Davidović, S., Miliković, M., Gordic, M., Cabrera-Barias, G., Nesic, A., & Dimitrijević-Branković, S. (2021). Dextran-based edible coatings to prolong the shelf life of blueberries. *Polymers*, 13(23), 4252.
- [46] Barcelos, M. C., Vespermann, K. A., Pelissari, F. M., & Molina, G. (2020). Current status of biotechnological production and applications of microbial exopolysaccharides. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 60(9), 1475-1495.
- [47] Muñoz-Bonilla, A., & Fernández-García, M. (2012). Polymeric materials with antimicrobial activity. *Progress in Polymer Science*, 37(2), 281-339.
- [48] Jennings, M. C., Minbiole, K. P., & Wuest, W. M. (2015). Ouaternary ammonium compounds: an antimicrobial mainstay and platform for innovation to address bacterial resistance. ACS infectious diseases, 1(7), 288-303.
- [49] Zhang, Y., Wu, Y. T., Zheng, W., Han, X. X., Jiang, Y. H., Hu, P. L., ... & Shi, L. E. (2017). The antibacterial activity and antibacterial mechanism of a polysaccharide from Cordyceps cicadae. *Journal of functional foods*, 38, 273-279.
- [50] Mahdhi, A., Leban, N., Chakroun, I., Bayar, S., Mahdouani, K., Majdoub, H., & Kouidhi, B. (2018). Use of extracellular polysaccharides, secreted by Lactobacillus plantarum and Bacillus spp., as reducing indole production agents to control biofilm formation and efflux pumps

Egypt. J. Chem. 67, No. 7 (2024)

inhibitor in Escherichia coli. *Microbial* pathogenesis, 125, 448-453.

- [51] Rajoka, M. S. R., Mehwish, H. M., Havat, H. F., Hussain, N., Sarwar, S., Aslam, H., ... & Shi, J. (2019). Characterization, the antioxidant and antimicrobial activity of exopolysaccharide isolated from poultry origin Lactobacilli. *Probiotics and antimicrobial proteins*, 11, 1132-1142.
- [52] Ağceli, G. K., & Cihangir, N. (2020). Nanosized biopolymer levan: Its antimicrobial, antibiofilm and anticancer effects. *Carbohydrate Research*, 494, 108068.
- [53] Abdelghani, D.Y., Gad, A.I., Orabi, M.M., Abou-Taleb, Kh.A., Mahmoud, E.A., Al Amoudi, S.A., Zari, A., Althubaiti, E.H., Edris, S. and Amin, S.A. (2022). Bioactivity of Organic Fermented Soymilk as Next-Generation Prebiotic/Probiotics Mixture. *Fermentation*, 8(10), 513.
- [54] Ramadan, E. M., Abou-Taleb, K. A., Galal, G. F., & Abdel-Hamid, N. S. (2017). Antibacterial, antibiofilm and antitumor activities of grape and mulberry leaves ethanolic extracts towards bacterial clinical strains. *Annals of Agricultural Sciences*, 62(2), 151-159.
- [55] Amini, E., Salimi, F., Imanparast, S., & Mansour, F. N. (2022). Isolation and characterization of exopolysaccharide derived from Lacticaseibacillus paracasei AS20 (1) with probiotic potential and Evaluation of its antibacterial activity. *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, 75(4), 967-981.
- [56] Nasiri Moslem, M., Faezi Ghasemi, M., Amirmozafari, N., & Ranji, N. (2022). Antimicrobial Activity of Pediococcus Acidilactici PTCC 1954 and Leuconostoc Mesenteroides PTCC 1953 strains Isolated from Organic Meat Sausages. *Biological Journal of Microorganism*, 11(44), 129-144.
- [57] Li, Y., Liu, Y., Cao, C., Zhu, X., Wang, C., Wu, R., & Wu, J. (2020). Extraction and biological activity of exopolysaccharide produced by Leuconostoc mesenteroides SN-8. *International journal of biological macromolecules*, 157, 36-44.
- [58] De La Cruz-Noriega, M., Rojas-Flores, S., Benites, S. M., Álvarez, M. Q., García, N. O., & Yupanqui, M. R. (2022). Use of Leuconostoc Mesenteroides to Produce a Dextran Bioflocculant. *Environmental Research*, *Engineering and Management*, 78(1), 38-45.
- [59] Goncalves, B. C. M., Mantovan, J., Ribeiro, M. L. L., Borsato, D., & Celligoi, M. A. P. C. (2013). Optimization production of thermo active levansucrase from Bacillus subtilis Natto CCT 7712. Journal of Applied Biology and Biotechnology, 1(2), 001-008.
- [60] Khassaf, W. H., Niamah, A. K., & Al-Manhel, A. J. (2019). Study of the optimal conditions of levan production from a local isolate of Bacillus subtilis subsp. subtilis w36. *Basrah Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 32(2), 213-222.
- [61] Castilla-Marroquín, J. D., Hernández-Martínez, R., de la Vequia, H. D., Ríos-Corripio, M. A., Hernández-Rosas, J., López, M. R., & Hernández-Rosas, F. (2020). Dextran synthesis by

Egypt. J. Chem. 67, No. 7 (2024)

native sugarcane microorganisms. *Revista* Mexicana de Ingeniería Química, 19(Sup. 1), 177-185.

- [62] Kavitake, D., Devi, P. B., Singh, S. P., & Shetty, P. H. (2016). Characterization of a novel galactan produced by Weissella confusa KR780676 from an acidic fermented food. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 86, 681-689.
- [63] Farinazzo, F. S., Valente, L. J., Almeida, M. B., Simionato, A. S., Fernandes, M. T. C., Mauro, C. S. I., Tomal, A. A.B., & Garcia, S. (2020). Characterization and antioxidant activity of an exopolysaccharide produced by Leuconostoc pseudomesenteroides JF17 from jucara fruits (Euterpe edulis Martius). *Process Biochemistry*, 91, 141-148.
- [64] Wang, B., Song, Q., Zhao, F., Xiao, H., Zhou, Z., & Han, Y. (2019). Purification and characterization of dextran produced by Leuconostoc pseudomesenteroides PC as a potential exopolysaccharide suitable for food applications. *Process Biochemistry*, 87, 187-195.