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#### Abstract

Two of the quantum mechanical methods, semi-empirical calculation represented by the AM1 model and an Abinitio method DFT, were used to accomplish a theoretical study to estimate the ionization constant ( pKa ) for several benzaldoximes substitution(BS). During the discussion of the theoretical variables selected for achieving this study by the two ways mentioned above. The results showed that the methods of basic calculations (Abinitio) were more suitable than the semiempirical method for such calculation, since the calculated pKa values were consistent with their experimental values.

The difference between the theoretical and practical ( $\mathrm{pKa} \mathrm{a} \mathrm{is} \mathrm{used} \mathrm{as} \mathrm{an} \mathrm{indication} \mathrm{to} \mathrm{the} \mathrm{mesomeric}$, effects of substituents. Such effects can not be determined by classical methods quantitatively. These theoretically calculated variables were derived by quantum chemical methods (DFT, AM1) and then correlated with the experimental values of pKa using multi parametric linear regression analysis by trial and error. The results obtained by correlation were well indicated by the values of the correlation coefficient $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}\right)$ and standard deviation (SE) values in both methods. The success of this procedure is reflected by the large agreement between the practical pKa values with the theoretical computed values. The great convergence between the theoretical pKa values and the practical values for both methods(AM1, DFT) indicates the suitability and accuracy for such calculation applied in this paper.
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## 1. Introduction

Schiff bases are organic compounds produced by the reaction of a primary amine with aldehyde or ketone under certain conditions. The term Schiff bases is applied to compounds containing the imine group or what is known as the exciting azo methen $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N})$ and was first prepared by Schiff in 1864 [1,2].
The attachment of the hydroxyl group with azomethine compound yields oximes, which are wellknown compounds with general functional group (-$\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{OH})$. Oximes have wide applications and were used as anti-skinning agents, anti-inflammatory agents, antibiotics, and antioxidants [3,4].
pKa is one of the most familiar and well-known chemical functions. Acknowledge the pKa values is the basis for understanding a great of the chemical reactions, especially those between compounds being studied with different pharmaceutical compounds, in addition to forming complexes and many analytical methods [5].

One of the most important chemical functions is the pKa value which is affected by the different
chemical properties of the different compounds such as chemical activates and spectral properties [6].

The calculation of pKa values for compound theoretically is of great importance since it enables us to understand the mechanism of organic reaction by presenting an idea about the intensity of the ionization of the acid in solution [7].

## 2. Method of calculations

First, it is necessary to find the most stable conformation (which is of the lowest energy) for the studied compounds listed in Table(1) by employing geometry optimization.

Two methods of quantum mechanical carried out the geometrical optimization, the semi-empirical (AM1) and Abinitio methods (DFT)[ 8,9], starting from the semi-empirical AM1 level. The geometries of all possible matches are optimized, whereas Abinitio method [DFT: grid-based, method: B3LYP].

The natural atomic charges and structural

[^0]parameters like Angles, $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bond length, total energy (TE), dipole moment (DM), the highest occupied molecular orbital energy ( $\mathrm{E}_{\text {номо }}$ ) and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital energy ( $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{LUMO}}$ ) were evaluated by using the optimized geometries [10].

The Chem. Office Program (version 12, 2010 of Cambridge software, USA) was utilized to calculate the quantum chemical descriptors.
Table 1: Structures of the considered compound in this study.


## 3. Results and Discussion

In this work, the experimental pKa values of the investigated compounds considered have been estimated using a half-integral potentiometric method [11].

This study included theoretically calculating the number of the compounds' physical variables under investigation and calculating ionization constants for all compounds by obtaining the best relationship between these variables with the ionization constant ( $\mathrm{pKa)}$.

The Millikan atomic charge $[12,14]$ for the investigated compounds for (C7, N8, O9, H10), which are thought to be the most effective atoms on the theoretical calculation by the two quantum mechanical methods (AM1, DFT).

The total energy(TE), angle(C6-C1-C7), the dipole of molecule(DM), OH Length, the energy of HOMO and LUMO orbitals [15] and the values of chemical potential $(\mu)$ [16-18], hardness $(\eta)$ [19] and electrophilicity index (W) [20,21]. These parameters were illustrated as descriptors for pKa values and employed in this analysis.

The obtained results from the methods mentioned above are listed in Tables (2 and 3). Fig(1) shows the structure and atomic numbering for the investigated compound.


Table 2. The physical parameters calculated theoretically for the investigated compounds using the AM1 method.

| Zomp. No. | H10 Charge | $\begin{gathered} \text { O9 } \\ \text { Charge } \end{gathered}$ | N8 <br> Charge | C7 <br> Charge | Angle C6-C1C7 | TE | DM | O-H Length <br> A) | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {Lumo }}$ <br> (ev) | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {номо }}$ <br> (ev) | $\eta$ | $\mu$ | W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.2383 | $0.2538$ | $0.0586$ | 0.0946 | $\begin{gathered} 118.42 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | $4.2374$ | $1.1650$ | 0.9785 | $0.0042$ | $0.3602$ | 0.1780 | $0.1822$ | 0.0932 |
| 2 | 0.2372 | $0.2537$ | $0.0606$ | $0.0933$ | $\begin{gathered} 120.00 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 4.2210 | $1.1849$ | 0.9420 | $0.0084$ | $0.3569$ | 0.3485 | $0.1827$ | 0.0957 |
| 3 | 0.2367 | $0.2617$ | $0.0707$ | $0.0775$ | $\begin{gathered} 120.14 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $4.8036$ | $1.1310$ | 0.9774 | 0.0032 | $0.3427$ | 0.1730 | $0.1698$ | 0.0833 |
| 4 | 0.2421 | $0.2409$ | $0.0978$ | $0.1104$ | $\begin{gathered} 120.16 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 4.1121 | $0.5885$ | 0.9794 | $0.0779$ | $0.3978$ | 0.1600 | $0.2379$ | 0.1768 |
| 5 | 0.2388 | $0.2498$ | $0.0566$ | $0.0959$ | $\begin{gathered} 122.13 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | $2.7671$ | $0.9661$ | 0.9786 | $0.0135$ | $0.3618$ | 0.1742 | $0.1877$ | 0.1011 |
| 6 | 0.2381 | $0.2530$ | $0.0580$ | $0.0957$ | $\begin{gathered} 118.01 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 1.4719 | $1.1839$ | 0.9779 | $0.0052$ | $0.3501$ | 0.1725 | $0.1777$ | 0.0915 |


| 7 | 0.2368 | $0.2550$ | $0.0605$ | $0.0937$ | $\begin{gathered} 118.14 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $7.3927$ | $1.1428$ | 0.9781 | 0.0022 | $0.3409$ | 0.1716 | $0.1694$ | 0.0836 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 0.2443 | $0.2490$ | $0.0409$ | $0.1067$ | $\begin{gathered} 118.01 \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | 2.4226 | 3.8776 | 0.9791 | $0.0295$ | $0.3804$ | 0.1755 | $0.2050$ | 0.1197 |
| 9 | 0.2457 | $0.2463$ | $0.0400$ | $0.1080$ | $\begin{gathered} 118.58 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $2.2394$ | $1.0517$ | 0.9798 | $0.0751$ | $0.3993$ | 0.1621 | $0.2372$ | 0.1735 |
| 10 | 0.2411 | $0.2505$ | $0.0502$ | $0.1007$ | $\begin{gathered} 118.35 \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | $4.2475$ | $1.0968$ | 0.9791 | $0.0141$ | $0.3606$ | 0.1733 | $0.1874$ | 0.1013 |
| 11 | 0.2375 | $0.2556$ | $0.0638$ | $0.0877$ | $\begin{gathered} 118.34 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | 1.3699 | $1.1533$ | 0.9779 | $0.0025$ | $0.3629$ | 0.1802 | 0.1827 | 0.0926 |
| 12 | 0.2347 | $0.2587$ | $0.0757$ | $0.0772$ | $\begin{gathered} 118.97 \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | $7.3905$ | $1.1445$ | 0.9779 | 0.0085 | $0.3569$ | 0.1827 | 0.1742 | 0.0830 |
| 13 | 0.2429 | $0.2457$ | $0.0458$ | $0.1075$ | $\begin{gathered} 118.30 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 2.3494 | 3.8328 | 0.9789 | $0.0389$ | $0.3823$ | 0.1717 | $0.2106$ | 0.1292 |
| 14 | 0.2451 | $0.2442$ | $0.0365$ | $0.1142$ | $\begin{gathered} 118.20 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $2.8289$ | $1.0761$ | 0.9797 | $0.0759$ | $0.3918$ | 0.1580 | $0.2339$ | 0.1731 |
| 15 | 0.2397 | $0.2518$ | $0.0567$ | $0.0952$ | $\begin{gathered} 118.53 \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | $4.2641$ | $1.1041$ | 0.9787 | $0.0139$ | $0.3726$ | 0.1794 | $0.1933$ | 0.1041 |

Table 3: The parameters calculated theoretically using B3LYP method of DFT with Basis set: 3-21G.

| Comp. No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { H10 } \\ \text { Charge } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { O9 } \\ \text { Charge } \end{gathered}$ | N8 <br> Charge | C7 <br> Charge | Angle C6-C1C7 | TE | DM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O-H } \\ & \text { Length( } \\ & \text { A) } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {LuMo }}$ <br> (ev) | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {номо }}$ (ev) | $\eta$ | $\mu$ | W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.2385 | $0.2484$ | $0.0437$ | $0.0495$ | $\begin{gathered} 118.93 \\ 80 \end{gathered}$ | $4.2374$ | $1.1650$ | 0.9958 | $0.0267$ | $0.2341$ | 0.1037 | $0.1304$ | 0.0820 |
| 2 | 0.2382 | $0.2538$ | $0.0519$ | $0.0571$ | $\begin{gathered} 115.62 \\ 90 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.344 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $1.0310$ | 0.0996 | $0.0213$ | $0.2228$ | 0.1008 | $0.1221$ | 0.0739 |
| 3 | 0.2370 | $0.2554$ | $0.0563$ | $0.0505$ | $\begin{gathered} 118.63 \\ 00 \end{gathered}$ | $4.8025$ | $1.1298$ | 0.9959 | $0.0170$ | $0.2174$ | 0.1000 | $0.1172$ | 0.0685 |
| 4 | 0.2435 | $0.2302$ | $0.0323$ | $0.0683$ | $\begin{gathered} 117.59 \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ | 4.1121 | 0.5885 | 0.9965 | $0.1117$ | $0.2452$ | 0.0668 | $0.1785$ | 0.2385 |
| 5 | 0.2393 | $0.2452$ | $0.0409$ | $0.0546$ | $\begin{gathered} 123.95 \\ 40 \end{gathered}$ | $2.7671$ | $0.9661$ | 0.9967 | $0.0323$ | $0.2357$ | 0.1017 | $0.1340$ | 0.0885 |
| 6 | 0.2388 | $0.2466$ | $0.0493$ | $0.0454$ | $\begin{gathered} 120.51 \\ 90 \end{gathered}$ | 1.4719 | $1.1839$ | 0.9970 | $0.0254$ | $0.2259$ | 0.1003 | $0.1257$ | 0.0787 |
| 7 | 0.2367 | $0.2505$ | $0.0499$ | $0.0455$ | $\begin{gathered} 119.98 \\ 30 \end{gathered}$ | $7.3927$ | $1.1428$ | 0.9961 | $0.0175$ | $0.2123$ | 0.0974 | $0.1149$ | 0.0678 |
| 8 | 0.2440 | $0.2407$ | $0.0310$ | $0.0514$ | $\begin{gathered} 119.82 \\ 90 \end{gathered}$ | 2.4226 | 3.8776 | 0.9960 | $0.0512$ | $0.2460$ | 0.0974 | $0.1486$ | 0.1134 |
| 9 | 0.2456 | $0.2373$ | $0.0326$ | $0.0506$ | $\begin{gathered} 120.41 \\ 90 \end{gathered}$ | $2.2394$ | $1.0517$ | 0.9971 | $0.1098$ | $0.2530$ | 0.0716 | $0.1814$ | 0.2298 |
| 10 | 0.2417 | $0.2431$ | $0.0400$ | 0.0480 | $\begin{gathered} 120.42 \\ 90 \end{gathered}$ | $4.2475$ | $1.0968$ | 0.9963 | $0.0340$ | $0.2400$ | 0.1028 | $0.1372$ | 0.0916 |
| 11 | 0.2368 | $0.2522$ | $0.0531$ | $0.0486$ | $\begin{gathered} 119.95 \\ 00 \end{gathered}$ | 1.3699 | $1.1533$ | 0.9957 | $0.0172$ | $0.2290$ | 0.1059 | $0.1231$ | 0.0715 |
| 12 | 0.2339 | $0.2574$ | $0.0640$ | $0.0483$ | $\begin{gathered} 120.50 \\ 40 \end{gathered}$ | $7.3910$ | $1.1445$ | 0.9968 | $0.0027$ | $0.2183$ | 0.1078 | $0.1105$ | 0.0566 |
| 13 | 0.2441 | $0.2373$ | $0.0365$ | $0.0490$ | $\begin{gathered} 120.54 \\ 50 \end{gathered}$ | 2.3490 | 3.8328 | 0.9973 | $0.0633$ | $0.2510$ | 0.0939 | $0.1572$ | 0.1316 |
| 14 | 0.2463 | $0.2337$ | $0.0309$ | $0.0498$ | $\begin{gathered} 120.05 \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ | $2.2842$ | $1.0500$ | 0.9977 | $0.1110$ | $0.2505$ | 0.0697 | $0.1808$ | 0.2345 |
| 15 | 0.2403 | $0.2457$ | $0.0461$ | $0.0480$ | $\begin{gathered} 120.28 \\ 00 \end{gathered}$ | $4.2641$ | $1.1040$ | 0.9964 | $0.0278$ | $0.2370$ | 0.1046 | $0.1324$ | 0.0838 |

The results listed in Table $(2,3)$ indicate that electronic charge values are affected by the location of substituted groups. These groups were electrondonating and withdrawing in nature. These charges increase in the presence of electron-donating substituents and decrease with the withdrawing
groups.
As noted that the value of the nitrogen charge (N8) is lower than the rest of the atoms due to the presence of the withdrawing group $\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)$ meta, Para position. The lowest value of the nitrogen charge at compound 14 is due to the presence of the group

Hyali
$\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)$ in Para position because of resonance effect [21].

In general, any substituent that affects the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bond will affect the pKa value of the compound. Electrons withdrawing group weaken the O-H, increasing the ionization process and decreasing the value of pKa and vice versa. It was found that the highest charge value on (N8) was in Ortho position due to inductive effect, while the N8 charge values were lower in Para positions due to the mesomeric effect.

Other calculated variables express the amount of distortion in pKa values that occur in the
compounds under consideration, which works to vary the total, kinetic, and steric energies, which affects the heat of formation values and reflect the variation in pKa values.

This theoretical study is aimed to investigate the extent to which its results correspond to practical results according to the scientific bases.

A statistical treatment followed these calculations to examine the nature of the relationship between the pKa and the selected parameters and among the parameters with each other by performing a simple regression analysis. The results obtained are listed in tables $(4,5)$.

Table 4. The values of correlation coefficients of the relation between the parameters evaluated by AM1 method.

| Parameters | pKa | H10 <br> Charge | $\begin{gathered} \text { O9 } \\ \text { Charge } \end{gathered}$ | N8 <br> Charge | C7 <br> Charge | Angle C6-C1C7 | TE | DM | $\overline{\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}}$ <br> Length( <br> A) | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {Lumo }}$ <br> (ev) | Еномо (ev) | $\eta$ | $\mu$ | W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pKa | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { H10 } \\ & \text { Charge } \end{aligned}$ | -0.664 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| O9 Charge | -0.478 | 0.838 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N8 Charge | -0.565 | 0.553 | 0.205 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C7 Charge | 0.193 | -0.325 | -0.326 | -0.100 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Angle } \\ \text { C6-C1-C7 } \end{gathered}$ | 0.226 | -0.251 | -0.028 | -0.427 | -0.074 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TE | -0.403 | 0.344 | 0.483 | -0.055 | -0.275 | 0.065 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DM | -0.927 | 0.468 | 0.344 | 0.342 | -0.201 | -0.242 | 0.439 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \\ \text { Length(A) } \end{gathered}$ | -0.210 | 0.288 | 0.185 | 0.073 | 0.029 | -0.256 | -0.387 | 0.140 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{E}_{\text {LUMO }}(\mathrm{ev})$ | 0.457 | -0.866 | -0.869 | -0.211 | 0.282 | 0.091 | -0.414 | -0.341 | -0.226 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{E}_{\text {номо (ev) }}$ | 0.337 | -0.873 | -0.893 | -0.186 | 0.352 | 0.037 | -0.375 | -0.204 | -0.199 | 0.928 | 1.000 |  |  |  |
| $\eta$ | 0.191 | -0.326 | -0.231 | -0.060 | 0.011 | 0.237 | 0.361 | -0.116 | -0.993 | 0.257 | 0.269 | 1.000 |  |  |
| $\mu$ | 0.394 | -0.581 | -0.556 | -0.314 | 0.074 | -0.088 | -0.195 | -0.206 | 0.030 | 0.332 | 0.503 | 0.027 | 1.000 |  |
| W | -0.310 | 0.857 | 0.879 | 0.161 | -0.339 | -0.040 | 0.359 | 0.185 | 0.209 | -0.936 | -0.998 | -0.276 | -0.462 | 1.000 |

Table 5. The values of correlation coefficients of the relation between the parameters evaluated by DFT method.

| Parameters | pKa | $\begin{gathered} \text { H10 } \\ \text { Charge } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { O9 } \\ \text { Charge } \end{gathered}$ | N8 <br> Charge | $C 7$ Charge | $\begin{gathered} \text { Angle } \\ \text { C6-C1- } \\ \text { C7 } \end{gathered}$ | TE | DM | O-H <br> Length( <br> A) | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {LUMO }}$ (ev) | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {Номо }}$ (ev) | $\eta$ | $\mu$ | W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pKa | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { H10 } \\ & \text { Charge } \end{aligned}$ | -0.648 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| O9 Charge | -0.519 | 0.925 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N8 Charge | -0.643 | 0.948 | 0.935 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C7 Charge | -0.045 | 0.038 | -0.022 | 0.027 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Angle } \\ \text { C6-C1-C7 } \end{gathered}$ | -0.215 | 0.049 | 0.104 | 0.125 | 0.181 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TE | -0.274 | 0.287 | 0.241 | 0.254 | -0.285 | -0.537 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^1]| DM | -0.895 | 0.480 | 0.444 | 0.495 | -0.151 | 0.004 | 0.383 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \\ \text { Length }(\mathrm{A}) \end{gathered}$ | -0.179 | 0.156 | 0.296 | 0.218 | 0.132 | 0.652 | -0.663 | 0.111 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{E}_{\text {LUMO }}(\mathrm{ev})$ | 0.672 | -0.928 | -0.882 | -0.898 | -0.051 | -0.185 | -0.250 | -0.495 | -0.247 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |
| Еномо (ev) | 0.327 | -0.889 | -0.914 | -0.839 | 0.177 | 0.054 | -0.240 | -0.274 | -0.175 | 0.815 | 1.000 |  |  |  |
| $\eta$ | 0.119 | -0.756 | -0.811 | -0.702 | 0.260 | 0.163 | -0.203 | -0.131 | -0.118 | 0.621 | 0.960 | 1.000 |  |  |
| $\mu$ | 0.434 | -0.934 | -0.940 | -0.887 | 0.119 | -0.009 | -0.252 | -0.344 | -0.201 | 0.897 | 0.987 | 0.904 | 1.000 |  |
| W | -0.231 | 0.838 | 0.877 | 0.781 | -0.196 | -0.078 | 0.208 | 0.196 | 0.173 | -0.767 | -0.993 | -0.975 | -0.969 | 1.000 |

The results are shown in Tables (4 and 5) showed good relationships between some variables, varying in their proximity and nature in terms of spatial position and electronic repulsion [22-24] by applying the AM1 method. Table (4) The best value of the correlation coefficient when applying simple regression between (HOMO, W), (O-H Length, $\eta$ ), (LUMO, HOMO) ( $\mathrm{pKa}, \mathrm{DM}$ ) was $-0.998,-0.993$, $0.928,-0.927$ respectively.

DFT method, Table (5) The best value of the correlation coefficient between the two variables (HOMO, H), ( $\mu$, HOMO), (HOMO, W) ( $\eta$, W) were $0.960,0.987,-0.993,-0.975$ respectively.

All the good relationships mentioned in previous tables can be considered the starting point of the multiple regression of linear equations to calculate
the values of pKa .
Using the SPSS program in multiple linear regression analysis and using the sequential variables input method to find the best linear relationship through which the best result and the best equation for calculating values of pKa theoretically and comparing them with the practical value can be reached. Experience by entering two, three, four, five and six parameters.

Table(6) included a summary of the best relationships obtained from these attempts and by adopting the values of the correlation coefficient (R), which was dose to unity and with the low standard deviation(S.E) that was considered as a measure of the success the selection of the adopted variables in describing the studied systems.

Table 6. Results of the regression analysis between the pKa values and descriptors calculated by AM1, DET methods.

| Method\& Group | Parameter | A | b | R | S.E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DM | -0.649 |  |  |  |
| AM1 | O9 | -38.948 | 83.781 | 0.984 | 0.363 |
|  | W | 26.726 |  |  |  |
|  | H10 | -0.036 |  |  |  |
|  | DM | -0.730 |  | 0.960 | 0.575 |
| DFT | H10 | -275.972 | 111.686 |  |  |
|  | O9 | 154.185 |  |  |  |
|  | N8 | -66.838 |  |  |  |

Equations eq. 1 and eq. 2 represent the models used to calculate the pKa values by the AM1 and DFT methods, respectively, whereas the two equations describe the effect of the substituents on pKa .
$\mathrm{pKa}=83.781+(-0.649 \mathrm{DM})+(-38.948 \mathrm{O} 9)+$ $(26.726 \mathrm{~W})+(-0.036 \mathrm{H} 10) \ldots .(1)$
$\mathrm{pKa}=111.686+(-0.730 \mathrm{DM})+(-$
$275.972 \mathrm{H} 10)+(154.185 \mathrm{O} 9)+(-66.838 \mathrm{~N} 8) \ldots .(2)$
Tables $(7,8)$ show the theoretical values of pKa obtained by the developed equations $(1,2)$ and the differences between experimental and theoretical values

Table 7. Comparison between the observed and calculated pKa values evaluated by the (AM1) methods.

| Compd. | $\mathrm{pKa}^{*}$ | $\mathrm{pKa}^{* *}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{pKa}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 11.195 | 11.053 | 0.142 |
| 2 | 11.858 | 11.524 | 0.334 |
| 3 | 11.577 | 11.652 | -0.075 |


| 4 | 11.503 | 11.070 | 0.433 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 10.578 | 10.809 | -0.231 |
| 6 | 11.400 | 11.060 | 0.340 |
| 7 | 12.410 | 11.370 | 1.040 |
| 8 | 6.715 | 6.389 | 0.326 |
| 9 | 10.733 | 10.174 | 0.559 |
| 10 | 10.490 | 10.092 | 0.398 |
| 11 | 11.875 | 11.388 | 0.487 |
| 12 | 12.590 | 12.255 | 0.335 |
| 13 | 6.866 | 7.045 | -0.179 |
| 14 | 10.366 | 10.312 | 0.054 |
| 15 | 10.930 | 10.726 | 0.204 |

pKa * $=$ Experimental Values
$\mathrm{pKa} \mathrm{a}^{*}=$ Calculated Values
$\Delta \mathrm{pKa}=\mathrm{pKa}^{*}-\mathrm{pKa}{ }^{* *}$
Table 8. Comparison between the observed and calculated pKa values evaluated by the (DFT) methods.

| Compd. | $\mathrm{pKa}^{*}$ | $\mathrm{pKa}^{* *}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{pKa}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 11.195 | 11.338 | -0.143 |
| 2 | 11.858 | 11.039 | 0.819 |
| 3 | 11.577 | 11.490 | 0.087 |
| 4 | 11.503 | 10.723 | 0.780 |
| 5 | 10.578 | 11.279 | -0.701 |
| 6 | 11.400 | 11.921 | -0.521 |
| 7 | 12.410 | 11.910 | 0.500 |
| 8 | 6.715 | 6.478 | 0.237 |
| 9 | 10.733 | 10.266 | 0.467 |
| 10 | 10.490 | 10.975 | -0.485 |
| 11 | 11.875 | 11.841 | 0.034 |
| 12 | 12.590 | 12.562 | 0.028 |
| 13 | 6.866 | 7.375 | -0.509 |
| 14 | 10.366 | 10.513 | -0.147 |
| 15 | 10.930 | 11.374 | -0.444 |

Comparison between the calculated pKa value by employing equations and the practical values[19], in table (8) showed a high convergence between the two

## 4. Conclusions

Two methods semi-empirical calculation represented by the AM1 model and an Abinitio method DFT has been successfully conducted to determine the effect of the substituents on the pKa values of benzaldoximes compounds relying on some molecular properties derived from each of them.

A number of sets of parameters are obtained by correlating experimental pKa with the derived parameters from AM1 and DFT using simple and multiple regression analysis for both methods (AM1, DFT).

The best set of parameters was obtained from applying the multiple regression analysis when using

On the other hand, the best set of parameters
values, indicating the success in applying this method for such calculation with high accuracy.
derived from the DFT method and represented by equation (2) were used to calculate pKa value theoretically. The calculated values are compared with the practical values. The two values of both methods(AM1, DFT) were in good agreement, which indicates the accuracy of the calculation achieved in this study.

The method used in this research could be applied to the theoretical determination of pKa values for another family of organic compounds. Its save time, and chemicals.
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